



























		<p>sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response has a very good explanation that convincingly and thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated and developed judgements. (AO2)</li> <li>The response demonstrates a good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a well-developed understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and in the most part substantiated.</i></p>	<p>popular outbursts against actions, rejection of imperial demands.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>displays of support by individuals or groups e.g. senators, equestrians, urban poor.</li> <li>the reasons for and context for discontent.</li> </ul> <p>Supporting source details may include:</p> <p><b>Augustus:</b> Suet. <i>Aug.</i> 19: riots and conspiracies; 65 – his family; opposition to marriage laws (Suet. <i>Aug.</i> 34); Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 1.9–10; <i>Res Gestae</i> 5, 10, 34–35 for support; Suet. <i>Aug.</i> 42 complaints, Pliny NH on discontent; Velleius on universal support</p> <p><b>Tiberius:</b> Suet. <i>Tib.</i> 75 reaction to death; Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 1.11f debate on accession; 1.45f discontent with his actions; 3.3 Germanicus' death and Agrippina's opposition 4.52ff; 3.14–16 Piso; Tac. <i>Annals</i> 6.13 riots</p> <p><b>Gaius:</b> assassination Dio 59.29.1, Suet. <i>Gaius</i> 56; plot of Gaetulicus, Lepidus Dio 59.22; Suet. <i>Gaius</i> 13–14 – popularity; support of the Senate</p> <p><b>Claudius:</b> Suet. <i>Claudius</i> 10 – accession – support/opposition; plots: Suet. <i>Claudius</i> 13, 36, Dio 60.14; riots 18; executions 29</p> <p><b>Nero:</b> Agrippina Tac. <i>Annals</i> 13.12–14; 14.1f (Thrasea 14. 11); support / discontent over artistic aims <i>Annals</i> 14. 14–15; Burrus <i>Annals</i> 14.51; riots over Octavia <i>Annals</i> 14.61; 15.44; suspicion over the Fire AD 64; Piso plot –Tac. <i>Annals</i> 15.48-50 for those involved.</p>
Level 3	13–18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response uses a range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw supported, plausible conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)</li> <li>The response has a good explanation that convincingly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach supported judgements, though these are not consistently developed. (AO2)</li> <li>The response demonstrates a reasonable range of accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and a reasonable understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question through most of the answer. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.</i></p>	
Level 2	7–12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response uses some appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw some supported conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)</li> </ul>	

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response has an explanation that analyses and appraises historical events and periods, and this is linked appropriately to judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements may not always be made fully explicit. (AO2)</li> <li>The response demonstrates a limited range of accurate knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though this may lack detail. The question is generally addressed, but the response loses focus in places. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>The information has some relevance, but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence, the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.</i></p>	<p>Analysis of the sources should focus on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the extent of discontent / support using specific examples from selected parts of the period</li> <li>the assessment of opposition and support</li> <li>the different levels of discontent of individual emperors by different groups</li> <li>the presentation of Tiberius, Gaius, Claudius and Nero compared to Augustus</li> <li>evaluation and interpretation of the evidence (archaeological and literary).</li> </ul>
Level 1	1–6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response uses a limited selection of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated in a basic way, and this is linked to basic, generalised judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced. There are some basic conclusions about the historical issue in the question, though these may only be implicitly linked with the analysis and evaluation of the sources. (AO3)</li> <li>The response has some explanation which analyses and appraises historical events and periods in places, and this is linked appropriately to some of the judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements is not made explicit. (AO2)</li> <li>The response demonstrates some limited knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though lacking detail and in places inaccurate. The question is only partially addressed. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.</i></p>	
	0	No response or no response worthy of credit	

BLANK PAGE

SPECIMEN

<b>*Question 2</b>		How important a role did imperial women play during the reigns of Claudius and Nero?		<b>[30 marks]</b>
<b>Assessment Objectives</b>		<p><b>AO3</b> = 15 marks = Use, analyse and evaluate ancient sources within their historical context to make judgements and reach conclusions about:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>historical events and historical periods studied</li> <li>how the portrayal of events by ancient writers/sources relates to the historical contexts in which they were written/produced.</li> </ul> <p><b>AO2</b> = 10 marks = Analyse and evaluate historical events and historical periods to arrive at substantiated judgements.</p> <p><b>AO1</b> = 5 marks = Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the historical periods studied.</p> <p><b>Please note that while the descriptors for AO2 and AO3 are given separately in the levels, the analysis and evaluation of sources &amp; historical events and historical periods may be combined in responses.</b></p>		
<b>Additional guidance</b>		The 'Indicative content' is an example of historically valid content; any other historically valid content is acceptable and should be credited in line with the levels of response.		
<b>Level</b>	<b>Marks</b>	<b>Level descriptors</b>	<b>Indicative content</b>	
Level 5	25–30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response uses a very good range of fully appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are thoroughly analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, well-developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw fully substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)</li> <li>The response has an excellent explanation that convincingly and very thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated, sustained, and well-developed judgements. (AO2)</li> <li>The response demonstrates a very good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a sophisticated understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent and logically structured. The information presented is entirely relevant and substantiated.</i></p>	<p>No set answer is expected. It is possible to reach the highest marks with conclusion(s) either agreeing, disagreeing, or anywhere between providing the response has addressed the issue of extent. Responses should be marked in-line with the level descriptors.</p> <p>Candidates should look at the significance of the role women played in the reigns of Claudius and Nero. Candidates are likely to analyse the similarities and differences between different imperial women and also the relationship between these imperial women at different times during both emperors' reigns.</p> <p>Candidates may look at:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The presentation of Messalina, Octavia, Poppaea and Agrippina the Younger by the sources.</li> <li>The role in controlling the succession.</li> </ul>	
Level	19–24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response uses a good range of appropriate examples from the ancient</li> </ul>		



4		<p>sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response has a very good explanation that convincingly and thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated and developed judgements. (AO2)</li> <li>The response demonstrates a good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a well-developed understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and in the most part substantiated.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The influence they exerted over the emperor.</li> </ul> <p>There is a wealth of material in Tacitus and Suetonius on the intrigues of the women in the imperial house during the reigns of Claudius and Nero which should be credited as appropriate according to the grids. Sources may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 12.3; Suet. <i>Cl.</i> 26.; Dio. 60.31. on seduction of Claudius by Agrippina and subsequent marriage</li> <li>Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 12.58; Dio 60.33 the marriage of Nero and Octavia</li> <li>Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 12.26, 27; Dio 60.33 honours granted to Agrippina</li> <li>Tac <i>Ann.</i> 13.2; Suet. <i>Ner.</i> 9 Nero's watchword indicating Agrippina's role in bringing about his succession</li> <li>Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 13.2 Agrippina's influence over Nero in the early part of his reign</li> <li>Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 13.5; Dio 61.3 Agrippina becomes less influential as time goes on</li> <li>Suet. <i>Ner.</i> 33-34 Agrippina transfers her affections to Britannicus and eventually leaves the imperial residence</li> <li>Suet. <i>Ner.</i> 35.1 The lack of importance of Octavia</li> <li>Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 14.1 Poppaea's importance at the expense of Octavia</li> <li>Suet. <i>Ner.</i> 34.2-3, 35.2-3 exile and death of Octavia</li> <li>Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 11.29. Suet. <i>Cl.</i> 29, 37 on Messalina's influences</li> </ul>
Level 3	13–18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response uses a range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw supported, plausible conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)</li> <li>The response has a good explanation that convincingly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach supported judgements, though these are not consistently developed. (AO2)</li> <li>The response demonstrates a reasonable range of accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and a reasonable understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question through most of the answer. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.</i></p>	
Level 2	7–12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response uses some appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw some supported conclusions about the historical</li> </ul>	

		<p>issue in the question. (AO3)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response has an explanation that analyses and appraises historical events and periods, and this is linked appropriately to judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements may not always be made fully explicit. (AO2)</li> <li>The response demonstrates a limited range of accurate knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though this may lack detail. The question is generally addressed, but the response loses focus in places. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>The information has some relevance, but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence, the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 11, 26-38 on Messalina's downfall.</li> </ul> <p>Analysis of the sources should focus on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>detailed interpretation and evaluation of the evidence exploring the importance of the roles of the women during the reigns of Claudius and Nero.</li> <li>the possible motives of the authors in presenting the women in the way they do.</li> <li>the difference in importance between Agrippina and other women.</li> </ul>
Level 1	1–6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response uses a limited selection of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated in a basic way, and this is linked to basic, generalised judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced. There are some basic conclusions about the historical issue in the question, though these may only be implicitly linked with the analysis and evaluation of the sources. (AO3)</li> <li>The response has some explanation which analyses and appraises historical events and periods in places, and this is linked appropriately to some of the judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements is not made explicit. (AO2)</li> <li>The response demonstrates some limited knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though lacking detail and in places inaccurate. The question is only partially addressed. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.</i></p>	
	0	No response or no response worthy of credit	

BLANK PAGE

SPECIMEN

<b>Question 3</b>		How convincing do you find Gruen's interpretation that Augustus suffered "no lapse in authority"?		<b>[20 marks]</b>
<b>Assessment Objectives</b>		<b>AO4</b> = 15 marks = Analyse and evaluate, in context, modern historians' interpretations of the historical events and topics studied. <b>AO1</b> = 5 marks = Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the historical periods studied.		
<b>Additional guidance</b>		<p>The 'Indicative content' is an example of historically valid content; any other historically valid content is acceptable and should be credited in line with the levels of response.</p> <p>Please note that interpretations can be evaluated in the context of the wider historical debate connected with the issue or of the historical context about which the historian was writing. There is no expectation that the interpretation will be evaluated in the context of the methods or approach used by the historian, or how the interpretation may have been affected by the time in which they were writing, though credit can be given for this approach to evaluation if done in a way which is relevant to the question.</p> <p>A learner's knowledge and understanding of the historical period, including the ancient sources may be credited, but only where it is presented in a way which is relevant and intrinsically linked to the analysis/evaluation/use of the interpretation, it should not be credited in isolation.</p>		
<b>Level</b>	<b>Marks</b>	<b>Level descriptors</b>	<b>Indicative content</b>	
Level 5	17–20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response has a very through and sustained analysis of the interpretation, in context, to produce a convincing and fully substantiated evaluation in relation to the question. (AO4)</li> <li>The response demonstrates a very good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a sophisticated understanding of historical features and characteristics that are fully relevant to the question. (AO1)</li> </ul>	<p>No set answer is expected. It is possible to reach the highest marks with a conclusion either agreeing or disagreeing with the modern historians' interpretation, or anywhere between providing the response has addressed the issue of extent. Responses should be marked in-line with the level descriptors.</p> <p>Answers should evaluate both the interpretation, locating it within the wider historical debate about the issue, and using their own knowledge of the ancient sources and events and periods to reach a judgement about how convincing they find the argument. In locating the interpretation within the wider historical debate, candidates might :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>discuss the specific powers of Augustus mentioned in the passage</li> <li>discuss the importance of the role of the Praetorian Guard</li> <li>discuss why there were no major constitution changes after</li> </ul>	
Level 4	13–16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response has a through and sustained analysis of the interpretation, in context, to produce a convincing and well supported evaluation in relation to the question. (AO4)</li> <li>The response demonstrates a good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a well-developed understanding of historical features and characteristics that are fully relevant to the question. (AO1)</li> </ul>		

Level 3	9–12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response has a good analysis of the interpretation, in context, to produce a supported evaluation in relation to the question. (AO4)</li> <li>The response demonstrates a reasonable range of accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and a reasonable understanding of historical features and characteristics that are relevant to the question. (AO1)</li> </ul>	<p>23 BC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>assess the extent to which Augustus controlled all aspects of the city or Rome and the empire</li> <li>discuss the methods adopted by Augustus to control the Senate and the army.</li> </ul> <p>In evaluating the interpretation, answers might argue that this view is not convincing, pointing towards the following information / ancient sources:</p>
Level 2	5–8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response has some analysis of the interpretation, in context, to produce a partially supported evaluation in relation to the question. (AO4)</li> <li>The response demonstrates a limited range of accurate knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though this may lack detail. (AO1)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Augustus's presentation of his constitutional position in <i>Res Gestae</i> supported by aureus from 28 BC</li> <li>additional powers granted to Augustus in 19 BC</li> <li>mutinies in the army as told by Pliny</li> <li>pro-Augustan sources which show that the Senate had authority (Velleius and <i>Res Gestae</i>).</li> </ul> <p>In evaluating the interpretation, answers might argue that this view is convincing, drawing on the following information / ancient sources:</p>
Level 1	1–4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response has a basic analysis of the interpretation, with parts of the answer just describing the interpretation. Response produces a very basic evaluation in relation to the question. (AO4)</li> <li>The response demonstrates some limited knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though lacking detail and in places inaccurate. (AO1)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tacitus' portrayal of Augustus's principate in the <i>Annals</i> book 1 and his assessment of Tribunician Power in <i>Annals</i> book 2</li> <li>Dio's account of the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> settlements</li> <li>His plans for succession including use of the Tribunician Power</li> <li>His relationship with the Senate and individual Senators.</li> </ul>
	0	No response or no response worthy of credit	

## Section B: Ruling Roman Britain, AD 43–c.128

<b>Question 4</b>	How useful are these sources for our understanding why Claudius invaded Britain in AD 43?		<b>[12 marks]</b>
<b>Assessment Objectives</b>	<p><b>AO1</b> = 6 marks = Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the historical periods studied.</p> <p><b>AO3</b> = 6 marks = Use, analyse and evaluate ancient sources within their historical context to make judgements and reach conclusions about how the portrayal of events by ancient writers/sources relates to the historical contexts in which they were written/produced.</p>		
<b>Additional guidance</b>	The 'Indicative content' is an example of historically valid content; any other historically valid content is acceptable and should be credited in line with the levels of response.		
<b>Level</b>	<b>Marks</b>	<b>Level descriptors</b>	<b>Indicative content</b>
Level 6	11–12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response demonstrates an excellent range of accurate and very detailed knowledge and a very sophisticated depth of understanding of historical features and characteristics that are fully relevant to the question. (AO1)</li> <li>Response uses a very good range of fully appropriate examples from the set of ancient sources. The set of sources is thoroughly analysed and evaluated to reach substantiated, well-developed judgements about how the way the context in which the sources were produced impacts on them and their usefulness for the issue in the question. (AO3)</li> </ul>	<p>No set answer is expected. It is possible to reach the highest marks with conclusion(s) either way as to the source's usefulness to understanding the issue in question providing the response has addressed the issue of extent. Responses should be marked in-line with the level descriptors.</p> <p>Candidates may discuss the following information in relation to contents of the source:</p> <p><u>Gold Stater of Verica</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use of Latin writing shows some sort of link to the Romans.</li> <li>Mineral wealth of the Atrebates tribe in minting gold coins.</li> <li>Undoubtedly synonymous with the British ruler called Berikos (Passage 3).</li> <li>Vine-leaf has been suggested as symbolising the pro-Roman outlook of Verica's kingdom, suggesting Britain easy to attack as accepting of Romanisation.</li> </ul>
Level 5	9–10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response demonstrates a very good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a sophisticated understanding of historical features and characteristics that are fully relevant to the question. (AO1)</li> <li>Response uses a good range of fully appropriate examples from the set of ancient sources. The set of sources is thoroughly analysed and evaluated to reach developed judgements about how the way the context in which the sources were produced impacts on them and their usefulness for the issue in the question. (AO3)</li> </ul>	
Level 4	7–8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response demonstrates a good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a well-developed understanding of historical features and characteristics that are fully relevant to the question. (AO1)</li> <li>Response uses a good range of appropriate examples from the set of</li> </ul>	

		ancient sources. The set of sources is analysed and evaluated to reach developed judgements about how the way the context in which the sources were produced impacts on them and their usefulness for the issue in the question. (AO3)	<u>Gold Stater of Cunobelin(us)</u>
Level 3	5–6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response demonstrates a reasonable range of accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and a reasonable understanding of historical features and characteristics that are relevant to the question. (AO1)</li> <li>Response uses a reasonable range of appropriate examples from the set of ancient sources. The set of sources is analysed and evaluated to make some basic judgements about how the way the context in which the sources were produced impacts on them and their usefulness for the issue in the question. (AO3)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use of Latin writing shows some sort of link to the Romans.</li> <li>Mineral wealth of the Catuvellauni tribe in minting gold coins and the ear of barely shows agricultural wealth of tribe potentially showing economic benefits for an invasion.</li> <li>Camulodunum originally stronghold occupied by Trinovantes now minting Catuvellauni coins, perhaps reinforcing Suetonius' statement "the island at this time was in turmoil" showing expansion of the tribe (against Caesar's treaty with Cassivellaunus).</li> </ul>
Level 2	3–4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response demonstrates a limited range of accurate knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though this may lack detail. (AO1)</li> <li>Response uses a few appropriate examples from the set of ancient sources. The set of sources is analysed and evaluated in a basic way to make some basic judgements about how the way the context in which the sources were produced impacts on them and their usefulness for the issue in the question. (AO3)</li> </ul>	<u>Cassius Dio, Roman History 60.19.1</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Suggests that Verica (or Berikos) persuaded Claudius to invade as a result of an uprising in Britain, possibly as a result of the growth of the Catuvellauni.</li> </ul>
Level 1	1–2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response demonstrates some limited knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though lacking detail and in places inaccurate. (AO1)</li> <li>Response uses a few appropriate examples from the set of ancient sources. The set of sources is analysed and evaluated in a basic way but judgements about how the context in which the sources were produced impacts on them and their usefulness for the issue in the question are either not present or are not linked to analysis and are merely assertions. (AO3)</li> </ul>	<u>Suetonius, Claudius, 17.1–2</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Suggesting Claudius' invasion of Britain was for show and that he put very limited effort into it.</li> <li>Claudius had been officially accepted by the Senate but he was greedy for glory and spoils of war.</li> <li>Britain would get Claudius maximum kudos and mystery surrounding Britain after Augustus / Gaius' failure to invade.</li> <li>Suggests Britain easy to invade as divided / British infighting a threat to Roman trade (especially corn)?</li> </ul>
	0	No response or no response worthy of credit	

<b>*Question 5</b>	'The Britons benefitted from the Roman occupation of Britain throughout the period.' How far do you agree with this view? <b>[36 marks]</b>		
<b>Assessment Objectives</b>	<p><b>AO3</b> = 18 marks = Use, analyse and evaluate ancient sources within their historical context to make judgements and reach conclusions about:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• historical events and historical periods studied</li> <li>• how the portrayal of events by ancient writers/sources relates to the historical contexts in which they were written/produced.</li> </ul> <p><b>AO2</b> = 12 marks = Analyse and evaluate historical events and historical periods to arrive at substantiated judgements.</p> <p><b>AO1</b> = 6 marks = Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the historical periods studied.</p> <p><b>Please note that while the descriptors for AO2 and AO3 are given separately in the levels, the analysis and evaluation of sources &amp; historical events and historical periods may be combined in responses.</b></p>		
<b>Additional guidance</b>	The 'Indicative content' is an example of historically valid content; any other historically valid content is acceptable and should be credited in line with the levels of response.		
<b>Level</b>	<b>Marks</b>	<b>Level descriptors</b>	<b>Indicative content</b>
Level 6		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Response uses an excellent range of fully appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are very thoroughly analysed and evaluated, to reach very logically reasoned and well-developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw fully substantiated, very convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)</li> <li>• The response has an excellent explanation that convincingly and very thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated, sustained, and well-developed judgements. (AO2)</li> <li>• The response demonstrates an excellent range of accurate and very detailed knowledge and a very sophisticated depth of understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent and logically structured. The information presented is entirely relevant and substantiated.</i></p>	<p>No set answer is expected. It is possible to reach the highest marks with conclusion(s) either agreeing, disagreeing, or anywhere between providing the response has addressed the issue of extent. Responses should be marked in-line with the level descriptors.</p> <p>Candidates should look at the term 'the Britons' and expand upon the different groups within this term and different geographical areas. Candidates may look at the similarities and differences between different groups and areas, and also changes over the period in question.</p> <p>Answers are likely to include information on:</p>
Level 5	25–30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Response uses a very good range of fully appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are thoroughly analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, well-developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw fully substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)</li> <li>• The response has a very good explanation that convincingly and thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The arrival of the <i>Pax Romana</i> brought an end to a semi-permanent state of warring, raids and mutual enslavement which existed among the British.</li> <li>• Physical benefits for client status and benefits for aristocrats.</li> <li>• Increasing levels of involvement in</li> </ul>



		<p>substantiated, sustained and developed and judgements. (AO2)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response demonstrates a very good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a sophisticated understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information is relevant and in the most part substantiated.</i></p>	<p>Romanisation saw a growth in other activities connected with trade. Roman goods now available to more than aristocracy.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mixing of British and Roman cultures, including religion. The treatment of Druids and attack on British religion.</li> <li>The development of <i>colonia</i> and the one certain <i>municipium</i> (Verulamium) gave the inhabitants advantages over those not covered by Roman or Latin law.</li> <li>Preservation of local identities in the <i>civitates</i>.</li> <li>The loss of native freedom.</li> <li>The increased taxation levied on the population.</li> <li>Exploitation and near-enslavement of the native population by incomers – the downside of the development of villa estates.</li> </ul> <p>Supporting source details may include:</p>
Level 4	19–24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response uses a good range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)</li> <li>The response has a good explanation that convincingly and fully analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated and developed judgements. (AO2)</li> <li>The response demonstrates a good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a well-developed understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>There is a line of reasoning with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Archaeology showing Romanisation: Chichester dedication slabs (RIB 91 &amp; 92); Verulamium forum inscription.</li> <li>Strabo, <i>Geography</i> 4.5.1–2, 4.5.4.</li> <li>Tacitus, <i>Agricola</i>.</li> <li>Treatment shown to the British during expansion: Cassius Dio; Tacitus, <i>Annals</i>.</li> <li>Vindolanda Tablets.</li> <li>Colchester, Fishbourne Palace, Lockleys villa.</li> </ul> <p>Analysis of the sources might focus on:</p>
Level 3	13–18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response uses a range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw supported, plausible conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)</li> <li>The response has an explanation that convincingly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach supported judgements, though these are not consistently developed. (AO2)</li> <li>The response demonstrates a reasonable range of accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and a reasonable understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question through most of the answer. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Most of the literary sources focus on the military expansion; nevertheless Tacitus is keen on impressing the importance of Romanisation as the job of a Roman governor.</li> <li>Use of speeches / omens – General rhetorically expressed reasons for the anti-roman</li> </ul>
Level 2	7–12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response uses some appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach judgements about how the way they portray</li> </ul>	

		<p>events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw some supported conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The response has an explanation that analyses and appraises historical events and periods, and this is linked appropriately to judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements may not always be made fully explicit. (AO2)</li> <li>• The response demonstrates a limited range of accurate knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though this may lack detail. The question is generally addressed, but the response loses focus in places. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>The information has some relevance, but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.</i></p>	<p>sentiments such as over-taxation and burdens of Roman rule need to be evaluated.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Much of the archaeology were produced and paid for by aristocrats but this could be compared with the countryside.</li> <li>• The limitations of epigraphic evidence in terms of the amount which survives / may survive, and the limited sections of society who made use of it.</li> </ul>
Level 1	1–6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Response uses a limited selection of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated in a basic way, and this is linked to some basic, generalised judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced. There are some basic conclusions about the historical issue in the question, though these may only be implicitly linked with the analysis and evaluation of the sources. (AO3)</li> <li>• The response has some explanation which analyses and appraises historical events and periods in places, and this is linked appropriately to some of the judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements is not made explicit. (AO2)</li> <li>• The response demonstrates some limited knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though lacking detail and in places inaccurate. The question is only partially addressed. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.</i></p>	
	0	No response or no response worthy of credit	

BLANK PAGE

SPECIMEN

<b>*Question 6</b>		'Boudicca's rebellion was a direct result of widespread mistreatment of the Britons by the Romans since the invasion of AD 43.' How far do you agree with this view? <b>[36 marks]</b>	
<b>Assessment Objectives</b>		<p><b>AO3</b> = 18 marks = Use, analyse and evaluate ancient sources within their historical context to make judgements and reach conclusions about:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>historical events and historical periods studied</li> <li>how the portrayal of events by ancient writers/sources relates to the historical contexts in which they were written/produced.</li> </ul> <p><b>AO2</b> = 12 marks = Analyse and evaluate historical events and historical periods to arrive at substantiated judgements</p> <p><b>AO1</b> = 6 marks = Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the historical periods studied.</p> <p><b>Please note that while the descriptors for AO2 and AO3 are given separately in the levels, the analysis and evaluation of sources &amp; historical events and historical periods may be combined in responses.</b></p>	
<b>Additional guidance</b>		The 'Indicative content' is an example of historically valid content; any other historically valid content is acceptable and should be credited in line with the levels of response.	
<b>Level</b>	<b>Marks</b>	<b>Level descriptors</b>	<b>Indicative content</b>
Level 6	31–36	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response uses an excellent range of fully appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are very thoroughly analysed and evaluated, to reach very logically reasoned and well-developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw fully substantiated, very convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)</li> <li>The response has an excellent explanation that convincingly and very thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated, sustained, and well-developed judgements. (AO2)</li> <li>The response demonstrates an excellent range of accurate and very detailed knowledge and a very sophisticated depth of understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent and logically structured. The information presented is entirely relevant and substantiated.</i></p>	<p>No set answer is expected. It is possible to reach the highest marks with conclusion(s) either agreeing, disagreeing, or anywhere between providing the response has addressed the issue of extent. Responses should be marked in-line with the level descriptors.</p> <p>Candidates will need to analyse the causes of the Boudiccan revolt to ascertain whether it was as a result of widespread mistreatment. There should be an awareness of the variety of treatment over the timeframe in question with the encouragement of client kings, but what appears to be a sudden change in the period just before AD 60 under Nero.</p> <p>Answers are likely to include some information on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Immediate causes of the rebellion as covered by Tacitus and Cassius Dio.</li> <li>Conquest and insistence on compliance with Roman rule: Caratacus and the Silures rebellion; early treatment of the Iceni, disarming the</li> </ul>
Level 5	25–30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response uses a very good range of fully appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are thoroughly analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, well-developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw fully substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)</li> </ul>	

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response has a very good explanation that convincingly and thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated, sustained and developed judgements. (AO2)</li> <li>The response demonstrates a very good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a sophisticated understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information is relevant and in the most part substantiated.</i></p>	<p>population even in client kingdoms; Attitude of anti-Roman Venutius against Cartimandua in Brigantia; Attack on Mona attempting to wipe out Druids and rebellion stronghold.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>More positive aspects: trade, political links, use made of client kings who seems to have accepted Roman terms after initial trouble in AD 47, growth of some towns, trade, known from archaeology.</li> <li>Further divergences which suggest hostility not only to the Romans but also to Romanized Britons.</li> </ul>
Level 4	19–24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response uses a good range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)</li> <li>The response has a good explanation that convincingly and fully analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated and developed judgements. (AO2)</li> <li>The response demonstrates a good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a well-developed understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>There is a line of reasoning with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.</i></p>	<p>Supporting source details may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tacitus, <i>Annals</i> 12.31–40, 14.29–30 – Ostorius Scapula to Diduius Gallus AD 47–52, attack on Mona AD 60.</li> <li>Tacitus, <i>Annals</i> 14.31–37, 14.38–39 – Revolt and aftermath.</li> <li>Cassius Dio, <i>Roman History</i> 62.1.1–3.4, 62.7.1–9.2 – Revolt and Boudicca’s death.</li> <li>Suetonius, <i>Vespasian</i> 4.1–2 – Viciousness of invading Roman troops and fierceness of British opposition.</li> </ul>
Level 3	13–18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response uses a range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw supported, plausible conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)</li> <li>The response has an explanation that convincingly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach supported judgements, though these are not consistently developed. (AO2)</li> <li>The response demonstrates a reasonable range of accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and a reasonable understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question through most of the answer. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Coins Verica / Cunobelin(us), Suetonius, <i>Claudius</i>, 17.1–2.</li> <li>Chichester dedication slab / dedication to Nero – demonstrating citizenship granted to leading Britons.</li> <li>Arch of Claudius – celebrating military might over British tribes.</li> </ul> <p>Analysis of the sources might focus on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The sole reliance on Cassius Dio (for AD 43) and Tacitus (AD 47–59) and the similarities/differences for their versions of the revolt.</li> <li>The bias and purposes of the different authors.</li> </ul>

Level 2	7–12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Response uses some appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw some supported conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)</li> <li>• The response has an explanation that analyses and appraises historical events and periods, and this is linked appropriately to judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements may not always be made fully explicit. (AO2)</li> <li>• The response demonstrates a limited range of accurate knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though this may lack detail. The question is generally addressed, but the response loses focus in places. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>The information has some relevance, but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use of speeches / omens – General rhetorically expressed reasons for the rebellion such as over-taxation and burdens of Roman rule need to be evaluated – did other tribes not join in – if not why?</li> <li>• Archaeology may be discussed in this context – Colchester, London, Verulamium, debate on the ‘Boudiccan destruction event horizon’.</li> </ul>
Level 1	1–6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Response uses a limited selection of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated in a basic way, and this is linked to some basic, generalised judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced. There are some basic conclusions about the historical issue in the question, though these may only be implicitly linked with the analysis and evaluation of the sources. (AO3)</li> <li>• The response has some explanation which analyses and appraises historical events and periods in places, and this is linked appropriately to some of the judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements is not made explicit. (AO2)</li> <li>• The response demonstrates some limited knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though lacking detail and in places inaccurate. The question is only partially addressed. (AO1)</li> </ul> <p><i>Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.</i></p>	
	0	No response or no response worthy of credit	

## Assessment Objective Grid

	Distribution of marks for each Assessment Objective			
Section A	AO1	AO2	AO3	AO4
Questions 1 & 2	5	10	15	–
Question 3	5	–	–	15
Section B	AO1	AO2	AO3	AO4
Question 4	6	–	6	–
Questions 5 & 6	6	12	18	–
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>22</b> <b>(22.5%)</b>	<b>22</b> <b>(22.5%)</b>	<b>39</b> <b>(40%)</b>	<b>15</b> <b>(15%)</b>

BLANK PAGE

SPECIMEN