

Design and Technology

Advanced Subsidiary GCE

Unit **F521/01/02**: Advanced Innovation Challenge

Mark Scheme for June 2012

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, OCR Nationals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2012

Any enquiries about publications should be addressed to:

OCR Publications
PO Box 5050
Annesley
NOTTINGHAM
NG15 0DL

Telephone: 0870 770 6622
Facsimile: 01223 552610
E-mail: publications@ocr.org.uk

Outlines initial thoughts, these are predictable/non-creative.	Outlines initial thoughts in some detail, some creative thinking.	Clearly outlines initial thoughts in detail, responding with an open mind showing unexpected and/or challenging ways of thinking.	Box 1,2
Analyses the problem at a superficial level that lacks depth Some consideration of a user/market resulting in a design brief.	Analyses some aspects of the problem. Identifies a user/market, resulting in a design brief.	Analyses problem in depth, responds in a way that allows scope for innovation. Identifies a user/market resulting in a clear design brief.	Box 1,2,3 & 4
Produces a basic or superficial specification that is vague/generic.	Develops an adequate specification that gives some basic requirements of the product.	Develops a detailed specification that identifies the key features of the product.	Box 5
0 1 2 3	4 5 6	7 8 9	
Presents only a limited range of innovative/creative ideas	Presents a good range of innovative/creative ideas	Presents a wide range of innovative/creative initial ideas	Box 6
Using annotated sketching at a limited level with little detail of construction/materials Little or no reference made to the design specification.	Using reasonable quality annotated sketching showing some detail of construction/materials. Some reference to the specification	Using high quality annotated sketching showing full details of construction/materials. Good reference to the specification	Box 6
Presents only a limited and mainly subjective evaluation of ideas with little or no justification of decisions.	Presents an adequate and objective evaluation of ideas against the design specification and justifies most decisions.	Presents a detailed and objective evaluation of ideas against the design specification and justifies all decisions.	Box 6,7 &8
Presents a limited range of evidence to show the sources of inspiration and influences on the designing.. Limited reflection on their design and little/if any response to feedback from others.	Presents an adequate range of evidence to show the sources of inspiration and influences on the designing. Some reflection on their chosen design and response to feedback from others.	Presents a wide range of evidence to show the sources of inspiration and influences on the designing. Reflects on their chosen design and responds to feedback from others, making further improvements if necessary.	Box 6,7,8,9 &10
0 1 2 3 4	5 6 7 8	9 10 11 12	
Presents limited improvements, and limited evidence of modelling, experiments, testing, and modifications to their design,	Presents improvements, presents some evidence of modelling, experiments, testing, making modifications their design	Presents improvements, presents evidence of modelling, experiments, testing, making modifications to their design to define and refine it,	Box 11
Little if any consideration of materials, components or ingredients and methods of manufacture.	Some consideration of materials, components or ingredients and methods of manufacture.	Thorough consideration of materials, components or ingredients and methods of manufacture.	Box 11
Limited understanding of sustainability issues and how this affects their design. Produces a simplistic action plan for making that shows limited awareness of materials/ingredients/resources etc.	Some consideration of sustainability issues Produces a reasonable action plan for making, to include a list of materials/ingredients/resources, etc	Good consideration of sustainability issues etc . Produces a detailed action plan for making, to include a list of materials/ingredients/resources, etc.	Box 11, 12&13
0 1 2 3	4 5 6	7 8 9	
Records progress at various stages but limited detail and thought Use of materials and processes is limited as are any further modifications to their design.	Record and reflects on progress at various stages Selects and uses materials adeptly and makes further modifications to their design.	Records and reflects on progress in detail at various stages Selects and uses materials innovatively and creatively and further develops idea to define and refine it.	Box 14, 15, 16, 17 , 18 and photos 1, 2 &3
Product/model is finished to a poor standard/or is incomplete.	Completes a product/model to a good standard. Model/product accurately reflects design.	Completes a product/model to a high standard. Model/product accurately reflects design.	
Limited range of making skills apparent.	Demonstrates accuracy of making skills.	Demonstrates a range of making skills/ and or complexity.	
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	17 18 19 20 21 22	
Presents limited modifications to their idea, using basic annotated sketches.	Presents some realistic and detailed modifications to their idea, using annotated sketches.	Presents realistic and detailed modifications to their idea, using annotated sketches; improvements are creative.	Box 18
Produces a limited evaluation of their product identifying some strengths and weaknesses and shows limited consideration of the users/market.	Produces a reasonable evaluation of their product identifying some strengths and weaknesses and shows good consideration of the users/market.	Produces a detailed evaluation of their product identifying strengths and weaknesses and shows good consideration of the users/market.	Box 18
Some evidence of evaluation of their design against their product specification at a superficial level.	Reasonable evaluation of their design against their product specification.	Evaluates their design thoroughly against their product specification	Box 18
0 1 2 3	4 5	6 7 8	

Initial Thoughts	Designing	Development	Making	Evaluating

Name:	Candidate No:	Centre No:	Examiner
-------	---------------	------------	----------

02 Paper F521

Two outline presentations to an expert/ panel will be presented; answers will be in the form of written material supported by annotated sketches. (10 Marks each). QWC is assessed in P and S.

P and S are annotated on script where found, the only other annotation that can be included is the use of a vertical line against anything that is completely irrelevant to question.

P relevant points/issues raised up to 4 marks

Points must cover each bullet point within question and relate specifically to their product and the overriding question.

One bullet point or one relevant point 1 mark

Two bullet points or one bullet point and one relevant point 2 marks

All three bullet points or two bullet points and one relevant point 3 Marks

All three bullet points **and** one further **relevant** point 4 Marks

S supporting example and/or sketches up to 3 marks

Must relate to the three bullet points

QWC quality of written communication up to 3 marks (this is not annotated on paper as it is an overview of whole discussion)

QWC Level descriptors

3 Marks: Presents information and arguments in a clear and concise manner, using appropriate technical phrases and high quality written communication skills.

2 Marks: Presents information and arguments in a reasonably clear and concise manner, with limited use of technical phrases and reasonable written communication skills

1 marks: Presents information and arguments in a manner that lacks a clear and concise approach, with little or no use of technical phrases and basic written communication skills.

Use the whole mark range, including maximum marks or zero where appropriate.

1	<p>Discussion may include, these points must be relevant to the product and all three bullet points must be addressed: Discussion may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • modifications you would make to your product to make it more ergonomically friendly to a broader range of users, must relate to anthropometrics specifically, eg size of peoples hands, heights, length of legs, torso etc. (must be in order to improve ergonomics) • Can relate to ergonomics generally, colours, shape of parts, rounded edges, weights, usability etc • cost implications of any modifications, candidates may discuss cost in relation to the materials and manufacturing techniques that could be used. 	<p>A maximum of 2P's could be awarded for bullet point 2 in the case of a candidate discussing why their product is already ergonomic. Supporting points can also be awarded, a maximum of 2S's. Colour can be awarded marks if related to ergonomics e.g safety warnings etc use of red. No marks will be awarded for colour for aesthetic reasons, users popularity etc If cost is mentioned, just saying cost increases or decreases then no marks are awarded, what will specifically increase or decrease cost must be mentioned</p>
2	<p>Discussion may include, these points must be relevant to the product and all three bullet points must be addressed: Discussion may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • improvements to the product, could relate to colour if specific, shape, symmetry, balance, form, texture, use of specific materials, patterns, fashion and style etc • discussion of how materials, colours, patterns, fashion etc could increase sales, make product have a wider market, candidates might suggest sponsorship by companies at an event, use at other events etc • Both reference to batch or mass production, suitable for the product they designed and suitable methods of manufacture must be suggested e.g. mass produced by injection moulding or batch produced by JIT. 	<p>Materials and manufacturing techniques that could be used – must be suitable for level of production, no marks for last bullet if the manufacture process suggested isn't suitable for the level of production.</p>

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
1 Hills Road
Cambridge
CB1 2EU

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Education and Learning

Telephone: 01223 553998

Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations
is a Company Limited by Guarantee
Registered in England
Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU
Registered Company Number: 3484466
OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
Head office
Telephone: 01223 552552
Facsimile: 01223 552553

© OCR 2012

