

**Business Studies**

Advanced GCE F295

People in Organisations

**Mark Scheme for June 2010**

---

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of pupils of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, OCR Nationals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by Examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the Report on the Examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2010

Any enquiries about publications should be addressed to:

OCR Publications  
PO Box 5050  
Annesley  
NOTTINGHAM  
NG15 0DL

Telephone: 0870 770 6622  
Facsimile: 01223 552610  
E-mail: [publications@ocr.org.uk](mailto:publications@ocr.org.uk)

Question	Answer	Marks	Rationale
1 (a)	<p><b>Using Table 2, calculate the percentage of the total <u>number</u> of holidays sold in May 2009 which were sold by Liz</b>  <b>Up to two marks</b></p> <p>Liz sells 18  Total sold = 27 + 42 + 18 + 33 = 120 [1]  % number sold by Liz = <math>\frac{18 \times 100}{120} = 15\%</math> [1]</p> <p><b>NB If correct answer given, award 2 marks.</b></p>	[2]	<p>If just 15 – with no % give 1 mark.</p> <p>OFR applies. If the numbers are wrong and the method for calculating the percentage is right, give 1 mark.</p>
(b)	<p><b>Calculate the difference in the total value of holidays sold in May 2008 and May 2009</b>  <b>Up to two marks</b></p> <p>Total value of holidays sold in 2009 = £254,000 [1]  and  Total value of holidays sold in 2008 = £233,600  Difference = £ 20,400 [1]  (Also allow as a percentage) 8.73% or 9% if rounded up.</p> <p><b>NB If correct answer given, award 2 marks</b></p>	[2]	<p>If there is no £ sign or if the % is missing, give 1 mark.</p>
2	<p><b>Analyse two HR reasons why Stephanie may have sold more holidays than Liz.</b></p> <p>Candidates may compare the following:  Stephanie may have more experience/been with SG for longer and/or more training/knowledge of the destinations. She may be more senior and, therefore, have priority with customers. She may have built-up repeat customers. She may be better at communication and, therefore, able to sell more holidays. Using the table, the average price of the holidays sold is cheaper than those of Liz therefore, may be easier to sell (though there is no information on any of the actual holidays sold.) Stephanie may be more motivated by money (Tayloristic) than Liz, or her needs are greater (family commitments). Age.  Success on training.(see Table 1)  There may be different shift patterns that affect sales.</p>	[6]	<p>If they have analysed 1 reason, give 5 marks. Similarly give 3 marks for one application at level 2.  Once a reason is stated, there needs to be a link from the reason to the number of holidays sold to show analysis.</p>

Question	Answer	Marks	Rationale
	<p><b>Level 3</b> Candidate demonstrates analytical skills when considering the reasons for a greater level of sales. ARA in the context of SoonGo. Relatively straight forward ideas have been expressed with some clarity and fluency. Arguments are generally relevant, though may stray from the point of the question.</p> <p><b>Level 2</b> Candidate is able to apply relevant suggestions as to why Stephanie sold more holidays. Some simple ideas have been expressed in an appropriate context.</p> <p><b>Level 1</b> Candidate offers relevant theoretical knowledge only. Some simple ideas have been expressed.</p>	<p>[5-6]</p> <p>[3-4]</p> <p>[1-2]</p>	
3	<p><b>Analyse two ways in which data protection legislation may affect the operation of SG.</b></p> <p>References to the Data Protection Act of 1998 or any other Data protection legislation. Details of employee's date of birth, bank details, etc. cannot be passed on to a third party without consent of the employee. Therefore change in procedures (cost). The passing of such information has to be 'necessary'. The storage of customer details and its consequences may also be an issue. Cost of storage. Ensuring permission is gained if information passed on. Lack of trust hampering working environment (hygiene factor Herzberg)</p> <p><b>Level 3</b> Candidate demonstrates analytical skills when considering data protection and how it affects SoonGo</p> <p><b>Level 2</b> Candidate is able to apply relevant suggestions as to how SoonGo may be affected</p>	<p>[6]</p> <p>[5-6]</p> <p>[3-4]</p>	<p>Allow answers that consider the consequences to SG.</p> <p>If they have analysed one reason give 5 marks. Similarly, if they have used application for 1 factor give 3 marks.</p>



Question	Answer	Marks	Rationale
	<p><b>Level 2</b> Candidate is able to apply relevant knowledge of appraisal. Some simple ideas have been expressed in an appropriate context.</p> <p><b>Level 1</b> Candidate offers relevant theoretical knowledge only. Some simple ideas have been expressed.</p>	<p>[3-5]</p> <p>[1-2]</p>	
5	<p><b>Recommend and justify an appropriate strategy for SG to improve its workforce performance measurement criteria.</b></p> <p>ARA but may include references to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• productivity</li> <li>• sales by number or value</li> <li>• attendance/absence</li> <li>• customer feedback</li> <li>• absenteeism</li> </ul> <p>References to what is wrong with the existing system and the effects upon SG are/is an obvious path.</p>	[13]	<p>Developing an appropriate strategy is an acceptable answer, as is a discussion for the process of implementation. Do not accept references to “labour turnover” as a criterion.</p>
	<p><b>Level 4</b> Candidate demonstrates evaluative skills when discussing appropriate strategies for improving workforce performance measurement criteria.</p> <p><b>Level 3</b> Candidate demonstrates analytical skills when considering workforce performance measurement criteria.</p>	<p>[9-13]</p> <p>[6-8]</p>	
	<p><b>Level 2</b> Candidate is able to apply relevant suggestions to knowledge of workforce performance criteria.</p> <p><b>Level 1</b> Candidate offers only theoretical knowledge of workforce performance criteria. Some simple ideas have been expressed.</p>	<p>[3-5]</p> <p>[1-2]</p>	

Question	Answer	Marks	Rationale
6 *	<p><b>Considering HR <u>and other issues</u> should SG end its training programme? Justify your answer.</b></p> <p>References to any of the following are relevant. The methods of training available (to compare with on-the-job), off-the job, both internal and external. The cost of training, the training needs of SoonGo, availability of staff and time. Any loss of sales whilst training in operation. Linked references to marketing, finance needs/consequences.</p>	[18]	If they only refer to HR, hold to the bottom of the level. Reference to Costs/quality/customer service are sufficient to be classed as another issue (finance/ops man/marketing)
	<p><b>Level 4 – Level description</b> Complex ideas have been expressed clearly and fluently using a style of writing appropriate to the complex subject matter. Sentences and paragraphs, consistently relevant, have been well structured, using appropriate technical terminology. There may be few, if any, errors of spelling punctuation and grammar.</p> <p><b>Level 3</b> Candidate demonstrates analytical skills when considering training. Relatively straight forward ideas have been expressed with some clarity and fluency. Arguments are generally relevant, though may stray from the point of the question. There will be some errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar, but these are unlikely to be intrusive or obscure meaning.</p> <p><b>Level 2</b> Some simple ideas have been expressed in an appropriate context. There are likely to be some errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar of which some may be noticeable and intrusive.</p> <p><b>Level 1</b> Candidate offers only theoretical knowledge of training. Some simple ideas have been expressed. There will be some errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar which will be noticeable and intrusive. Writing may also lack legibility</p> <p><b>NB An answer which only includes or does not include human resources issues should only be awarded the lowest mark in the appropriate level.</b></p>	<p>[13-18]</p> <p>[7-12]</p> <p>[3-6]</p> <p>[1-2]</p>	

**OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)**  
**1 Hills Road**  
**Cambridge**  
**CB1 2EU**

**OCR Customer Contact Centre**

**14 – 19 Qualifications (General)**

Telephone: 01223 553998

Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: [general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk](mailto:general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk)

**[www.ocr.org.uk](http://www.ocr.org.uk)**

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

**Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations**  
is a Company Limited by Guarantee  
Registered in England  
Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU  
Registered Company Number: 3484466  
OCR is an exempt Charity



**OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)**  
Head office  
Telephone: 01223 552552  
Facsimile: 01223 552553