Qualification Accredited



# **A LEVEL**

Examiners' report

# SOCIOLOGY

H580

For first teaching in 2015

H580/01 Summer 2022 series

## Contents

Introduction	3
Paper 1 series overview	4
Section A overview	6
Question 1	6
Question 2	7
Question 3	9
Section B overview	10
Option 1 overview	10
Question 4	10
Question 5	10
Question 6	11
Option 2 overview	12
Question 7	12
Question 8	12
Question 9	12
Option 3 overview	13
Question 10	13
Question 11	13
Quarties 12	12

## Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. A selection of candidate responses is also provided. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report.

A full copy of the question paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

#### Advance Information for Summer 2022 assessments

To support student revision, advance information was published about the focus of exams for Summer 2022 assessments. Advance information was available for most GCSE, AS and A Level subjects, Core Maths, FSMQ, and Cambridge Nationals Information Technologies. You can find more information on our website.

## Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Export to and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as...** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

## Paper 1 series overview

The standard of responses was very good. There was a range of responses, suggesting that the paper differentiated adequately.

The vast majority of candidates attempted to answer all questions on the paper and managed to time their responses reasonably well. There were very few rubric errors and candidates seemed reasonably well prepared knowing the Assessment Objectives of each question.

Some candidates attempted the higher mark questions first instead of tackling the questions in order. This strategy is perfectly acceptable and for those candidates that may struggle with time keeping, this is a good technique.

Question 2 still poses some issues in terms of knowledge and understanding and meeting the demands of the question. Two developed pieces of knowledge are required to gain full marks for knowledge and understanding alongside two developed pieces of application to the sources. The most successful candidates used a three paragraph structure for this question, firstly addressing the knowledge aspect and then a paragraph each for each source. In Section A, candidates had a good sense of timing and seemed to be writing the right amount for the corresponding marks. Section B was also done well overall with the Families and Relationships option being the most popular topic, followed closely by Youth Subcultures. In previous years, only a handful of candidates attempted the Media option, but it was clear that this decline has been reversed and there were a lot more Media responses this year.

## How much knowledge?

To get the highest mark in certain questions, candidates should be aiming for the following depth and breadth in terms of knowledge:

- Question 2: two developed points of knowledge
- Question 3: three fully developed points of knowledge.
- Question 5, 8 and 11: two fully developed points of knowledge
- Question 6, 9 and 12: three fully developed points of knowledge.

Candidates who did well on this paper generally did the following:	Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following:
<ul> <li>used sociological knowledge, studies, theory or concepts to back up their points</li> <li>made fully developed points in the higher mark questions</li> <li>gave the right amount of knowledge and evaluation for the question</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>wrote minimal responses and did not provide support for their points</li> <li>did not evaluate on Questions 3, 5/8/11 and 6/9/12</li> <li>only used examples and common sense for sociological knowledge.</li> </ul>

With every question, to achieve marks in the highest mark band, candidates need to include a range of sociological evidence and to discuss these with some depth. Some responses, particularly the 20 mark questions in Section A and the 24 mark question in Section B, did not include the required range and depth of sociological evidence. "Evidence" can include studies, theories, concepts and contemporary examples, although it should be noted that responses which rely heavily of contemporary examples will not score very highly because on their own, contemporary examples are not good sociology.

Candidates should also be mindful that when marking, examiners will annotate every point made and decide whether it is developed, underdeveloped or undeveloped – this will then provide a guide for the mark given. For example, in Question 3, if a point simply said that attitudes to sexuality remained traditional due to how heterosexuality was the norm in society – this would be undeveloped; if this was added to with a brief description of compulsory heterosexuality – this would be underdeveloped; if this was further added to with depth regarding Rich's study then it would be a fully developed point.

#### Levels of development

For the higher mark questions, examiners will always be trying to gauge how developed each point is. This can be one of three different annotations:

- Undeveloped the point is basic and has no concept, study or theory. May be just an example.
- Underdeveloped the point has some sociology such as a concept or study but is a little 'thin' and in need of further development.
- Developed the point has a full explanation using the relevant concept, studies or theory.

In terms of Assessment Objectives, Knowledge and Understanding (AO1) remains the strongest area; the highest marked candidates were able to offer a whole range of sociological knowledge, mainly in the form of concepts and studies, but sometimes making relevant use of sociological contemporary theory. AO2 (application) continues to improve with most responses being successful in interpreting knowledge and applying it to the specific question or context. In terms of AO3 marks (analysis and evaluation), some candidates are over or under-evaluating and need to be mindful of just how much evaluation is required. In the final 24 mark question, juxtaposition remains an issue and is defined as a tangential point when a candidate strays from a question and is often when an essay becomes 'list-like'. For example, in Question 9, a candidate may stray in to juxtaposition by listing other alternatives to class (e.g. ethnicity or gender) without any mention of how it critiques, differs or compliments the question relating to how youth deviance is related to working class identity (what the question was about).

#### How much evaluation?

Evaluation is only relevant for certain questions and as a guide, candidates should be aiming for the following:

- Question 3: one fully developed point of evaluation or two underdeveloped points
- Question 5, 8 and 11: one fully developed point of evaluation or two underdeveloped points
- Question 6, 9 and 12: three fully developed points of evaluation.

5

## Section A overview

This section comprised of three compulsory questions that all candidates needed to answer, with Question 2 needing both sources to be used and referenced.

## Question 1

1 Explain, using examples, the concept of consumer culture.

[6]

Responses were generally mixed with examples and development being typically good but definitions being sometimes underdeveloped or unclear. The best responses articulated the definition around the goods and services we buy in society and developed this with reference to identity or how there was an increased emphasis on consumption. This was then developed further for the application marks by identifying and explaining two examples such as shopping as a leisure pursuit or debt becoming a norm. On occasion there was some confusion regarding consumer culture and other types of culture (e.g. global culture or high culture) and sometimes examples lacked development, e.g. just naming brands.

## Exemplar 1

1.	Consumer culture is the increasing avoidability and emphasis on goods and senices. Consumer culture
	t i
	has red to excessive consumption and having debt
	to be normalised. 'Conspicuous consumption' suggests
	that we purposely consume brounded goods in an
	anempt to build status in me eyes of others. Pal-
	worki and walter juggests mat we are now depined
	by what we buy, not what we do. Consumer cultive
	has been dirent encouraged by the media, more
	specifically celebrity culture and one advertising
	indusoru
<del> +-</del>	H. MANAGA A

In this response we can see an excellent example of what full marks looks like. The candidate begins with a definition and then further develops it. They then outline two further examples with good development for each.

## Question 2

2\* Using sources A and B and your wider sociological knowledge, explain how norms are relative.
[12]

As previously mentioned in the general overview, knowledge and understanding seemed to be an issue with many candidates offering little or no knowledge and understanding points. A vast majority offered an undeveloped knowledge point before addressing the sources. Two developed points of knowledge are required to get the full knowledge and understanding marks. The most successful responses used knowledge relating to Plummer and situational norms or Mead and her study of tribal culture. Source 1 was generally applied better than Source 2 with candidates able to pick out the relative nature between street art and galleries and explain this in a developed way. Source 2 often demonstrated weaker application with some candidates lifting entire chunks of the text without developing how norms around smoking were relative.

## Exemplar 2

2.	A	Horason. The concept of norm refers to me suared
		societal expectations of behavior That are mutually
		uphela in society. An example of a contemporary
		nown in the UK would be eating with a wrife and file.
		However one large mat nowar are neto However, noins
		ore relative for a number of different reasons. Norm are
	, ,	culturally relative, in the way that even something such
		as eating with a lunfe and form it not me norm in all
		Source A demonstrates mis, with juxtaposition of
		Source A demonstrates mis, with juxtaposition of
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		grafiti in the steet being done by what we can assume
		are delinquent youth, and that of autwork in a
		gallery that has been done by professional april.
		This presents me idea great, depite toth of their styles
	<u> </u>	of extraolly survey are findamentally the same: an

7

	expression of the self though an outistic leuse me
	culting surrouding me different pieces of arthroth
	plays a large effect on how we view men. Grafiti
	i) often seen as a deviant ad, being refused to as
1 3	'vaidalish', and professional evenous often being casociated
	with high author and werred by people all over me
	world, cleary showing now the norms are relative

This is a very typical start of a response for this question. Here you can see that the candidate has highlighted a long (undeveloped) example of what a norm is before going on to make a fully developed application point. To get the full knowledge and understanding marks they would need to make two developed knowledge points.

8

## Question 3

**3\*** Outline and briefly evaluate the view that attitudes to sexuality and sexual identity remain traditional. **[20]** 

This question was done quite well and it was clear that candidates had taken notice of the advance information. A large majority of candidates used studies by Rich, Weeks, Plummer and Reiss to support the ideas that sexual identity remained traditional. The level of development was high with many candidates able to gain full marks for knowledge and understanding. Evaluation often centred around how ideas around sexuality were no longer traditional with references to Equal Opportunities Acts or the legalisation of gay marriage. On occasion, there were a handful of candidates whose responses drifted more in to gender identity and were not rewarded.

## Exemplar 3

<del></del>	<del>*************************************</del>
\$3 A	One way in Mat affitudes towards sexuality remain
	realitional is mough what speclogist Rich, describe
	as women s-exuality being oppressed by a pankarchal
	as women sexuality being oppressed by a pahlacual society by institution such as marriage, aways sexual
	moterice and cape kich agues that this socialises
<u> </u>	women into a neterosexual and suppredinate role, to
	enve Their availability to the average men. This way
	mucin reinforces traditional attitudes towards sexualityard
	sexual coentity, as it does not abide by the invensing
	contemporary view of mat we should maintain
*:-	Reedom to expression of our sociality.

This is an example of a fully developed knowledge point (AO1). Here the candidate goes straight into the essay using the work of Rich while also demonstrating a good use of concepts. This is a developed point and to gain full marks for AO1 and AO2, candidates should typically be looking for three developed points.

## Section B overview

Candidates had the option to select whether they did further questions on Families and Relationships, Youth Subcultures or the Media. Most candidates opted for the Families and Relationships option, although Youth Subcultures was also popular, with Media a distant third. It was noticeable that some candidates were also employing a strategy in answering higher mark questions first to give them the appropriate amount of time and not leave higher mark questions to the end.

## Option 1 overview

Families and Relationships was the most popular option and it was clear that candidates were well prepared particularly in terms of theory and studies that they were able to recall. Some candidates were challenged by Question 4 and misinterpreted the question, while Question 6 seemed very well answered with a significant number of candidates attaining full marks.

## Question 4

#### **OPTION 1**

## Families and relationships

**4\*** Outline **two** ways in which relationships between same-sex couples are more equal than relationships between heterosexual couples. **[12]** 

The question was quite polarising with some candidates doing extremely well and others misinterpreting the question. The most successful candidates used ways such as the lack of patriarchy in lesbian relationships or the shift in roles with a same-sex dynamic, and were able to support these points with studies by Calhoun, Weeks, Stacey and Dunne. However, some candidates misinterpreted the question and simply outlined equality measures for same-sex couples such as changes to marriage laws or how same-sex families were now equal to heterosexual couples (but not more). Two developed paragraphs are needed to attain full marks on this question and no evaluation is necessary or credited.

## Question 5

**5\*** Explain and briefly evaluate the view that individuals are increasingly living alone.

[16]

Overall, this question was answered well with a number of candidates using the work of Sharpe, Beck and Beck-Gernsheim and Klineberg as well as concepts such as Living Apart Together, individualism and The Cult of the Individual to construct excellent answers. A number of candidates gave too much knowledge and understanding and should be mindful that only two developed points of knowledge is needed to gain full marks for AO1. In terms of evaluation, only one developed point is needed and the more successful candidates were able to articulate how lots of other family types are increasing or how many people still aspire to be part of a nuclear family. A small handful of candidates confused living alone with single-parenthood and were not rewarded.

## Question 6

**6\*** Assess the view that the nuclear family is the most functional family type.

[24]

This question was answered very well and it was clear that candidates had made full use of the advance information. Most of the time, candidates used the Functionalist perspective as a starting point and put forth views from Murdock and Parsons while also perhaps using the New Right perspective and the work of Murray and Popenoe in support. This was then evaluated well with theories such as Marxism or Feminism or Postmodernism showing how the nuclear family was not the most functional family type. At times some candidates wrote more for knowledge than evaluation. Candidates should be encouraged to write three paragraphs for the question and three against. In addition, there were several introductions or conclusions that simply repeated what had been said in the main body of the essay and therefore did not gain any extra marks as it was just repetition.

## Option 2 overview

The Youth Subcultures section was the second most popular option and it was generally done well with good use of theory and studies. Question 8 was the most challenging with some candidates unable to provide significant evaluation.

## Question 7

#### **OPTION 2**

#### Youth subcultures

**7\*** Outline **two** reasons why the media create moral panics about youth deviance.

[12]

The question was generally answered well. At times, some candidates did not fully signpost *why* the media created moral panics making it difficult for examiners to fully pick out the two reasons. The most successful responses used reasons such as a distraction or as a way to sell news and reinforced their points using studies by Cohen, Fawbert, Young and Hall. On occasion, some candidates became side-tracked and ventured into an explanation of Becker's labelling theory. Only two fully developed paragraphs are needed to gain full marks for this question.

#### Question 8

8\* Explain and briefly evaluate the view that youth subcultures are no longer spectacular.

[16]

There was a mixed response to this question. The most successful responses used studies by Maffesoli, Thornton and Polemus to illustrate how youth subcultures were no longer spectacular and were also able to draw on concepts such as neo-tribes and supermarket of style. However, some candidates decided to just write about spectacular subcultures or give a general theoretical overview of subcultures and were not rewarded. Evaluation, where present, took the form of how spectacular subcultures still existed (e.g. goths) or how the norms and values of spectacular subcultures still persisted, e.g. the segregation of subcultures along gendered lines.

#### Question 9

**9\*** Assess the view that youth deviance is related to working class identity.

[24]

This question was answered very well in terms of knowledge with most candidates able to use theories and studies to relate youth deviance to working class identity. The best candidates used studies by Albert Cohen, Cloward and Ohlin, Miller and the Neo-Marxist/CCCS position to create developed argument on the link with working class identity. Evaluation remained a little mixed with some candidates straying into juxtaposition by offering alternative viewpoints with studies relating to gender or ethnicity without articulating how it differed from social class. Responses will not score highly by offering alternative viewpoints without addressing the question. The most successful candidates either provided direct criticism or used studies related to ethnicity or gender highlighting the intersection of multiple issues as well as class or showing how they were more of an issue than class.

## Option 3 overview

As stated previously, there was an increase in candidates answering questions on the Media. Question 10 proved to be the most challenging with candidates often able to access sociology regarding representations of old people but sometimes struggling with specific representations concerning the media.

## Question 10

#### **OPTION 3**

#### Media

10\* Outline two ways in which old people are represented in the media.

[12]

The most successful responses used studies by Landis, Cuddy and Fiske and Carrigan and Szmigin to articulate how old people were represented in the media. A common approach was to have one paragraph on positive portrayals and one paragraph on negative portrayals. On occasion, some candidates used representations in society such as Active Ageing but did not relate it to the media. Equally, some candidates only gave examples (such as from soap operas) and did not use any theories, studies or concepts to reinforce their points.

## Question 11

11\* Explain and briefly evaluate the feminist view that representations of gender in the media disadvantage females. [16]

This question was very well done with studies by Mulvey, Tuchman and Wolf being most common for how representations of gender in the media disadvantaged females. A number of responses were conceptually rich using concepts such as the male gaze, symbolic annihilation and the beauty myth. Candidates often backed up their points with media examples such as the representation of particular women in film. Evaluation was good with studies by Glascock or Gauntlett the most popular, stating how representations had changed or improved. As with other 16 mark questions, only two developed points are needed for knowledge and one developed point for evaluation.

## Question 12

**12\*** Assess the view that the media amplifies deviance.

[24]

This was also answered very well. Candidates mainly used studies by Cohen, Wilkins and Bandura to discuss in detail how the media amplifies deviance. On occasion some candidates did go into different views of why moral panics come about (Goode and Ben Yehuda). Evaluation was slightly less successful with different strategies used. Some candidates used different models of the media such as the selective filter model to show how it was the about how the audience interpreted the media rather than amplification, while other candidates used Neo-Marxist or postmodern arguments for direct criticism.

# Supporting you

# Post-results services

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our post-results services. For full information about the options available visit the <a href="OCR website">OCR website</a>.

## Keep up-to-date

We send a weekly roundup to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, sign up here.

# OCR Professional Development

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. Most of our courses are delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our <u>website</u> or visit <u>OCR professional development</u>.

# Signed up for ExamBuilder?

**ExamBuilder** is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals and Cambridge Technicals qualifications. Find out more.

ExamBuilder is **free for all OCR centres** with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an <a href="Interchange">Interchange</a> username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.

If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.

## **Active Results**

Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. It is available for all GCSEs, AS and A Levels and Cambridge Nationals.

It allows you to:

- · review and run analysis reports on exam performance
- analyse results at question and/or topic level
- compare your centre with OCR national averages
- · identify trends across the centre
- · facilitate effective planning and delivery of courses
- · identify areas of the curriculum where students excel or struggle
- help pinpoint strengths and weaknesses of students and teaching departments.

Find out more.

## Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on

01223 553998

Alternatively, you can email us on **support@ocr.org.uk** 

For more information visit

- □ ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder
- ocr.org.uk
- **6** /ocrexams
- **y** /ocrexams
- display="block" company/ocr" [additional company/ocr c
- /ocrexams

#### We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.





Please note – web links are correct at date of publication but other websites may change over time. If you have any problems with a link you may want to navigate to that organisation's website for a direct search.



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2022 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please contact us.

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

 $Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our \underline{\text{Expression of Interest form}}.$ 

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.