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SCHEME OF ASSESSMENT

Part 1 Presentation and discussion (5 minutes)

Timings: presentation 1 minute + discussion 4 minutes

Content and communication 10 marks
Quality and range 10 marks
Pronunciation and intonation 5 marks

Part 2 General Conversation (5 minutes)

Content and communication 10 marks
Quality and range 10 marks
Pronunciation and intonation 5 marks

Total 50 marks

Marking should be stopped at 10 minutes total. If each part is longer than 5 minutes and the recording is longer than 10 minutes total then only assess the first 5 minutes of each part.

General marking principles

The basic principle is that marks are to be awarded when candidate’s utterance would communicate a correct, relevant meaning to a sympathetic native speaker of the target language who does not speak English.

If the same topic is used for both parts of the test (i.e. the topic chosen by the candidate for the presentation and the topic chosen from the list of three on the random order sheet are both the same or overlap significantly) the examiner should record centre details and pass this information to PE and to the QM in the first instance. The QM will determine if further action is necessary.

Content and communication assessment criteria

Open ended questions are expected in the conversation between teacher and candidate. The teacher may start with a few closed questions to build confidence before moving into more open ended questions. A conversation consisting of closed or limited questions will not allow the candidate to develop points of view and will not allow them to be awarded marks above the 5-6 band for content and communication.

Relevant information

Irrelevant information is when candidates try to talk about something that is not relevant to the topic the candidate has chosen or the question the teacher has asked on that topic.

Hesitation

- Mark band 1 - 2: It should be expected at this level that candidates will hesitate throughout.
- Mark band 3 - 4: It should be expected at this level that candidates will hesitate a lot.
Points of view

Ideas and points of view about the topic are very important for marks of 5 – 6 and above. Examples:

- An opinion would be “I like football” (band 3-4)
- A point of view would be “Football helps you to keep fit” (band 5-6)
- A justification would be “I like football because it helps to keep me fit. It’s important to keep fit so that I can go to school every day.” (band 7-8)

Quality and range assessment criteria

The important criteria for differentiating in each mark band are highlighted. It is important to note the different requirements in respect of tenses:

Mark band 9-10: confident and accurate use of a variety of clause types including tenses.

Mark band 7-8: A range of clause types with some consistent manipulation of verb structures and tenses.

Mark band 5-6: may include different tenses (this means there is no mandatory requirement for a candidate to use different tenses to be awarded a mark in this band).

Mark band 3-4: some awareness of timeframes (this could be expressed by an adverb of time and does not require the use of a specific tense).

Pronunciation and intonation assessment criteria

Transliteration of English words should not always be rewarded. If a teacher queries a dubious pronunciation and the candidate corrects the pronunciation credit can still be earned.
### Part 1 and Part 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score Range</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 - 10</td>
<td>The candidate responds fully to all questions, including open-ended ones. Uses relevant information to develop and justify individual ideas and points of view. Produces information confidently and spontaneously without being cued.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 - 8</td>
<td>Responds well to questions, including open ended ones. Routinely gives relevant information, expresses opinions and provides justifications. Sometimes develops and explains own ideas. Generally confident.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - 6</td>
<td>Communicates information and responds to questions. Readily expresses points of view. Can deal with some open-ended questions. Only occasional hesitation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - 4</td>
<td>Gives some information and expresses some opinions. Makes some attempt to deal with open-ended questions. Hesitant at times.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - 2</td>
<td>Communicates with simple, short pieces of information in response to straightforward questions. Can express simple opinions. Attempts to link straightforward ideas. Hesitation usually in response to open-ended questions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Communicates no relevant information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Part 1 and Part 2

### Quality of language

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>Confident and accurate use of a variety of clause types, vocabulary, idioms and structures, including verb structures and tenses. Errors, noticeable only in the most ambitious language. Fluent, coherent, consistent, controlled and varied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>A range of clause types with some consistent manipulation of verb structures and tenses. Successful with complex language features, though with some inaccuracy. Overall, in control of the material.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>Uses a range of straightforward structures and vocabulary, which may include different tenses or time frames. Can connect verbs. The response is sufficiently accurate for the basis of the message to be clear and reasonably coherent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>Some awareness of verbs and time frames, but inconsistent overall. Straightforward vocabulary and structures. Everyday messages are sufficiently accurate to be comprehensible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>Only a few phrases or short sentences are accurate enough to be recognisable. Very simple sentence structure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Nothing coherent or accurate enough to be comprehensible.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Pronunciation and intonation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Very accurate for a non-target language speaker though there may be some minor slips. (16/17/18 +19/20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mostly accurate with occasional errors. (14/15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Generally accurate, though there may be some instances of first language interference. (9/10 +11/12/13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Inconsistent but still some instances of accurate pronunciation and intonation. (7/8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Very few instances of clear pronunciation and intonation, significant first language influence prevents clarity. (2/3 _ 4/5/6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Nothing coherent enough to be comprehensible.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>