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1. Annotations

| Annotation | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: |
| $v$ | Correct answer; valid point |
| 3 | Incorrect answer; invalid point |
| 2 | Point whose relevance is debatable or which is hard to understand |
| ¢ | Additional credit, e.g. for well-developed and/or detailed point |
| BOD | Benefit of doubt |
| MR | Candidate has mistranslated or shown misunderstanding of text (though point may still be valid) |
| CON | Consequential error |
| REP | Repeated error |
| Highlighter | To highlight a specific word, phrase or section |
| $1$ | To indicate omission |
| $\square$ | Major error |
| 0 | Minor error |
| / | To divide sections of a translation, etc. |
| BP | Blank page |

2. Subject Specific Marking Instructions

## Guidance on applying the marking grids for translating into English

The general principle in assessing each section should be the proportion (out of 5) of sense achieved.
One approach for each section is given. Acceptable alternatives will be illustrated during Standardisation, but examiners should assess on its own merits any approach that satisfactorily conveys the meaning of the Greek - the crucial consideration being the extent to which every Greek word is satisfactorily rendered in some way in the English.
The determination of what a "slight" error is only necessary when it is the only error in a section; this distinction will then determine whether a mark of 5 or 4 is appropriate. Where marks of $4,3,2,1$ and 0 are applicable, the overall proportion of meaning conveyed in the section is the only consideration. The term "major" error has been used here to determine an error which is more serious than a "slight" error.

The classification below should be seen only as a general guide, the intention of which is to maintain standards year-on-year. Lead markers should consider each instance on its own merits in the context of the passage and the section.
It is likely that some of the errors below may be regarded as "major" if they appear in a relatively short and straightforward section, whereas in longer or more complex sections they are more likely to be a "slight" error.
The sort of errors that we would generally expect to be considered as "slight" errors would be:

- a single mistake in the translation of a verb, for example incorrect person or tense
- vocabulary errors that do not substantially alter the meaning
- omission of particles that does not substantially alter the meaning (although in certain cases the omission of a particle may not count as an error at all, most especially with $\mu \varepsilon v$... $\delta \varepsilon$ )
The sort of errors that we would generally expect to be considered as "major" errors would be:
- more than one slight error in any one verb
- vocabulary errors that substantially alter the meaning
- omission of a word or words, including alteration of active to passive if the agent is not expressed
- missed constructions
- alteration in word order that affects the sense

The final decisions on what constitutes a 'slight' and 'major' errors will be made and communicated to assessors via the standardisation process (after full consideration of candidates' responses) and these decisions will be captured in the final mark scheme for examiners and centres.

| Marks | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Accurate translation with one slight error allowed |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Mostly correct |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | More than half right |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Less than half right |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Little recognisable relation to the meaning of the Greek |

$0=$ No response or no response worthy of credit.

## Guidance on applying the marking grids for the 15-mark extended response

This question focuses on candidates' ability to select relevant examples of content and language from the passage and to structure an answer around these examples to express relevant points. Therefore candidates will be assessed on the quality of the points made and the range and quality of the examples they have selected from the passage.
Examiners must use a best-fit approach to the marking grid. Where there are both strengths and weaknesses in a particular response, examiners must carefully consider which level is the best fit for the performance overall.

| 15-mark grid for the extended response question $\quad$ AO3 $=15$ marks = Critically analyse, evaluate and respond to literature |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Level | Marks | Characteristics of performance |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 - 1 5}$ | very good engagement with the question <br> expresses a range of perceptive points, with very good development, leading to convincing conclusions, based on a range <br> of well selected, accurate and precise examples from the passage. <br> The response is logically structured, with a well-developed, sustained and coherent line of reasoning. |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 2}$ | good engagement with the question <br> expresses a range of relevant points, with good development, leading to sound conclusions, based on well selected <br> examples from the passage. <br> The response is logically structured, with a well-developed and clear line of reasoning. |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{7 - 9}$ | some engagement with the question <br> expresses reasonable points, with some development, leading to tenable conclusions, based on a selection of some <br> examples from the passage. <br> The response presents a line of reasoning which is mostly relevant and has some structure. |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{4 - 6}$ | limited engagement with the question <br> expresses limited points, with little development, leading to a weak conclusion, which is occasionally supported by <br> examples from the passage |
| The response presents a line of reasoning but may lack structure. |  |  |

$0=$ No response or no response worthy of credit.


|  |  |  | - The measured way in which Artabanes appears to consider different perspectives and alternative outcomes adds to the gravitas of his speech <br> - Artabanus gives specific examples to support his wariness of the Greeks' power, highlighting the victory of the Athenians over Datis and Artaphrenes; he stresses the validity of his argument, saying $\tau \alpha ́ \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota ~ \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \omega \prime \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota(6-7)$; the impersonal verb $\pi \alpha ́ \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ adds to the sense of Artabanus' calm neutrality <br>  the end of the sentence for emphasis <br> - Dramatic, personal conclusion to Artabanus' suggested outcome: тoṽтo $\delta \grave{j}, \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{v}, \gamma i ́ v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \iota v o ́ v$ (10-11) <br> - Artabanus reiterates the necessity to question Xerxes' plans: oĩov котє̀ ... к $\alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon i ̃ v ~ \pi \alpha ́ \theta$ оऽ (11-12) <br> - He cites the example of Darius' disastrous experiences to Xerxes as a means of reinforcing his message. This serves to emphasise the wisdom and experience of his speech, making it seem more powerful |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (b) |  | Assess against criteria in the 5-mark AO2 grid (see above). <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  $\beta$ 乃ú $\lambda \varepsilon \alpha \mathrm{L}$. <br> Suggested translation: <br> 'But if an expedition must made by all means against these men, come, let the king himself stay in these lands of the Persians, but having each wagered the lives of our children, you advance once you have selected whatever men you want and taken as great an army as you wish...' | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AO} \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | The following examples are intended to exemplify what might constitute a 'slight' or 'major' error. <br> Individual slight errors: <br> Individual major errors: |


| 1 | (c) | - If Mardonius' confidence in Xerxes' plan is proved right, Artabanus and his sons will be killed (1) <br> - However, if Mardonius is wrong, he and his sons should face death on their return (1) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AO} 2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 1 mark per point |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (d) | - If Mardonius marches to Greece, he will be seen as having damaged Persia's interests (1) <br> - Mardonius will be torn apart by dogs and birds (1) in Athens or Sparta...(1) <br> - ...or he may not even get that far before he is killed (1) <br> - Only then would he realise the fearsome nature of the Greek enemy (1) that he encouraged the king to attack (1) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AO2} \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 1 mark per point |


| Question |  | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | (a) | Assess against criteria in the 15-mark AO3 grid (see above). <br> Cebes effectively questions Socrates' theory that as the gods' possessions, men should not kill themselves for fear of incurring divine anger <br> Examples of points to be included might be: <br> - $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ’ eỉkós (1): Cebes agrees with Socrates' previous statement, stating that it seems reasonable. This may be a means by which Cebes can win Socrates over before questioning another aspect of his argument <br> - ô $\mu$ évtot... provides a clear contrast to Cebes' earlier words, introducing an element of disagreement <br> - Cebes clarifies the theory that he wishes to question: tò toùs $\varphi$ Llơó $\varphi$ ous ... $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \theta v \eta ̆ \sigma \kappa \varepsilon ル v(1-2)$ <br> - The anastrophe of દ̌o七кєv тои̃то, $\tilde{\omega} \Sigma \omega ́ \kappa \varrho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varsigma, \dot{\alpha} \tau o ́ \pi \omega$ (2-3) postpones $\dot{\alpha} \tau o ́ \pi \omega$ for effect, while the use of apostrophe focuses attention on Socrates <br>  a sense of calm logic to Cebes' argument | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AO} \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | Answers should focus on detail from the passage and choose a range of examples from the set lines to exemplify the points being made. |

 in 3-4 and serve to highlight the calm, positive statement about man as the property of a caring god that Cebes now repeats
 end of the sentence (7) for effect, and works with the double negative in $\mu \eta \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha v \alpha \kappa \tau \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \nu(5)$ to contrast with the earlier statement (3-4)

- Cebes makes a clear distinction between the wise and the foolish:
 use of the superlative $\varphi \varrho o v \iota \mu \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau o v s$ also strengthens the contrast and ties

- The position of $\theta \varepsilon o$ í is emphatic, highlighting the gods' status as those in charge
- غ̇入 $\varepsilon$ v́ $\begin{gathered}\text { goos } \gamma \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~(8): ~ t h e ~ a s s o n a n c e ~ o f ~-o \varsigma ~ a d d s ~ a ~ p l e a s i n g ~ r h y t h m ~ t o ~ t h e ~\end{gathered}$ phrase, making it stand out. This adds impact to the contrast introduced by $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}(8)$
- Cebes makes suggestions for the way in which a foolish man and a more perceptive man might react, adding a sense of uncertainty that is stressed by
 (11) and $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu \circ \tilde{\iota}$ (11), contributing to the hypothetical nature of his argument
- It seems as though Cebes is distancing Socrates and their friends from the attitudes of less intelligent men who do not think logically - ойк $\alpha ้ v \lambda о \gamma i \zeta$ оьто (10) and $\dot{\alpha} \lambda o \gamma i ́ \sigma \tau \omega \varsigma$ (11)
- Cebes uses a clear analogy of a slave fleeing his master to illustrate his point
- A sense of urgency and necessity is added to the image by the use of the gerundive $\varphi \varepsilon \cup \kappa \tau \varepsilon ́ \sigma$
- The intelligent man is shown as wanting to continually improve himself even if that means being subservient to a man who is better than he is $\tau \tilde{\omega} \alpha v ́ \tau o \tilde{v} \beta \varepsilon \lambda \tau$ íovı (12); this links in effectively with Socrates' concept of a philosopher trying to develop and purify himself through understanding
- Cebes' argument ends with a flourish and a second apostrophe to Socrates (12), contrasting the wise with the foolish and caring with not caring


| Question |  | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | (a) | Assess against criteria in the 5-mark AO2 grid (see above). <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Suggested translation: <br> Beginning from Abydus, the men assigned the work built bridges: the Phoenicians made one from flax and the Egyptians another from papyrus. It is seven stades from Abydus to the opposite shore. But when the strait had been bridged, a huge storm blew up which broke and scattered everything. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AO} 2 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | The following examples are intended to exemplify what might constitute a 'slight' or 'major' error. <br> Individual slight errors: <br> Individual major errors: |
| 3 | (b) | - The Hellespont should be whipped (1) with three hundred lashes (1) <br> - A pair of fetters (1) should be thrown into the sea (1) <br> - Branders should be sent (1) to brand the Hellespont (1) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AO2} \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 1 mark per point <br> Accept any reasonable response which shows knowledge and understanding of the details included in these lines. |
| 3 | (c) | Xerxes attempts to show his dominance over the Hellespont by <br> - ordering his men to shout barbaric and insolent statements (1) at the waterway (1): <br> - having his men address the Hellespont as 'Bitter water' as an insult (1) <br> - 'punishing' the water because it has harmed him (1) even though Xerxes had not provoked it (1) <br> - threatening the Hellespont by saying that Xerxes will cross the water (1), 'whether you want it or not' - an example of Xerxes' hubris (1) <br> - refusing to offer a sacrifice to the waterway (1) <br> - insult the Hellespont further by calling it 'a foul and briny river' (1) (although it is in fact part of the sea) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AO2} \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 1 mark per point <br> Accept any reasonable response which shows knowledge and understanding of the details included in these lines. |


|  |  | Xerxes shows his dominance over his subjects by ordering that the men responsible for the bridge (1) be beheaded (1) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | (d) | Assess against criteria in the 15-mark AO3 grid (see above). <br> The former Spartan king Demaratus gives Xerxes his opinion on his people, considering both positives and negatives in a way that has the potential to offend the king. He speaks with good authority, highlighting the Spartans' power when they work together and their supreme bravery in battle, even when vastly outnumbered <br> Examples of points to be included might be: <br> - $\sigma \dot{\delta} \delta$ ' $̇ \pi \varepsilon i \grave{\eta} v \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \alpha \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ (1): Demaratus stresses the fact that he is being forced to give his opinion on the Greeks by Xerxes - he would rather not speak. This adds to a sense of intrigue and suspense, heightened by the use of the superlative toòs $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ тous (1) which suggests that he feels honour bound to speak truth to power, and knows that what he has to say will not meet with Xerxes' approval <br> - Sense of the bond between Demaratus and Xerxes is based on the fact that they have already spoken together a great deal: ह̌ $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mathrm{o}$... $\Sigma \pi \alpha \varrho \tau \iota \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \iota(1-$ 2) and the emphatic $\alpha \dot{\tau} \tau o ̀ \varsigma ~ \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \alpha \iota$ (3) <br> - Demaratus' bitterness towards the Spartans is evident from $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau o \rho \gamma \omega \varsigma$ (2) and explained by the dramatic list of wrongs that they have done him (3-4); this also indicates that he is considering the Spartans in a rounded way <br> - His use of language is almost epic in style, reflecting traditional Greek heroic values: the significance of $\tau \mu \eta \dot{\tau} \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha i \gamma \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon \alpha$ (3) and a horror of ג̆то入ív тє ккì $\varphi \cup \gamma \alpha ́ \delta \alpha$ (4) <br> - The loyalty that Demaratus feels towards Xerxes is explained by his reference to Darius' help (4-5), and this is likely to make his words to the king more honest, thereby giving his words greater weight <br> - Demaratus' age and experience are emphasised by the gnomic statement in 5-6: ойк $\omega v$ оіко́s $\ldots$. $\sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ Q \gamma \varepsilon \iota v ~ \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$, which stresses his loyalty towards Xerxes <br> - He changes tack completely from line 6 to highlight the Spartans' bravery in | $\begin{gathered} \text { AO3 } \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | Answers should focus on detail from the passage and choose a range of examples from the set lines to exemplify the points being made. |

men he would personally be willing to fight from ten to two in a balancing



- Demaratus then stresses the power of necessity or competition in spurring him on to fight and draws a clear parallel with the Spartans (10), contrasting their individual bravery к $\alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon ̃ ้ v \alpha \ldots$ к $\ldots \kappa$ кíoves $\dot{\alpha} v \delta \varrho \omega \tilde{v}(10-11)$ with their
 final statement
- The paradox of the Spartan lifestyle is clearly spelled out in line 12 with the repetition of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon v \dot{\theta} \theta$ cool, qualified by ov $\pi \alpha \dot{v} \tau \alpha$ to add suspense
- He cites one law as paramount, emphasising its power with the bold
 Xerxes' anger
- An explanation of the law is delayed; instead Demaratus heightens the effect with further evidence of Spartan loyalty $\pi 0 เ \varepsilon \tilde{v} \sigma \iota \gamma \tilde{\omega} v \ldots \tau \dot{v}$...
- The repeated negatives stress what the law forbids Spartans to do under any circumstances: oűk $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega \tilde{v} \varphi$ 甲 15)
- Demaratus finally explains what Spartans are expected to do in a powerful
 $\eta \ddot{\eta} \pi \dot{\sigma}\langle\dot{\lambda} \lambda v \sigma \theta \alpha \iota(15-16)$


|  |  |  | - Cebes changes to a more hopeful approach with $\varepsilon$ ľme@ (8) but the subjunctives indicate that the uncertainty remains عí $\ldots$... $\varepsilon$ 'in (8 and 9); the $\sigma v v$ - prefix in 8 hints at the chance of togetherness rather than distance and the use of $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ is more positive, as it is used to suggest a separation from evils <br> - $\alpha v \dot{\tau} \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \alpha u ́ \tau \eta ̀ v$ (8): an echoing phrase which stresses the soul's solitary existence <br> - Cebes uses a rather wistful $\grave{\varepsilon} \lambda \pi i \varsigma \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \kappa \alpha \lambda \eta$ (9), using a future remote conditional phrase <br> - His approach remains focused on Socrates, stressing his desire to address his <br>  <br> - The positive stance ebbs away as Cebes changes direction towards doubt $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ тои̃то $\delta \grave{\eta}$ ıैб $\omega \varsigma$ ov̉k ỏ $\lambda i ́ \gamma \eta \varsigma ~(10) ~$ <br> - Cebes asks politely for greater reassurance and clarity, adding a double negative ои̉к ò $\lambda \hat{\gamma} \eta$ ऽ to emphasise the degree of uncertainty involved <br>  stressing the need for the soul to possess power and wisdom (12) <br> - His speech successfully persuades Socrates to discuss the matter further (13-14) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | (b) |  | - Recollection can be prompted by seeing something (1), hearing something (1) or perceiving it in some other way (1) <br> - These sensory perceptions can cause a person to think of other things that may be associated with them (1) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AO} 2 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 1 mark per point <br> Accept any reasonable response which shows knowledge and understanding of the details included in these lines. |
| 4 | (c) |  | Assess against criteria in the 5-mark AO2 grid (see above). <br> $\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ;$ <br>  <br> $\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ ov̋; <br>  <br>  <br> Suggested translation: | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AO} \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | The following examples are intended to exemplify what might constitute a 'slight' or 'major' error. <br> Individual slight errors: <br> Individual major errors: |


|  |  | 'What do you mean?' <br> 'Consider this example: knowledge of a man is different to that of a lyre.' <br> 'How could it not be?' <br> 'Surely you know how it is with lovers - whenever they see a lyre or a cloak or <br> anything else that their beloved is likely to use, they experience this...' |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | (d) | - By recognising a lyre (1), a lover is reminded of his beloved (1) <br> $-\quad$ If someone sees Simmias (1), he may be reminded of Cebes (1) <br> $-\quad$ There are countless other examples (1) <br> $-\quad$Socrates thinks that these examples can be connected with things that were <br> previously forgotten (1) either because of the passage of time (1) or a lack of <br> attention (1) | AO2 | 1 mark per point <br> shows knowledge and understanding of <br> the details included in these lines. |



|  |  |  | - Xenophon suggests that their men should take cover from the stones under the trees (1) <br> - There are only 50 ft ( 15 metres) where the men are exposed to attack (1) and they should cross this distance when there in a lull in the attack (1) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | (d) |  | Assess against criteria in the 15-mark AO3 grid (see above). <br> This scene comes amid much more challenging experiences for the Greeks and serves as an entertaining interlude that shows the Greek army's strength and sense of companionship. The scene has clear parallels with the Phaeacian games (Odyssey Bk 8) and Funeral Games for Patroclus (lliad Book 23) <br> Examples of points to be included might be: <br> - The extract focuses on a sense of competition between the men, made all the more challenging by the location of the games <br>  <br> - Xenophon gives the event an added frisson of danger by adding a brief Homeric vignette when describing the master of the games: his Spartan identity suggests that he is particularly fearless and warlike, but Xenophon adds $\pi \alpha i ̈ \delta \alpha \alpha \check{\alpha} \kappa \omega v$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha v \omega ் \nu \xi \cup \eta \lambda \eta \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha ́ \xi \alpha \varsigma$, which may remind some candidates of Patroclus' history <br> - The sense of the event's order and control is heightened by Xenophon's use of balance in the phrase ठœó $\mu о v \tau^{\prime}$ ह̀ $\pi \iota \mu \lambda \eta \theta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \iota ~ . . . ~ \dot{\alpha} \gamma \tilde{\omega} v o s ~ \pi \varrho о \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ <br> - The use of direct speech and dialogue (6-8) adds to the immediacy of the scene, while the element of surprise at Dracontius' choice of racetrack is heightened by the interrogative (7-8); his sense of authority and almost brutal response at the risks involved emphasises his Spartan nature <br> - Xenophon includes all the key features of a traditional Greek athletic contest reminiscent of the highly popular Olympic | $\begin{gathered} \text { AO3 } \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | Answers should focus on detail from the passage, and choose a range of examples from the set lines to exemplify the points being made. |

Games, and it is likely that a contemporary audience would have appreciated this: efficient and strict events management (1-2, 48); sacrifice and appropriate religious rituals (4); a defined area for the competition (5); entries from boys (9) and men from different parts of Greece (10) including prisoners of war (9); details of specific track and field events (9 and 10-11)

- The high number of contestants and spectators signifies the
 11)
- Xenophon includes a tricolon of events, building up to the pankration, known to be the most popular Olympic spectacle (1011)
- Xenophon focuses on one final event, the horse racing which took place up and down the mountainside; there is a clear element of risk and physical difficulty involved (14-16); overall this scene is highly visual and easy for a contemporary or modern audience to picture
- The positive nature of the event is shown by Xenophon's


- The atmosphere is heightened by Xenophon's appeal to the audience's sense of hearing: he includes a tricolon of sounds $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta}$ к๐ $\alpha \cup \gamma \eta \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \quad \gamma \varepsilon ́ \lambda \omega \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha \grave{~} \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (16) emphasising the enjoyment of spectators and competitors alike


## Guidance on applying the marking grids for the 20 -mark extended response

Two Assessment Objectives are being assessed in Questions 6, 7, and 8 - AO2 (Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of literature) and AO3 (Critically analyse, evaluate and respond to literature). The two Assessment Objectives are equally weighted.

Examiners must use a best fit approach to the marking grid. Where there are both strengths and weaknesses in a particular response, particularly imbalanced responses in terms of the assessment objectives, examiners must carefully consider which level is the best fit for the performance overall. For example, you should not be able to achieve a mark of 14 made up of $\mathrm{AO} 2=11$ and $\mathrm{AO} 3=3$.

Responses are credited for AO2 for the detail and accuracy of the knowledge of the set text they deploy and for their understanding of the set text(s) as well as the social, historic and cultural context for the set text.

Responses are credited for AO3 for how well the response addresses the question, for candidates selecting relevant examples from the set texts they have studied and drawing and expressing conclusions based on the selected examples in relation to the question posed.
Candidates will be assessed on the quality of the conclusions and points they argue and the range and quality of the examples they have selected.

| 20-mark grid for the extended response questionAO2 $=10$ marks $=$ Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of literature <br> AO3 $=10$ marks $=$ Critically analyse, evaluate and respond to literature |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Level | Marks | Characteristics of performance |
| 5 | $17-20$ | very detailed knowledge and a thorough understanding of the material studied including, where appropriate, the social, <br> cultural and historic context (AO2) <br> an excellent response to the question containing a wide range of relevant points, which are very well supported by examples <br> selected with precision from the material studied, leading to cogent conclusions (AO3) |
| The response is logically structured, with a well-developed, sustained and coherent line of reasoning |  |  |


| 4 | $13-16$ | detailed knowledge and a sound understanding of the material studied including, where appropriate, the social, cultural and <br> historic context (AO2) <br> a good response to the question containing a range of relevant points, which are well supported by examples from the <br> material studied, leading to appropriate conclusions (AO3) <br> The response is logically structured, with a well-developed and clear line of reasoning |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 3 | $9-12$ | some knowledge and understanding of the material studied including, where appropriate, the social, cultural and historic <br> context (AO2) <br> a reasonable response to the question containing some relevant points, which are generally supported by examples from <br> the material studied, leading to tenable conclusions (AO3) <br> The response presents a line of reasoning which is mostly relevant and has some structure |
| 2 | $5-8$ | a limited knowledge and understanding of the material studied including, where appropriate, the social, cultural and historic <br> context (AO2) <br> a limited response to the question containing some points, which may be narrow in scope, which are occasionally supported <br> by examples from the material studied or are unsupported assertions, leading to a limited conclusion (AO3) |
| 1 | $1-4$ | The response presents a line of reasoning but may lack structure |
| very limited knowledge and understanding of the material studied including, where appropriate, the social, cultural and |  |  |
| histle or no engagement with the question and any points made are of little or no relevance (AO3) |  |  |
| The information is communicated in an unstructured way |  |  |

$0=$ No response or no response worthy of credit.

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | What does Herodotus tell us about the nature of leadership in Histories Book 7? <br> Assess against criteria in the 20-mark grid (see above). <br> Arguments may include (AO3): <br> - Definition of leadership and what qualities typical leaders possess in the Histories Book 7 <br> - Xerxes' nationalistic pride and desire for imperialistic expansion <br> - Xerxes' sense of ambition and drive <br> - The importance of invasion and grandiose, ambitious plans as a means of demonstrating leadership <br> - The use of violence and punishment to enforce a leader's will <br> - Xerxes' irrational behaviour towards the Hellespont after the destruction of the bridge across it <br> - Xerxes' mercurial behaviour - he accepts the opinions of others at some times and not others <br> - The fear that Xerxes' subjects show towards him <br> - The way in which Xerxes' advisors speak to him and the expectations that he has of their complete deference to him <br> - Xerxes' failings: his arrogance, anger at evidence of dissent; his superstitious fears and belief in dreams (a sign of weakness to a modern audience) <br> Supporting evidence may include (AO2): <br> - Mardonius and Artabanus' advice to Xerxes <br> - Xerxes whipping and insulting the Hellespont <br> - Beheading of those responsible for constructing the bridge over the Hellespont <br> - Xerxes consulting the Magi about the eclipse <br> - Xerxes' violent and vindictive treatment of Pythius the Lydian <br> - His confidence, sense of ambition and willingness to take risks as | 20 made up of $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AO} 2=10 \\ \& \\ \mathrm{AO} 3=10 \end{gathered}$ | Examiners should look for the quality of argument and the use of evidence within the argument, as well as a clear range of relevant examples. <br> Candidates should make reference to specific incidents in the prescribed book, both the sections which they have read in Greek and in English. <br> Answers which argue for or against the proposition should be equally rewarded. Examiners should look for the quality of argument and the use of evidence within it as well as evidence for a clear range of relevant examples. <br> An AO2-heavy response may focus on details from the material studied but not draw many valid conclusions. This will limit the level at which this work can be rewarded, as detailed in the 'Guidance on applying the marking grids' section above. |


| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | seen in his almost philosophical discussion with Artabanus <br> - His unwillingness to take advice or listen to the viewpoints of others <br> such as Artabanus and Demaratus <br> Xerxes' general review of his men and lavish displays of wealth |  |  |
| Candidates should express their own opinions and reach their own <br> conclusions - there are no fixed responses expected. However, they must <br> show a logical structure to their work and express a coherent argument that <br> makes detailed use of examples from the prescribed parts of the text |  |  |  |


| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | To what extent can the ideas discussed in Plato's Phaedo be considered relevant to a modern reader? <br> Assess against criteria in the $\mathbf{2 0}$-mark grid (see above). <br> Arguments may include (AO3): <br> - Modern readers remain as interested in the nature of the connections between the body, soul and death as ancient philosophers <br> - The context in which Socrates is discussing his ideas about the soul and forms is an interesting one for the modern reader - his death is imminent and this makes his words and theories all the more appropriate <br> - Themes such as a readiness and acceptance of death as modelled by Socrates are as relevant now as they were in 399BC - despite the developments of the modern world, questions surrounding the nature of death and what happens to the soul after death remain unanswered | $\begin{gathered} 20 \text { made up } \\ \text { of } \\ \mathrm{AO}=10 \\ \& \\ \mathrm{AO}=10 \end{gathered}$ | Answers which argue for or against Medea should be equally rewarded. Examiners should look for the quality of argument and the use of evidence within the argument, as well as a clear range of relevant examples. <br> An AO2-heavy response may focus on details from the material studied but not draw many valid conclusions. This will limit the level at which this work can be rewarded, as detailed in the 'Guidance on applying the marking grids' section above. |


| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - Consideration of the ethics surrounding suicide is important, particularly as debates about the causes and prevention of suicide in recent years have become more open and the stigma surrounding it has faded <br> - The discussion about freeing a mind from the constraints of the physical body is relevant to modern thinking, particularly in the context of the modern obsession with appearance, weight and image; it is also in keeping with the modern interest in mindfulness <br> - Modern readers are also aware of the way in which we can be tricked by the senses - appearances can be deceptive and we can misjudge situations. <br> - Modern readers may be interested by the existence of the human soul and wonder what happens to the essence of a person after death this ties in with common ideas of souls in heaven, ghosts or guardian angels <br> - The modern world is interested in how the human mind works and the ways in which people learn and develop ideas: Socrates discusses his theories on these phenomena in detail <br> - The importance of supporting theories with evidence, debate and criticality are of considerable importance in all aspects of the modern world, as are listening to different sides of an argument and reaching a measured conclusion; even if a modern reader does not consider Socrates' theories to be relevant, the process with which he and his companions analyse their ideas certainly are <br> Supporting evidence may include (AO2): <br> - Socrates' discussion about the body-soul dichotomy has been debated frequently by more modern philosophers such as Descartes, <br> - Concerns about the soul, its role in life on earth and what happens to it after death are discussed at length <br> - The nature of suicide and whether <br> - The theory that the good are rewarded and the bad punished after death |  |  |


| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Socrates talks about the way in which the body can deceive or betray <br> the mind <br> The discussion about human recollection and how the brain makes <br> links between objects and people | Candidates should express their own opinions and reach their own <br> conclusions - there are no fixed responses expected. However, they must <br> show a logical structure to their work and express a coherent argument that <br> makes detailed use of examples from the play in its entirety. |  |


| Question | Answer | Marks |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Xenophon's Anabasis has been described as 'one of the greatest <br> adventures in human history'. How far do you agree with this <br> opinion? | 20 made <br> up of | All approaches towards this question <br> should be equally rewarded. Examiners <br> should look for the quality of argument |
| Assess against criteria in the 20-mark grid (see above). |  |  |  |
| and the use of evidence within the |  |  |  |
| argument, as well as a clear range of |  |  |  |
| relevant examples. |  |  |  |


| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Candidates should express their own opinions and reach their own <br> conclusions - there are no fixed responses expected. However, they <br> must show a logical structure to their work and express a coherent <br> argument that makes detailed use of examples from the play in its <br> entirety. |  |  |
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