

## A Level History A

### Unit Y214

### France 1814–1870

### Sample Question Paper

## Date – Morning/Afternoon

Time allowed: 1 hour



**OCR supplied materials:**

- 12 page Answer Booklet

**Other materials required:**

- None



|               |  |  |  |  |  |                  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| First name    |  |  |  |  |  |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Last name     |  |  |  |  |  |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Centre number |  |  |  |  |  | Candidate number |  |  |  |  |

#### INSTRUCTIONS

- Use black ink.
- Complete the boxes above with your name, centre number and candidate number.
- Choose either Question 1 or Question 2 and Answer all parts of the question.
- Write your answer to each question on the Answer Booklet.
- Do **not** write in the bar codes.

#### INFORMATION

- The total mark for this paper is **30**.
- The marks for each question are shown in brackets [ ].
- Quality of extended responses will be assessed in questions marked with an asterisk (\*).
- This document consists of **4** pages.

Answer **EITHER** all of Question 1, or all of Question 2.

**1 (a)** Which of the following had the greater consequences for Napoleon III?

- (i) Participation in the Crimean War
- (ii) The Austro-Prussian War of 1866

Explain your answer with reference to both (i) and (ii).

[10]

**1 (b)\*** How successfully did Louis XVIII rule France?

[20]

**OR**

**2 (a)** Which of the following was the more important in creating opposition to Charles X?

- (i) The religious policies of Charles X
- (ii) Polignac's ministry

Explain your answer with reference to both (i) and (ii).

[10]

**2 (b)\*** How effective was the foreign policy of Louis Philippe?

[20]

**BLANK PAGE**

SPECIMEN

**BLANK PAGE**

SPECIMEN

---

**Copyright Information:**

OCR is committed to seeking permission to reproduce all third-party content that it uses in the assessment materials. OCR has attempted to identify and contact all copyright holders whose work is used in this paper. To avoid the issue of disclosure of answer-related information to candidates, all copyright acknowledgements are reproduced in the OCR Copyright Acknowledgements booklet. This is produced for each series of examinations and is freely available to download from our public website ([www.ocr.org.uk](http://www.ocr.org.uk)) after the live examination series.

If OCR has unwittingly failed to correctly acknowledge or clear any third-party content in this assessment material, OCR will be happy to correct its mistake at the earliest possible opportunity.

For queries or further information please contact the Copyright Team, First Floor, 9 Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 1GE.

OCR is part of the Cambridge Assessment Group; Cambridge Assessment is the brand name of University of Cambridge Local Examinations Syndicate (UCLES), which is itself a department of the University of Cambridge.



**...day June 20XX – Morning/Afternoon**  
**A Level History A**  
**Unit Y214 France 1814–1870**

**MARK SCHEME**

**Duration: 1 hour**

**MAXIMUM MARK 30**

SPECIMEN

**MARKING INSTRUCTIONS****PREPARATION FOR MARKING****SCORIS**

1. Make sure that you have accessed and completed the relevant training packages for on–screen marking: *scoris assessor Online Training*; *OCR Essential Guide to Marking*.
2. Make sure that you have read and understood the mark scheme and the question paper for this unit. These are posted on the RM Cambridge Assessment Support Portal <http://www.rm.com/support/ca>
3. Log–in to scoris and mark the **required number** of practice responses (“scripts”) and the **required number** of standardisation responses.

YOU MUST MARK 10 PRACTICE AND 10 STANDARDISATION RESPONSES BEFORE YOU CAN BE APPROVED TO MARK LIVE SCRIPTS.

**TRADITIONAL**

Before the Standardisation meeting you must mark at least 10 scripts from several centres. For this preliminary marking you should use **pencil** and follow the **mark scheme**. Bring these **marked scripts** to the meeting.

**MARKING**

1. Mark strictly to the mark scheme.
2. Marks awarded must relate directly to the marking criteria.
3. The schedule of dates is very important. It is essential that you meet the scoris 50% and 100% (traditional 50% Batch 1 and 100% Batch 2) deadlines. If you experience problems, you must contact your Team Leader (Supervisor) without delay.
4. If you are in any doubt about applying the mark scheme, consult your Team Leader by telephone, email or via the scoris messaging system.

5. Work crossed out:
- where a candidate crosses out an answer and provides an alternative response, the crossed out response is not marked and gains no marks
  - if a candidate crosses out an answer to a whole question and makes no second attempt, and if the inclusion of the answer does not cause a rubric infringement, the assessor should attempt to mark the crossed out answer and award marks appropriately.
6. Always check the pages (and additional objects if present) at the end of the response in case any answers have been continued there. If the candidate has continued an answer there then add a tick to confirm that the work has been seen.
7. There is a NR (No Response) option. Award NR (No Response)
- if there is nothing written at all in the answer space
  - OR if there is a comment which does not in any way relate to the question (e.g. 'can't do', 'don't know')
  - OR if there is a mark (e.g. a dash, a question mark) which isn't an attempt at the question.
- Note: Award 0 marks – for an attempt that earns no credit (including copying out the question).
8. The scoris **comments box** is used by your Team Leader to explain the marking of the practice responses. Please refer to these comments when checking your practice responses. **Do not use the comments box for any other reason.** If you have any questions or comments for your Team Leader, use the phone, the scoris messaging system, or e-mail.
9. Assistant Examiners will send a brief report on the performance of candidates to their Team Leader (Supervisor) via email by the end of the marking period. The report should contain notes on particular strengths displayed as well as common errors or weaknesses. Constructive criticism of the question paper/mark scheme is also appreciated.
10. For answers marked by levels of response:
- To determine the level** – start at the highest level and work down until you reach the level that matches the answer
  - To determine the mark within the level**, consider the following:

| Descriptor  | Award mark  |
|---|---|
| On the borderline of this level and the one below     | At bottom of level  |
| Just enough achievement on balance for this level     | Above bottom and either below middle or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)       |
| Meets the criteria but with some slight inconsistency | Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available) |
| Consistently meets the criteria for this level        | At top of level   |

### 11. Annotations

| Annotation | Meaning |
|------------|---------|
|            |         |
|            |         |
|            |         |
|            |         |
|            |         |
|            |         |



## 12. Subject-specific Marking Instructions

### INTRODUCTION

Your first task as an Examiner is to become thoroughly familiar with the material on which the examination depends. This material includes:

- the specification, especially the assessment objectives
- the question paper and its rubrics
- the mark scheme.

You should ensure that you have copies of these materials.

You should ensure also that you are familiar with the administrative procedures related to the marking process. These are set out in the OCR booklet **Instructions for Examiners**. If you are examining for the first time, please read carefully **Appendix 5 Introduction to Script Marking: Notes for New Examiners**.

Please ask for help or guidance whenever you need it. Your first point of contact is your Team Leader.

**USING THE MARK SCHEME**

Please study this Mark Scheme carefully. The Mark Scheme is an integral part of the process that begins with the setting of the question paper and ends with the awarding of grades. Question papers and Mark Schemes are developed in association with each other so that issues of differentiation and positive achievement can be addressed from the very start.

This Mark Scheme is a working document; it is not exhaustive; it does not provide 'correct' answers. The Mark Scheme can only provide 'best guesses' about how the question will work out, and it is subject to revision after we have looked at a wide range of scripts.

The Examiners' Standardisation Meeting will ensure that the Mark Scheme covers the range of candidates' responses to the questions, and that all Examiners understand and apply the Mark Scheme in the same way. The Mark Scheme will be discussed and amended at the meeting, and administrative procedures will be confirmed. Co-ordination scripts will be issued at the meeting to exemplify aspects of candidates' responses and achievements; the co-ordination scripts then become part of this Mark Scheme.

Before the Standardisation Meeting, you should read and mark in pencil a number of scripts, in order to gain an impression of the range of responses and achievement that may be expected.

Please read carefully all the scripts in your allocation and make every effort to look positively for achievement throughout the ability range. Always be prepared to use the full range of marks.

**INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR EXAMINERS**

- 1 The co-ordination scripts provide you with *examples* of the standard of each band. The marks awarded for these scripts will have been agreed by the Team Leaders and will be discussed fully at the Examiners' Co-ordination Meeting.
- 2 The specific task-related indicative content for each question will help you to understand how the band descriptors may be applied. However, this indicative content does not constitute the mark scheme: it is material that candidates might use, grouped according to each assessment objective tested by the question. It is hoped that candidates will respond to questions in a variety of ways. Rigid demands for 'what must be a good answer' would lead to a distorted assessment.
- 3 Candidates' answers must be relevant to the question. Beware of prepared answers that do not show the candidate's thought and which have not been adapted to the thrust of the question. Beware also of answers where candidates attempt to reproduce interpretations and concepts that they have been taught but have only partially understood.

|                              |  |
|------------------------------|--|
|                              | <i>AO1: Demonstrate, organise and communicate knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the key features related to the periods studied, making substantiated judgements and exploring concepts, as relevant, of cause, consequence, change, continuity, similarity, difference and significance.</i>                                    |
|                              | <b>Generic mark scheme for Question 1(a) and Question 2(a): Which of the following? [10]</b>   |
| <b>Level 6</b><br>9–10 marks | Both factors are thoroughly analysed and evaluated using accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding of key features of the period, in order to reach a developed and substantiated judgement in relation to the question.   |
| <b>Level 5</b><br>7–8 marks  | Both factors are analysed and evaluated using generally accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding of key features of the period, in order to reach a substantiated judgement in relation to the question.  |
| <b>Level 4</b><br>5–6 marks  | Both factors are analysed and evaluated using relevant knowledge and understanding of key features of the period, however treatment of factors may be un-even with analysis and evaluation of one of the two being only partial. Analysis and evaluation is used to support a reasonable judgement in relation to the question.                    |
| <b>Level 3</b><br>3–4 marks  | Both factors are analysed and evaluated in a partial way, using some relevant knowledge of key features of the period, in order to make a basic judgement in relation to the question.   |
| <b>Level 2</b><br>2 marks    | Limited and generalised knowledge of the period is used to attempt a limited analysis or evaluation of both factors, and this is linked to a very simplistic judgement.  |
| <b>Level 1</b><br>1 mark     | Very limited and generalised knowledge of the period is used to attempt a very limited analysis or evaluation of one of the factors. The other factor is either not considered or there is very limited information or description of the factor with no attempt to use this knowledge. If there is a judgement, this takes the form of assertion. |
| 0 marks                      | Nothing of any relevance to the factors.   |

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
|                                  | <i>AO1: Demonstrate, organise and communicate knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the key features related to the periods studied, making substantiated judgements and exploring concepts, as relevant, of cause, consequence, change, continuity, similarity, difference and significance.</i>   |
|                                  | <b>Generic mark scheme for Question 1(b) and Question 2(b): Essay [20]</b>  |
| <b>Level 6</b><br>17–20<br>marks | There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. Accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding is demonstrated throughout the answer and is consistently evaluated and analysed in order to reach substantiated, developed and sustained judgements.<br>There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent and logically structured. The information presented is entirely relevant and substantiated.   |
| <b>Level 5</b><br>13–16<br>marks | There is a mostly consistent focus on the question. Generally accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding is demonstrated through most of the answer and is evaluated and analysed in order to reach substantiated judgements, but these are not consistently well-developed.<br>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and in the most part substantiated.   |
| <b>Level 4</b><br>10–12<br>marks | The question is generally addressed. Generally accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and understanding is demonstrated through most of the answer with evaluation and some analysis, and this is used appropriately to support the judgements that are made.<br>There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.  |
| <b>Level 3</b><br>7–9<br>marks   | The question is partially addressed. There is demonstration of some relevant knowledge and understanding, which is evaluated and analysed in parts of the answer, but in places knowledge is imparted rather than being used. The analysis is appropriately linked to the judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements may not always be made explicit.<br>The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence. |
| <b>Level 2</b><br>4–6<br>marks   | The focus is more on the topic than the specific demands of the question. Knowledge and understanding is limited and not well used, with only limited evaluation and analysis, which is only sometimes linked appropriately to the judgements made.<br>The information has some relevance, but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.  |
| <b>Level 1</b><br>1–3<br>marks   | The answer relates to the topic but not the specific question. The answer contains only very limited relevant knowledge which is evaluated and analysed in a very limited way. Judgements are unsupported and are not linked to analysis.<br>Relevant knowledge is limited, generalised and poorly used; attempts at argument are no more than assertion.<br>Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.                             |
| 0 marks                          | No evidence of understanding and no demonstration of any relevant knowledge.  |

| Question |     | Answer  | Marks | Guidance  |
|----------|-----|---|-------|---|
| 1        | (a) | <p><b>Which of the following had the greater consequences for Napoleon III?</b></p> <p><b>(i) Participation in the Crimean War</b><br/> <b>(ii) The Austro-Prussian War of 1866</b></p> <p><b>Explain your answer with reference to both (i) and (ii).</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>In dealing with participation in the Crimean War</b>, answers might consider that this was the first major international war fought by France since 1815 and the success brought prestige to Napoleon and changed his relations with Britain.</li> <li>• Answers might consider that the war did lead to Piedmontese forces fighting alongside the French so influenced Napoleon's view of the Italian Question.</li> <li>• Answers might consider that the fact that the Peace Treaty was signed in Paris was an indication of the rising influence of Napoleon III in Europe.</li> <li>• <b>In dealing with the Austro-Prussian War</b>, answers might consider that quick victory led to the Emperor being unable to exert influence and being cut out of a major change in central Europe.</li> <li>• Answers might consider that it led to a change in the balance of power which saw the rise of Prussia and confirmation of its military superiority which ultimately led to a clash between Napoleon and Prussia which ended in the Emperor's defeat.</li> <li>• Answers might consider that it led to further humiliation in the Luxembourg Crisis and the Spanish marriage.</li> </ul> | 10    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No set answer is expected</li> <li>• Judgement must be supported by relevant and accurate material.</li> <li>• Only credit material relevant to the 'consequences for Napoleon III'.</li> <li>• Answers may deal with each factor in turn, then compare them to reach a judgement, or make take a continually comparative approach. Either approach is acceptable.</li> <li>• Knowledge must not be credited in isolation, it should only be credited where it is used as the basis for analysis and evaluation, in line with descriptions in the levels mark scheme.</li> </ul> |

| Question |      | Answer  | Marks | Guidance  |
|----------|------|---|-------|---|
| 1        | (b)* | <p><b>How successfully did Louis XVIII rule France?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>In arguing for success</b>, answers might consider the return after the Hundred Days and the lack of any further serious challenge in the reign. Charles X inherited peacefully.</li> <li>• Answers might consider France was able to pay off the indemnity and return to the concert of Europe. The intervention in Spain was popular and there was more prosperity in the early 1820s.</li> <li>• Answers might consider that the Charter was maintained as a form of constitutional government. Louis did secure a less extreme Chamber after the excesses of the <i>Chambre Introuvable</i> and the White Terror and a more liberal ministry under Decazes.</li> <li>• <b>In arguing that the reign was less successful</b>, answers might consider Louis antagonised opinion in 1814 by restoring the Bourbon flag and demobilisation of the army paving the way for Napoleon's return. Louis was restored only by British arms.</li> <li>• Answers might consider that the more backward-looking ministry under Villèle from 1820 did bolster royal authority but at the expense of purging Liberals and amending the electoral law.</li> </ul> | 20    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No set answer is expected. Answers may argue either was or was not successful.</li> <li>• At higher levels candidates will focus on 'how successful', but at Level 4 may simply list success/failure.</li> <li>• At Level 5 and above there will be judgement as to the relative success of Louis XVIII's rule.</li> <li>• At higher levels candidates might establish criteria against which to judge success; this might include aims, success for whom etc.</li> <li>• To be valid judgements, claims must be supported by relevant and accurate material. If not, they are assertions.</li> <li>• Knowledge must not be credited in isolation, it should only be credited where it is used as the basis for analysis and evaluation, in line with descriptions in the levels mark scheme.</li> </ul> |

| Question |     | Answer   | Marks | Guidance  |
|----------|-----|--|-------|---|
| 2        | (a) | <p><b>Which of the following was the more important in creating opposition to Charles X?</b></p> <p><b>(i) The religious policies of Charles X</b></p> <p><b>(ii) Polignac's ministry</b></p> <p><b>Explain your answer with reference to both (i) and (ii).</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>In dealing with religious policy</b>, answers might consider the Anti-Sacrilege Act of 1825 and its effect.</li> <li>• Answers might consider the middle class alienation by efforts to restore Church privilege.</li> <li>• Answers might consider the opposition of liberal Catholics who disliked the Ultramontanism.</li> <li>• <b>In dealing with Polignac</b>, answers might consider the unpopularity of the unrepresentative Polignac ministry.</li> <li>• Answers might consider the attempts to negate the election of 1830.</li> <li>• Answers might consider the short-term effects of a seeming return to an absolute monarchy in the Ordinances of St Cloud.</li> </ul> | 10    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No set answer is expected.</li> <li>• Judgement must be supported by relevant and accurate material. If not, mark as assertion.</li> <li>• Only credit material relevant to the opposition to Charles X.</li> <li>• Answers may deal with each factor in turn, then compare them to reach a judgement, or make take a continually comparative approach. Either approach is acceptable.</li> <li>• Knowledge must not be credited in isolation, it should only be credited where it is used as the basis for analysis and evaluation, in line with descriptions in the levels mark scheme.</li> </ul> |



| Question | Answer  | Marks | Guidance   |
|----------|---|-------|--|
| 2 (b)*   | <p><b>How effective was the foreign policy of Louis Philippe?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>In arguing that the policy was effective,</b> answers might consider that Louis Philippe was wise to accept an international agreement over Belgium and that the expulsion of the Dutch king was in France's interests.</li> <li>• Answers might consider that increasing cooperation with Great Britain established support for a liberal monarchy.</li> <li>• Answers might consider cooperation with Britain against Russian expansion in protection of French trading interests in the Levant.</li> <li>• <b>In arguing that the policy was less effective,</b> answers might consider the rupture with Britain over Spain in 1846 and the unpopularity that emerged from too much friendship with England earlier.</li> <li>• Answers might consider that the policy of Thiers towards Mehmet Ali led to a failure to protect a French protégé.</li> <li>• Answers might suggest that bringing back Napoleon's remains pointed out the difference between the Napoleonic successes and the limited impact of Louis Philippe's policy.</li> </ul> | 20    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No set answer is expected.</li> <li>• At higher levels candidates will focus on 'how effective' but at Level 4 may simply list successes and failures.</li> <li>• At Level 5 and above there will be judgement as to the relative importance of the extent of the effectiveness.</li> <li>• At higher levels candidates might establish criteria against which to judge the threat.</li> <li>• To be valid judgements, claims must be supported by relevant and accurate material. If not, they are assertions.</li> <li>• Knowledge must not be credited in isolation, it should only be credited where it is used as the basis for analysis and evaluation, in line with descriptions in the levels mark scheme.</li> </ul> |

## Assessment Objectives (AO) Grid

| Question | AO1 | AO2 | AO3 | Total |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| 1a/2a    | 10  |     |     | 10    |
| 1b/2b    | 20  |     |     | 20    |
| Totals   | 30  |     |     | 30    |

BLANK PAGE

SPECIMEN

BLANK PAGE

SPECIMEN