# GCSE (9-1) Religious Studies <br> Version 2.3 <br> J625/08 <br> Religion, philosophy and ethics in the modern world from <br> a Jewish perspective <br> Sample Question Paper 

## Date - Morning/Afternoon

Time allowed: 2 hours


## INSTRUCTIONS

- Use black ink.
- Write your answer to each question in the space provided. If you need extra space, use the lined pages at the end of this booklet. The question numbers must be clearly shown.
- Answer all the questions.


## INFORMATION

- The total mark for this paper is 126.
- The marks for each question are shown in brackets [ ].
- Quality of extended response will be assessed in questions marked with an asterisk (*).
- Spelling, punctuation and grammar (SPaG) and the use of specialist terminology will be assessed in questions marked with a pencil $(\mathbb{O})$.
- This document has 20 pages.


## Answer all the questions.

## 1 Relationships and families

(a) Describe one Jewish belief about celibacy.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(b) Outline Jewish teachings about divorce and re-marriage.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(c) Explain Jewish attitudes towards the different purposes of family.

You should refer to sources of wisdom and authority in your answer.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
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$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(d) "Parents have a responsibility to teach their children about religion."

Discuss this statement. In your answer, you should:

- Draw on your learning from across your course of study, including reference to beliefs, teachings and practices within Judaism
- Explain and evaluate the importance of points of view from the perspective of Judaism.
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## The existence of G-d

(a) Outline one philosophical argument for believing in G-d.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(b) How might Jews explain human suffering if G-d is good?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
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$\qquad$
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$\qquad$
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$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(c) Explain why Jews have different attitudes about the world being designed.

You should refer to sources of wisdom and authority in your answer.
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$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(d) "G-d is no longer active in the world."

Discuss this statement. In your answer, you should:

- Draw on your learning from across your course of study, including reference to beliefs, teachings and practices within Judaism
- Explain and evaluate the importance of points of view from the perspective of Judaism.
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## 3 Religion, peace and conflict

(a) State three ways in which Jews might work for social justice.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(b) Describe Jewish attitudes towards using violence to achieve peace.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
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$\qquad$
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$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(c) Explain why Jews might disagree about the importance of pacifism.

You should refer to sources of wisdom and authority in your answer.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
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$\qquad$
(d)* "War is not always a bad thing."

Discuss this statement. In your answer, you should:

- Draw on your learning from across your course of study, including reference to beliefs, teachings and practices within Judaism
- Explain and evaluate the importance of points of view from the perspective of Judaism.
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Dialogue between religious and non-religious beliefs and attitudes
(a) Describe one Jewish attitude towards euthanasia.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(b) How do Jews contribute to interfaith dialogue in the UK?

In your response you must consider that religious traditions in Great Britain are diverse, but mainly Christian.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(c) Compare different Jewish attitudes towards arranged marriage.

You should refer to sources of wisdom and authority in your answer.
$\qquad$
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$\qquad$
(d)* "It is not possible to be a Jewish Humanist."

Discuss this statement. In your answer, you should:

- Draw on your learning from across your course of study, including reference to beliefs, teachings and practices within Judaism
- Explain and evaluate the importance of points of view from the perspective of Judaism.
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ADDITIONAL LINES

[^0]Oxford Cambridge and RSA
...day June 20XX - Morning/Afternoon
GCSE (9-1) Religious Studies
J625/08 Religion, philosophy and ethics in the modern world from a Jewish perspective
SAMPLE MARK SCHEME

Duration: 2 hours

## MAXIMUM MARK <br> 126



## MARKING INSTRUCTIONS - FOR MARKING ON-SCREEN AND FOR PAPER BASED MARKING

1. Mark strictly to the mark scheme.
2. Marks awarded must relate directly to the marking criteria.
3. The schedule of dates is very important. It is essential that you meet the RM Assessor $50 \%$ and $100 \%$ deadlines. If you experience problems, you must contact your Team Leader (Supervisor) without delay.
4. If you are in any doubt about applying the mark scheme, consult your Team Leader by telephone or via the RM Assessor messaging system, or by email.
5. Crossed Out, Rubric Error (Optional Questions) and Multiple Responses

## Crossed Out Responses

Where a candidate has crossed out a response and provided a clear alternative then the crossed out response is not marked. Where no alternative response has been provided, examiners may give candidates the benefit of the doubt and mark the crossed out response where legible.

## Rubric Error Responses - Optional Questions

Where candidates have a choice of question across a whole paper or a whole section and have provided more answers than required, then all responses are marked and the highest mark allowable within the rubric is given. (The underlying assumption is that the candidate has penalised themselves by attempting more questions than necessary in the time allowed.)

## Contradictory Responses

When a candidate provides contradictory responses, then no mark should be awarded, even if one of the answers is correct.
Short Answer Questions (requiring only a list by way of a response, usually worth only one mark per response)
Where candidates are required to provide a set number of short answer responses then only the set number of responses should be marked. The response space should be marked from left to right on each line and then line by line until the required number of responses have been considered. The remaining responses should not then be marked. Examiners will have to apply judgement as to whether a 'second response' on a line is a development of the 'first response', rather than a separate, discrete response. (The underlying assumption is that the candidate is attempting to hedge their bets and therefore getting undue benefit rather than engaging with the question and giving the most relevant/correct responses.)

Short Answer Questions (requiring a more developed response, worth two or more marks)
If the candidates are required to provide a description of, say, three items or factors and four items or factors are provided, then mark on a similar basis - that is downwards (as it is unlikely in this situation that a candidate will provide more than one response in each section of the response space.)

Longer Answer Questions (requiring a developed response)
Where candidates have provided two (or more) responses to a medium or high tariff question which only required a single (developed) response and not crossed out the first response, then only the first response should be marked. Examiners will need to apply professional judgement as to whether the second (or a subsequent) response is a 'new start' or simply a poorly expressed continuation of the first response.
6. Always check the additional pages (and additional objects if present) at the end of the response in case any answers have been continued there. If the candidate has continued an answer there then add a tick to confirm that the work has been seen. The 'link page' check box should be used on RM Assessor to link candidate responses in additional objects to the corresponding question number.
a. Where additional objects are present, all pages must contain an annotation, or RM Assessor will not allow you to submit the script. Where no response is given by a candidate on a whole page the 'BP' annotation must be applied.
b. Where generic answer booklets are used, all pages must contain an annotation, or RM Assessor will not allow you to submit the script. Where no response is given by a candidate on a whole page the 'BP' annotation must be applied.
c. Where structured answer booklets are used, the 'BP' annotation must be applied to all pages where no response is given by a candidate.
7. There is a NR (No Response) option. Award NR (No Response)

- if there is nothing written at all in the answer space
- OR if there is a comment which does not in any way relate to the question (e.g. 'can't do', 'don't know')
- $\quad$ OR if there is a mark (e.g. a dash, a question mark) which isn't an attempt at the question

Note: Award 0 marks - for an attempt that earns no credit (including copying out the question)
8. The RM Assessor comments box is used by your team leader to explain the marking of the practice responses. Please refer to these comments when checking your practice responses. Do not use the comments box for any other reason.
If you have any questions or comments for your team leader, use the phone, the RM Assessor messaging system, or e-mail.
9. For answers marked by levels of response:

- To determine the level start at the highest level and work down until you reach the level that matches the answer
- To determine the mark within the level consider the following:

| Descriptor | $\quad$ Award mark |
| :--- | :--- |
| On the borderline of this level and the one below | At bottom of level |
| Just enough achievement on balance for this <br> level | Above bottom and either below middle or at middle of level (depending on number of marks <br> available) |
| Meets the criteria but with some slight <br> inconsistency | Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks <br> available) |
| Consistently meets the criteria for this level | At top of level |

## 10. Annotations

| BP | Blank Page - this annotation must be used on all blank pages within an answer booklet (structured or <br> unstructured) and on each page of an additional object where there is no candidate response. |
| :--- | :--- |
| SEEN | Noted |

## 11. Awarding Spelling, Punctuation and Grammar to scripts with a coversheet

a. If a script has a scribe cover sheet it is vital to check which boxes are ticked and award as per the instructions and grid below:
i. Assess the work for SPaG in accordance with the normal marking criteria. The initial assessment must be made as if the candidate had not used a scribe (or word processor) and was eligible for all the SPaG marks.
ii. Check the cover sheet to see what has been dictated (or what facilities were disabled on the word processor) and therefore what proportion of marks is available to the candidate.
iii. Convert the SPaG mark to reflect the correct proportion using the conversion table given below.

| SPaG mark <br> awarded | Mark if candidate <br> eligible for one third (eg <br> grammar only) | Mark if candidate eligible for <br> two thirds (eg grammar and <br> punctuation only) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 2 | 3 |
| 6 | 2 | 4 |
| 7 | 3 | 5 |
| 8 | 3 | 6 |

b. If a script has a word processor cover sheet attached to it the candidate can still access SPaG marks (see point 1 above) unless the cover sheet states that the checking functionality is enabled, in which case no SPaG marks are available.
c. If a script has a word processor cover sheet AND a scribe cover sheet attached to it, see point 1 above.
d. If the script has a transcript, Oral Language Modifier, Sign Language Interpreter or a Practical Assistant cover sheet, award SPaG as normal.

## Subject-specific Marking Instructions

## General points

It is important to remember that we are rewarding candidates' attempts at grappling with challenging concepts and skills. Reward candidates for what they know, understand and can do. Be positive. Concentrate on what candidates can do, not on what they cannot do.
[3] mark questions are assessed via points based marking. For all other questions, your first task is to match the response to the appropriate level of response according to the generic levels of response given after the indicative content. Only when you have done this should you start to think about the mark to be awarded. Please note - the bandings for Assessment Objectives are not dependent; there is no requirement for a response to be awarded in the same band for AO2 as has been awarded in AO1.

There are different ways of reaching a high level. Some candidates will go straight to the higher levels. Other candidates will gradually climb their way there by working their way through lower levels first.

The mark scheme for each paper will list responses which a candidate might offer. The list will not be exhaustive; it does not provide 'correct' answers, and where a candidate offers a response which is not listed, examiners will be expected to use their knowledge and discretion as to whether the response is valid. Examiners who are in any doubt should contact their Team Leader immediately.

## Specific points

Do not transfer marks from one part of a question to another. All questions, and sub-questions, are marked separately.

Mark what the candidate has written - do not assume that the candidate knows something unless they have written it.
The levels of response start with one from the following list of flag words:
Weak, Limited, Satisfactory, Good
During the standardisation process, examples of work at each level will be used to define the meaning of these flag words for the examination. In particular the word 'good' must not be interpreted as the best possible response. It will be what is judged to be 'good' according to the generic levels of response, although better responses could be offered.

Remember that we are trying to achieve two things in the marking of the scripts:
(i) to place all the candidates in the correct rank order
(ii) to use the full range of marks available - right up to the top of the range; 'Good' means a good response from a GCSE candidate and can therefore be awarded the highest marks.

This means that it is imperative you mark to the agreed standard.

## Written communication, Spelling, Punctuation and Grammar

Written communication covers: clarity of expression, structure of arguments, presentation of ideas, grammar, vocabulary, punctuation and spelling.
In the marking of these questions the quality of the candidate's written communication will be one factor (other factors include the relevance and amount of supporting detail) that influences whether an answer is placed at the bottom, the middle, or the top, of a level.

The following points should be remembered:

- answers are placed in the appropriate level according to the RS assessment objectives, i.e. no reference is made at this stage to the quality of the written communication;
- the quality of Spelling, Punctuation and Grammar must never be used to move an answer from the mark band of one level to another; accept any reasonable alternative spelling of transliterated words from non-Roman alphabets in learners' responses.

SPaG is now assessed in e) part of the first question. Please refer to the grid overleaf when awarding the SPaG marks.
The Regulator now requires GCSE Religious Studies to assess the quality of extended responses by candidates. Marks are not specifically given for this assessment however. This assessment takes place in e) part of the second question. The levels descriptors for these are embedded in the Levels of Response, specifically AO2, and are italicised for clarity.

## Spelling, punctuation and grammar (SPaG) Assessment Grid

## High performance 3 marks

Learners spell and punctuate with consistent accuracy
Learners use rules of grammar with effective control of meaning overall
Learners use a wide range of specialist terms as appropriate

Intermediate performance 2 marks
Learners spell and punctuate with considerable accuracy
Learners use rules of grammar with general control of meaning overall
Learners use a good range of specialist terms as appropriate

## Threshold performance 1 mark

Learners spell and punctuate with reasonable accuracy
Learners use rules of grammar with some control of meaning and any errors do not significantly hinder overall
Learners use a limited range of specialist terms as appropriate

## 0 marks

The learner writes nothing
The learner's response does not relate to the question
The learner's achievement in SPaG does not reach the threshold performance level, for example errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar severely hinder meaning

## INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTIONS

- Practice scripts provide you with examples of the standard of each band. The marks awarded for these scripts will have been agreed by the Principal Examiners, Senior Team Leaders and Team Leaders and provide you with 'benchmark' examples of the approach to marking.
- The specific task-related indicative content for parts d) and e) of each question will help you to understand how the band descriptors may be applied. However; this indicative content is not an exhaustive list of 'correct' responses: it is material that candidates might use, grouped according to each assessment objective tested by the question. This needs to be used in close conjunction with the relevant Levels of Response marking grid, which is positioned below the indicative content. The guidance column on the right of the mark scheme will provide further exemplification and support as to the interpretation of answers, where required.


## Assessment objectives (AO)

| Assessment Objectives |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| AO1 | Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of religion and belief including <br> - $\quad$ beliefs, practices and sources of authority |
|  | influence on individuals, communities and societies <br> similarities and differences within and/or between religions and their <br> beliefs. |
| AO2 | Analyse and evaluate aspects of religion, including their significance and <br> influence. |


| J625/08 |  | Mark Scheme June 20XX |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | stion | Indicative content | Marks | Guidance |
| 1 | (a) | Describe one Jewish belief about celibacy. <br> Responses might include: <br> - Celibacy has not been considered a virtue in Judaism in the way it has in some other religions <br> - Traditionally an halakhic Jewish life is one which involves marriage and procreation <br> - Some learners might be aware that modern Jews might regard celibacy as a preferable alternative to homosexuality <br> - Jews who are unmarried should remain celibate, in obedience to other moral laws. However to avoid marriage would be regarded as strange by many Jews | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \mathrm{AO} 1 \end{gathered}$ | Marks should be awarded for a statement plus any combination of development and/or exemplification. |
|  | (b) | Outline Jewish teachings about divorce and re-marriage. <br> Responses might include: <br> Learners might choose to begin by noting the importance of marriage in Jewish traditions. There is no tradition of celibacy as a virtue in Judaism and the importance of children as the inheritors the religion contributes to the idea of marriage as a blessing and a duty. <br> Because of its importance ideally marriage is for life. However learners might be aware that Judaism takes a practical approach to this, recognising the there are times when divorce is an unfortunate necessity. Learners might outline the process of obtaining a divorce through the Beth Din, noting that a civil (legal) divorce is not enough for Jews to regard their marriage as dissolved. <br> With regard to the issue of remarriage learners are likely to be aware that this is not restricted. This is a reflection of the way marriage is regarded as a blessing in Jewish traditions. | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{6} \\ \mathrm{AO} 1 \end{gathered}$ | Examiners should mark according to AO1 descriptors found on page 9. <br> Please refer to the Level of Response grid below when marking this question. |


| Level <br> (Mark) | A01 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (5-6) \end{gathered}$ | A good demonstration of knowledge and understanding in response to the question: <br> - Good understanding of the question shown by appropriate selection of religious knowledge <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with detail and/or developed description <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of the breadth and/or depth of the issues |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3-4) \end{gathered}$ | A satisfactory demonstration of knowledge and/or understanding in response to the question: <br> - Satisfactory understanding of the question shown by some use of religious knowledge <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with superficial description <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of the breadth and/or depth of issues |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1-2) \end{gathered}$ | Limited/weak demonstration of knowledge and/or understanding in response to the question: <br> - Limited understanding of the question shown by factual errors or generalised responses with little connection to the question <br> - Points may be listed and/or lacking in relevant detail related to the issues <br> - Weak knowledge understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Weak knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies |
| $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | No response or no response worthy of credit |



| Level (Mark) | AO2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (5-6) \end{gathered}$ | A good attempt to respond to the topic of the question, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Good analysis of appropriate religious knowledge <br> - Good analysis of the significance and/or influence of the topic on more than one Jewish group <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with detail and/or developed explanation |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3-4) \end{gathered}$ | A satisfactory attempt to respond to the topic of the question, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Satisfactory analysis of appropriate religious knowledge <br> - Satisfactory analysis of the significance and/or influence of the topic on more than one Jewish group <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with detail and/or developed explanation |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1-2) \end{gathered}$ | A weak attempt to respond to topic of the question, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Little if any analysis of appropriate religious knowledge <br> - Little if any analysis of the significance and/or influence of the topic on Jews <br> - Weak or no support from sources of wisdom and authority |
| $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | No response or no response worthy of credit |


| J625/08 | Mark Scheme |  | June 20XX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question | Indicative content | Marks | Guidance |
| (d) | "Parents have a responsibility to teach their children about religion." | 15 | Examiners should mark |
|  | Discuss this statement. In your answer, you should: <br> - Draw on your learning from across your course of study, including reference to beliefs, teachings and practices within Judaism | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \mathrm{AO} 1 \\ 12 \\ \mathrm{AO} 2 \end{gathered}$ | according to AO1 and AO2 descriptors found on page 9. |
|  | - Explain and evaluate the importance of points of view from the perspective of Judaism. | 3 SPaG | Please refer to the Level of Response grid below when |
|  | Responses might include: <br> AO1: |  | marking this question. |
|  | Learners might be aware that the mitzvoth include a number of rules about parent-child relationships, among these are the duty to circumcise sons, the responsibility to educate and a ban on incest. There are also scriptural injunctions to teach the word of G-d to children. |  | Please refer to the SPaG response grid on page 8. |
|  | AO2: <br> Learners might choose to argue that a religious parent, who is satisfied with their religion and the part it plays in their life is likely to consider it a part of their responsibility to share that with their children and Jewish parents might well feel the same. Other learners might draw on scriptural teachings which encourage the raising of children to know the words of G-d and which suggest that the parents participate in G-d's creation of each human being. |  |  |
|  | Another perspective might be that human beings all have free will and therefore they are entitled to choose whether or not they obey G-d's commandments. This could be connected to parents choosing not to raise their child within their religion, or it could be suggested that the parents' choice to fulfil their obligation does not amount to forcing a religion onto the child. The parent is responsible for giving them the information to exercise their free will appropriately. |  |  |


| Level (Mark) | A01 | Level (Mark) | AO2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | A good demonstration of knowledge and understanding in response to the stimulus: <br> - Good understanding of the stimulus shown by appropriate selection of religious knowledge <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with detail and/or developed explanation <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (10-12) \end{gathered}$ | A good attempt to respond to the stimulus, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - A variety of viewpoints explored with good use of reasoned argument and discussion <br> - Good analysis and evaluation of the significance and/or influence of the issue on different Jewish groups <br> - Evidence of critical evaluation including comment on, and comparison of, arguments from different Jewish groups <br> - Evidence of judgement on the issue in the stimulus and a balanced conclusion to the discussion |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | A satisfactory demonstration of knowledge and/or understanding in response to the stimulus <br> - Satisfactory understanding of the stimulus shown by some use of religious knowledge <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with superficial explanation and/or description <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (7-9) \end{gathered}$ | A satisfactory attempt to respond to the stimulus, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Different viewpoints offered with some evidence of reasoned argument and/or discussion <br> - Satisfactory analysis and evaluation of the significance and/or influence of the issue on some Jewish groups <br> - Evidence of comment on, and comparison of, arguments <br> - Evidence of judgement on the issue in the stimulus and some conclusion to the discussion |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | Limited/weak demonstration of knowledge and/or understanding in response to the stimulus: <br> - Limited understanding of the stimulus shown by factual errors or generalised responses with little connection to the stimulus <br> - Points may be listed and/or lacking in relevant detail related to the issues <br> - Weak knowledge understanding of different viewpoints within | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4-6) \end{gathered}$ | A limited attempt to respond to the stimulus, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Different views may be stated but with little or no development <br> - Limited analysis and/or evaluation of the significance and/or influence of the issue on some Jewish groups <br> - Response may contain some inaccuracies or misunderstanding of the issue in the stimulus <br> - Little evidence of judgement on the issue in the stimulus |
|  | - Weak knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1-3) \end{gathered}$ | A weak attempt to respond to the stimulus, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - A single viewpoint may be stated with little or no support or justification or views may be stated as a list <br> - Response may be simplistic, purely descriptive and/or very brief <br> - No attempt to offer judgement on the issue in the stimulus |
| $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No response or no response worthy of credit | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No response or no response worthy of credit |


| Question |  | Indicative content | Marks | Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | (a) | Outline one philosophical argument for believing in G-d. <br> Responses might include: <br> - The apparent perfection of the world implying the necessity of a designer to oversee its creation; the only possible designed is G-d <br> - Ideas of First or Uncaused Cause meaning that unless the universe has always existed there must at some point have been an effect which was not caused by something else <br> - The history of the Jews as the Chosen People can be argued to provide evidence for G-d's existence as a single people that has been scattered, invaded and persecuted as the Jews have been in their history, could not have survived otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \mathrm{AO} 1 \end{gathered}$ | Marks should be awarded for a statement plus any combination of development and/or exemplification. |
|  | (b) | How might Jews explain human suffering if G-d is good? <br> Responses might include: <br> Learners are likely to be aware that Judaism regards G-d as wholly good and may consider this in relation to other attributes, such as omnipotence in order to outline the problem. <br> Some learners might focus on free will, suggesting that humans are able to make genuine choices and are therefore responsible for the consequences of them. For G-d to create a world where an immoral choice did not have real consequences would be to limit free will. <br> Learners might also note that Judaism does not recognise an opposite to G-d but that individuals such as Satan exist, and create suffering because G-d allows them too. Learners might consider ideas like suffering being allowed for the greater good, or as punishment for sin, in relation to this. | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{6} \\ \mathrm{AO} 1 \end{gathered}$ | Examiners should mark according to AO1 descriptors found on page 9. <br> Please refer to the Level of Response grid below when marking this question. |


| Level <br> (Mark) | A01 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (5-6) \end{gathered}$ | A good demonstration of knowledge and understanding in response to the question: <br> - Good understanding of the question shown by appropriate selection of religious knowledge <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with detail and/or developed description <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of the breadth and/or depth of the issues |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3-4) \end{gathered}$ | A satisfactory demonstration of knowledge and/or understanding in response to the question: <br> - Satisfactory understanding of the question shown by some use of religious knowledge <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with superficial description <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of the breadth and/or depth of issues |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1-2) \end{gathered}$ | Limited/weak demonstration of knowledge and/or understanding in response to the question: <br> - Limited understanding of the question shown by factual errors or generalised responses with little connection to the question <br> - Points may be listed and/or lacking in relevant detail related to the issues <br> - Weak knowledge understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Weak knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies |
| $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | No response or no response worthy of credit |


| Question | Indicative content | Marks | Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (c) | Explain why Jews have different attitudes about the world being designed. <br> You should refer to sources of wisdom and authority in your answer. <br> Learners might consider some of the following: <br> Many Jews do not attempt to prove the existence of G-d because they view the existence of G-d as a given. <br> Jews have different ways of interpreting the Tenakh. Some interpret the text in a very literal way. As such, when the Torah teaches, 'In the beginning G-d created the Heaven and Earth.' the verse should be taken at face value. There are, however, other ways of understanding the text including a more critical approach which gives more weight to human involvement in its development. Attitudes to the nature of the sacred texts can impact on the weight given to the Design Argument. <br> Isiah 40:26 - 'Lift up your eyes on high, and behold who hath created these things that bringeth out their host by number:' This passage might be seen as a simple version of the Design Argument. <br> Some Jews have argued that the apparent order and complexity in the world is proof of a designer and that this designer is G-d. They suggest that the complexity of the world indicates that there is a purpose to it and that it could not have developed by chance. The argument may be seen as fitting well with the biblical stories of creation in Genesis, regardless of whether these are understood literally or symbolically. <br> The anthropic principle provides ways for ideas about evolution and belief in the existence of G-d to work together so that they are not seen as contradictory. Some members of the Orthodox Jewish community see Intelligent Design as an argument for the existence of G-d as it supports the idea of creative mind behind the universe, rather than simply evolution and the laws of nature. <br> Others Jews argue that the fact that the universe is complex does not necessarily mean that it has been designed. In addition to this, even if a Jew were to accept that the world was designed, it cannot necessarily be assumed that its designer is G-d or the god of Judaism. <br> Some may argue that if the world was literally designed by G-d, then the existence of evil and suffering in the world would suggest that the belief that God is omnibenevolent is incorrect. Why would a loving god permit there to be so much pain and misery in a world that he had created out of nothing? | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \mathrm{AO} 2 \end{gathered}$ | Examiners should mark according to AO2 descriptors found on page 9. <br> Please refer to the Level of Response grid below when marking this question. |


|  | The theory of natural selection, as advocated by Charles Darwin in 'On the Origin of Species', <br> shows a way of understanding how species develop without reference to a designer god. Many <br> religious Jews see no contradiction in believing in both evolution and a creator god. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Level (Mark) | AO2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (5-6) \end{gathered}$ | A good attempt to respond to the topic of the question, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Good analysis of appropriate religious knowledge <br> - Good analysis of the significance and/or influence of the topic on more than one Jewish group <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with detail and/or developed explanation |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3-4) \end{gathered}$ | A satisfactory attempt to respond to the topic of the question, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Satisfactory analysis of appropriate religious knowledge <br> - Satisfactory analysis of the significance and/or influence of the topic on more than one Jewish group <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with detail and/or developed explanation |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1-2) \end{gathered}$ | A weak attempt to respond to topic of the question, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Little if any analysis of appropriate religious knowledge <br> - Little if any analysis of the significance and/or influence of the topic on Jews <br> - Weak or no support from sources of wisdom and authority |
| $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | No response or no response worthy of credit |



| Level (Mark) | A01 | Level (Mark) | AO2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | A good demonstration of knowledge and understanding in response to the stimulus: <br> - Good understanding of the stimulus shown by appropriate selection of religious knowledge <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with detail and/or developed explanation <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (10-12) \end{gathered}$ | A good attempt to respond to the stimulus, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - A variety of viewpoints explored with good use of reasoned argument and discussion <br> - Good analysis and evaluation of the significance and/or influence of the issue on different Jewish groups <br> - Evidence of critical evaluation including comment on, and comparison of, arguments from different Jewish groups <br> - Evidence of judgement on the issue in the stimulus and a balanced conclusion to the discussion |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | A satisfactory demonstration of knowledge and/or understanding in response to the stimulus: <br> - Satisfactory understanding of the stimulus shown by some use of religious knowledge <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with superficial explanation and/or description <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (7-9) \end{gathered}$ | A satisfactory attempt to respond to the stimulus, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Different viewpoints offered with some evidence of reasoned argument and/or discussion <br> - Satisfactory analysis and evaluation of the significance and/or influence of the issue on some Jewish groups <br> - Evidence of comment on, and comparison of, arguments <br> - Evidence of judgement on the issue in the stimulus and some conclusion to the discussion |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | Limited/weak demonstration of knowledge and/or understanding in response to the stimulus:: <br> - Limited understanding of the stimulus shown by factual errors or generalised responses with little connection to the stimulus <br> - Points may be listed and/or lacking in relevant detail related to the issues <br> - Weak knowledge understanding of different viewpoints within | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4-6) \end{gathered}$ | A limited attempt to respond to the stimulus, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Different views may be stated but with little or no development <br> - Limited analysis and/or evaluation of the significance and/or influence of the issue on some Jewish groups <br> - Response may contain some inaccuracies or misunderstanding of the issue in the stimulus <br> - Little evidence of judgement on the issue in the stimulus |
|  | - Weak knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1-3) \end{gathered}$ | A weak attempt to respond to the stimulus, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - A single viewpoint may be stated with little or no support or justification or views may be stated as a list <br> - Response may be simplistic, purely descriptive and/or very brief <br> - No attempt to offer judgement on the issue in the stimulus |
| $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No response or no response worthy of credit | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No response or no response worthy of credit |


| J625/08 |  | Indicative content |  | June 20X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question |  |  | Marks | Guidance |
| 3 | (a) | State three ways in which Jews might work for social justice. <br> Responses might include: <br> - Taking part in a demonstration <br> - Take part in a letter writing campaign <br> - Petitions <br> - Giving to charities <br> - Standing for Parliament in order to change society <br> - Joining organisations such as Amnesty International | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \mathrm{AO} 1 \end{gathered}$ | 1 mark for each correct response to a maximum of 3 marks. |
|  | (b) | Describe Jewish attitudes towards using violence to achieve peace. <br> Responses might include: <br> Learners are likely to be aware that violence is not absolutely forbidden within Judaism but can be permissible only in certain circumstances. These include self -defence and the pursuit of justice. Learners might explore the importance of peace within Judaism as a means of exploring whether it is a goal worth fighting for. The traditional Jewish greeting of "shalom" suggests it is an important concept for Jews and learners might consider scriptural teachings which support this view. Learners might refer to Melchemet Reshut (justified war) or Melchemet Mitzvot (holy war) as examples of where violence might be justified to achieve peace. | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{6} \\ \mathrm{AO} 1 \end{gathered}$ | Examiners should mark according to AO1 descriptors found on page 9. <br> Please refer to the Level of Response grid below when marking this question. |


| Level <br> (Mark) | A01 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (5-6) \end{gathered}$ | A good demonstration of knowledge and understanding in response to the question: <br> - Good understanding of the question shown by appropriate selection of religious knowledge <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with detail and/or developed description <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of the breadth and/or depth of the issues |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3-4) \end{gathered}$ | A satisfactory demonstration of knowledge and/or understanding in response to the question: <br> - Satisfactory understanding of the question shown by some use of religious knowledge <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with superficial description <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of the breadth and/or depth of issues |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1-2) \end{gathered}$ | Limited/weak demonstration of knowledge and/or understanding in response to the question: <br> - Limited understanding of the question shown by factual errors or generalised responses with little connection to the question <br> - Points may be listed and/or lacking in relevant detail related to the issues <br> - Weak knowledge understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Weak knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies |
| $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | No response or no response worthy of credit |



| Level (Mark) | AO2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (5-6) \end{gathered}$ | A good attempt to respond to the topic of the question, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Good analysis of appropriate religious knowledge <br> - Good analysis of the significance and/or influence of the topic on more than one Jewish group <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with detail and/or developed explanation |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3-4) \end{gathered}$ | A satisfactory attempt to respond to the topic of the question, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Satisfactory analysis of appropriate religious knowledge <br> - Satisfactory analysis of the significance and/or influence of the topic on more than one Jewish group <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with detail and/or developed explanation |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1-2) \end{gathered}$ | A weak attempt to respond to topic of the question, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Little if any analysis of appropriate religious knowledge <br> - Little if any analysis of the significance and/or influence of the topic on Jews <br> - Weak or no support from sources of wisdom and authority |
| $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | No response or no response worthy of credit |



| Level (Mark) | A01 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Level } \\ & \text { (Mark) } \end{aligned}$ | AO2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | A good demonstration of knowledge and understanding in response to the stimulus: <br> - Good understanding of the stimulus shown by appropriate selection of religious knowledge <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with detail and/or developed explanation <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (10-12) \end{gathered}$ | A good attempt to respond to the stimulus, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - A variety of viewpoints explored with good use of reasoned argument and discussion <br> - Good analysis and evaluation of the significance and/or influence of the issue on different Jewish groups <br> - Evidence of critical evaluation including comment on, and comparison of, arguments from different Jewish groups <br> - Evidence of judgement on the issue in the stimulus and a balanced conclusion to the discussion <br> There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent, relevant and logically structured. |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | A satisfactory demonstration of knowledge and/or understanding in response to the stimulus: <br> - Satisfactory understanding of the stimulus shown by some use of religious knowledge <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with superficial explanation and/or description <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (7-9) \end{gathered}$ | A satisfactory attempt to respond to the stimulus, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Different viewpoints offered with some evidence of reasoned argument and/or discussion <br> - Satisfactory analysis and evaluation of the significance and/or influence of the issue on some Jewish groups <br> - Evidence of comment on, and comparison of, arguments <br> - Evidence of judgement on the issue in the stimulus and some conclusion to the discussion <br> There is a line of reasoning presented which is mostly relevant and has some structure. |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | Limited/weak demonstration of knowledge and/or understanding in response to the stimulus: <br> - Limited understanding of the stimulus shown by factual errors or generalised responses with little connection to the stimulus <br> - Points may be listed and/or lacking in relevant detail related to the issues <br> - Weak knowledge understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4-6) \end{gathered}$ | A limited attempt to respond to the stimulus, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Different views may be stated but with little or no development <br> - Limited analysis and/or evaluation of the significance and/or influence of the issue on some Jewish groups <br> - Response may contain some inaccuracies or misunderstanding of the issue in the stimulus <br> - Little evidence of judgement on the issue in the stimulus <br> There is a line of reasoning which has some relevance and which is presented with limited structure. |
|  | - Weak knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1-3) \end{gathered}$ | A weak attempt to respond to the stimulus, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - A single viewpoint may be stated with little or no support or justification or views may be stated as a list <br> - Response may be simplistic, purely descriptive and/or very brief <br> - No attempt to offer judgement on the issue in the stimulus <br> The information is communicated in a basic/unstructured way. |
| 0 (0) | No response or no response worthy of credit | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No response or no response worthy of credit |


| J625/08 |  | Mark Scheme |  | June 20XX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Que | tion | Indicative content | Marks | Guidance |
| 4 | (a) | Describe one Jewish attitude towards euthanasia <br> Responses might include: <br> - Most Jews are against this because taking a life is murder and they would seek to help to support and comfort the person through the final stages of their life rather than assist their suicide through euthanasia <br> - It is considered acceptable to turn off the life support machine if it is clear that recovery will never take place. | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \mathrm{AO} 1 \end{gathered}$ | Marks should be awarded for any combination of statements, development and exemplification. |
|  | (b) | How do Jews contribute to interfaith dialogue in the UK? <br> In your response you must consider that religious traditions in Great Britain are diverse, but mainly Christian. <br> Interfaith dialogue refers to the co-operation and positive interaction of different religious groups. Even though the underpinning religious traditions are Christian, since the UK is now such a diverse society of many religions and non-religious groups, interfaith dialogue is seen to be increasingly important for many religious groups. Learners might be aware of different national and local bodies involved in bringing the Jewish community together and interacting with other religious communities. <br> Some groups exist to give a religious voice in government consultation, and this may entail working with other religions to ensure equity. Others are set up to support the Jewish community but include building positive relationships with other religious communities in their aims. Some learners might wish to consider the forms interfaith communication might take, for example; major world religions are represented on most local SACREs, enabling them to contribute to the development of Religious Education. <br> Learners might also draw on other examples like visiting schools, contributing to community or national celebrations and events, conducting interfaith marriages, addressing causes of conflict and getting involved in political debate. | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{6} \\ \mathrm{AO} 1 \end{gathered}$ | Examiners should mark according to AO1 descriptors found on page 9. <br> Please refer to the Level of Response grid below when marking this question. |


| Level <br> (Mark) | A01 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (5-6) \end{gathered}$ | A good demonstration of knowledge and understanding in response to the question: <br> - Good understanding of the question shown by appropriate selection of religious knowledge <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with detail and/or developed description <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of the breadth and/or depth of the issues |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3-4) \end{gathered}$ | A satisfactory demonstration of knowledge and/or understanding in response to the question: <br> - Satisfactory understanding of the question shown by some use of religious knowledge <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with superficial description <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of the breadth and/or depth of issues |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1-2) \end{gathered}$ | Limited/weak demonstration of knowledge and/or understanding in response to the question: <br> - Limited understanding of the question shown by factual errors or generalised responses with little connection to the question <br> - Points may be listed and/or lacking in relevant detail related to the issues <br> - Weak knowledge understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Weak knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies |
| $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | No response or no response worthy of credit |



|  | marriage with people of other faiths and this would not be possible with traditional arranged <br> marriage. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Level (Mark) | AO2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (5-6) \end{gathered}$ | A good attempt to respond to the topic of the question, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Good analysis of appropriate religious knowledge <br> - Good analysis of the significance and/or influence of the topic on more than one Jewish group <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with detail and/or developed explanation |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3-4) \end{gathered}$ | A satisfactory attempt to respond to the topic of the question, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Satisfactory analysis of appropriate religious knowledge <br> - Satisfactory analysis of the significance and/or influence of the topic on more than one Jewish group <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with detail and/or developed explanation |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1-2) \end{gathered}$ | A weak attempt to respond to topic of the question, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Little if any analysis of appropriate religious knowledge <br> - Little if any analysis of the significance and/or influence of the topic on Jews <br> - Weak or no support from sources of wisdom and authority |
| $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | No response or no response worthy of credit |



| Level (Mark) | A01 | Level (Mark) | AO2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | A good demonstration of knowledge and understanding in response to the stimulus: <br> Good understanding of the stimulus shown by appropriate selection of religious knowledge <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with detail and/or developed explanation <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Good knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (10-12) \end{gathered}$ | A good attempt to respond to the stimulus, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - A variety of viewpoints explored with good use of reasoned argument and discussion <br> - Good analysis and evaluation of the significance and/or influence of the issue on different Jewish groups <br> - Evidence of critical evaluation including comment on, and comparison of, arguments from different Jewish groups <br> - Evidence of judgement on the issue in the stimulus and a balanced conclusion to the discussion <br> There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent, relevant and logically structured. |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | A satisfactory demonstration of knowledge and/or understanding in response to the stimulus: <br> - Satisfactory understanding of the stimulus shown by some use of religious knowledge <br> - Selection of appropriate sources of wisdom and authority with superficial explanation and/or description <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Satisfactory knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (7-9) \end{gathered}$ | A satisfactory attempt to respond to the stimulus, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Different viewpoints offered with some evidence of reasoned argument and/or discussion <br> - Satisfactory analysis and evaluation of the significance and/or influence of the issue on some Jewish groups <br> - Evidence of comment on, and comparison of, arguments <br> - Evidence of judgement on the issue in the stimulus and some conclusion to the discussion <br> There is a line of reasoning presented which is mostly relevant and has some structure. |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | Limited/weak demonstration of knowledge and/or understanding in response to the stimulus: <br> - Limited understanding of the stimulus shown by factual errors or generalised responses with little connection to the stimulus <br> - Points may be listed and/or lacking in relevant detail related to the issues <br> - Weak knowledge understanding of different viewpoints within Judaism <br> - Weak knowledge and understanding of the influence on individuals, communities and societies | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4-6) \end{gathered}$ | A limited attempt to respond to the stimulus, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - Different views may be stated but with little or no development <br> - Limited analysis and/or evaluation of the significance and/or influence of the issue on some Jewish groups <br> - Response may contain some inaccuracies or misunderstanding of the issue in the stimulus <br> - Little evidence of judgement on the issue in the stimulus <br> There is a line of reasoning which has some relevance and which is presented with limited structure. |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1-3) \end{gathered}$ | A weak attempt to respond to the stimulus, demonstrating some or all of the following: <br> - A single viewpoint may be stated with little or no support or justification or views may be stated as a list <br> - Response may be simplistic, purely descriptive and/or very brief <br> - No attempt to offer judgement on the issue in the stimulus <br> The information is communicated in a basic/unstructured way. |
| 0 | No response or no response worthy of credit | 0 | No response or no response worthy of credit |


| Question | A01 | AO2 | SPaG | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| 1b | 6 |  |  | 6 |
| 1c |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| 1d | 3 | 12 | 3 | 18 |
| 2a | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| 2b | 6 |  |  | 6 |
| 2c |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| 2d | 3 | 12 | 3 | 18 |
| 3a | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| 3b | 6 |  |  | 6 |
| 3c |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| 3d* | 3 | 12 |  | 15 |
| 4a | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| 4b | 6 |  |  | 6 |
| 4c |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| 4d* | 3 | 12 |  | 15 |
| Total | 48 | 72 | 6 | 126 |

## Summary of updates

| Date | Version | Change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| August 2019 | 2 | Simplified Indicative Content in the Mark Scheme for Questions 1(c), 2(c), 3(c) and 4(c) and <br> amended Assessment Objectives for those questions. <br> In keeping with these changes to make our assessment more straightforward for assessors we are <br> also changing 'adequate' to 'satisfactory' in the mark scheme, as this is our assessors preferred <br> term. |
| November <br> 2019 | 2.1 | Insertion of answer lines for each question. Amendment to the wording of level descriptors in 6 <br> mark AO1 questions. |
| February 2020 | 2.2 | Amendments to the Instructions and Information on the front cover of the question paper to match <br> the current live question paper, and corrections to typographical errors in the question paper and <br> markscheme. |
| December <br> 2020 | 2.3 | Error in materials (typo) and clarification of exam admin for centres in specification. |
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