

## **GCSE**

# **History A (Schools History Project)**

Unit **A954C/13:** Study in Development and Study in Depth: with the American West, 1840-1895

General Certificate of Secondary Education

Mark Scheme for June 2016

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2016

## Assessment Objectives (AOs)

## Candidates are expected to demonstrate their ability to:

AO1	Recall, select, use and communicate their knowledge and understanding of history.
AO2	Demonstrate their understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of:  • key concepts: causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance within an historical context  • key features and characteristics of the periods studied and the relationships between them.
AO3	<ul> <li>Understand, analyse and evaluate:</li> <li>a range of source material as part of an historical enquiry</li> <li>how aspects of the past have been interpreted and represented in different ways as part of an historical enquiry.</li> </ul>

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1 (a)		7	
	Q: Study Sources A and B. Do these two sources show that the Romans understood less about medicine than the Egyptians? Use the sources and your knowledge to explain your answer.  Level 4 (6–7 marks)  Candidates show sound understanding of the sources and knowledge and understanding of medicine in both Egyptian and Roman times in order to produce a response directly comparing their understanding to AGREE AND DISAGREE in detail whether the Romans understood less about medicine than the Egyptians. Comparison of one source may be implicit = L4/6  Level 3 (4–5 marks)  Candidates show some understanding of the sources, and some knowledge and understanding of medicine in both Egyptian and Roman times in order to produce a response to AGREE OR DISAGREE explaining in some detail whether the Romans understood less about medicine than the Egyptians. Comparison of one source may be implicit = L3/4  Level 2 (2–3 marks)  Candidates describe the source(s) and show limited knowledge and understanding of medicine in Egyptian and Roman times. No comparison or basic identification  Level 1 (1 mark)  Candidates describe the source(s) and produce a very limited response. Surface detail used.  0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response	7	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance, demonstrating evidence of all three AOs.  I do not really agree with this although the sources might suggest it. Source A shows the Egyptians obviously had some knowledge of the human body. The source shows that they have investigated the body and its functions and they know about the heart, the liver and other organs. They also seem to believe in natural causes of disease when they say that diseases are caused 'by overfilling with blood'. Source B on the other hand shows that the Romans are using the Greek god of medicine, Asclepios. They built temples to him and believed that they could visit him and be cured. This shows that the Romans believed in supernatural causes and cures while the Egyptians believed in natural. However, this is not really true. The Egyptians also believed in gods causing illnesses such as Bes while the Romans spent a lot of time building public facilities such as aqueducts, sewers and public baths because they realised the connection between disease and dirt and dirty water. In fact, both the Egyptians and the Romans had supernatural and natural beliefs about medicine but I would say the Romans were more advanced because of their public health improvements.

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1 (b)		8	
	Q: Study Source C. 'Greek ideas about medicine were still accepted in the eighteenth century.' How far does this source support this interpretation? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer.  Level 4 (7–8 marks)  Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge and understanding of the period, and sound analysis and evaluation of the source, to evaluate effectively the interpretation that Greek ideas about medicine were still accepted in the eighteenth century.(supports and challenges the interpretation L4/7) (plus recognises critical nature of cartoon L4/8)  Level 3 (5–6 marks)  Candidates demonstrate some knowledge and understanding of the period, and some understanding of the source, to evaluate the interpretation that Greek ideas about medicine were still accepted in the eighteenth century. (supports or challenges the interpretation)  Level 2 (3–4 marks)  Candidates demonstrate basic knowledge and understanding of the period, and basic understanding of the source, to comment on the interpretation that Greek ideas were still accepted in the eighteenth century. (identification level)  Level 1 (1–2 marks)  Candidates describe the source and produce a simple response or		This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of all three AOs.  This source only partly supports this interpretation. The source shows a family being treated for the flu and the doctor and the surgeon treating them by blood-letting. This was based on the Greek idea of the Theory of Four Humours. The letting of blood was meant to bring the humours back into balance. This seems to show that Greek ideas were still being used and there is other evidence that the Four Humours were used at this time. Barber surgeons still carried out blood-letting and had special blood-letting kits they would take around with them. They still even used leeches. However, the drawing seems to be mocking the use of bleeding and so this suggests that the artist at least, does not accept these Greek ideas. On the other hand, the fact that he is mocking its use suggests that he feels he has to do this because many people do accept the ideas.  However, many advances had been made since Greek times, and Harvey by proving that blood circulated around the body proved that blood-letting was not an effective treatment. This reduced its use but it was not really dropped until successful blood transfusions were able to be carried out in the early twentieth century. Of course, other Greek ideas like gods causing and curing diseases were certainly no longer accepted because by the eighteenth century most people knew that disease had natural causes.
	misinterpretation of source e.g. blood transfusions.  0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
2 (a)		5	
	Q: Briefly describe prehistoric ideas about illness.	5	Answers could include:  • evil spirits could make people sick
	One mark for each valid idea.	<ul> <li>you became sick if your spirit left your bemedicine men could deal with the spirits</li> <li>charms could ward off evil spirits</li> <li>simple illness could be treated by use of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>you became sick if your spirit left your body</li> <li>medicine men could deal with the spirits and make people better</li> </ul>
	Up to three marks for an idea that is described in detail.		<ul><li>charms could ward off evil spirits</li><li>simple illness could be treated by use of herbs</li></ul>
	Allow a maximum of one mark for general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge.		, -
	0 marks = no response worthy of credit. N/R nil response		Trepariring carr be developed up to 3 marks

2 (b)  Q: Why was public health in the Middle Ages so poor? Explain	7	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as
	7	
your answer.		guidance demonstrating evidence of AOs 1 and 2.
Level 4 (7 marks)  Candidates demonstrate comprehensive knowledge and understanding of why public health in the Middle Ages was so poor. They produce a multi-causal response that demonstrates thorough understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of the relevant key concepts and features of the Medieval period and its public health.  Level 3 (4–6 marks)  Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge and understanding of why public health in the Middle Ages was so poor. They produce a single-causal response that demonstrates understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of the relevant key concepts and features of Medieval public health. L3/4 for explanation about lack of medical knowledge because it had not been discovered.  Level 2 (2–3 marks)  Candidates demonstrate some knowledge and understanding of why public health in the Middle Ages was so poor. They produce a basic response. Identification Level  Level 1 (1 mark)  Candidates demonstrate limited knowledge and understanding of why public health in the Middle Ages was so poor.  O marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		One of the reasons why public health in the Middle Ages was so poor was the fall of the Roman Empire. Goths invaded and destroyed many of the Roman cities. These cities were in ruins and their public health systems fell into decay and were lost. There was also no proper government for a long time and you need strong governments to provide public health facilities. Roman libraries were also destroyed and this meant that ideas about the importance of public health were also lost.  Later in the Middle Ages governments did not think it was their job to look after public health and provide facilities. Monasteries had good public health but in the rest of the country it was dreadful. In towns there was rubbish in the streets, animals wandered around spreading diseases and rivers were used for drinking and for depositing human waste. These conditions made it easy for the Black Death to spread. It was like this because there was no understanding of what caused disease. Most people were Christians and thought illness was sent as a punishment by God. This meant they did not realise how important it was to provide clean living conditions and so little was done.

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
2 (c)		11 (8 + 3)	This question also carries 3 additional marks for spelling, punctuation and grammar; use the separate marking grid on page 43 to allocate SPaG marks.
	Q: 'The authorities responded to the plague in similar ways in the fourteenth and seventeenth centuries.' How far do you agree with this statement? Explain your answer.	8	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of AOs 1 and 2.
	Level 5 (7–8 marks)  Candidates demonstrate comprehensive knowledge and understanding of medicine through time in order to explain how far they agree that the authorities responded to the plague in similar ways in the two periods. They produce a fully-developed response that demonstrates thorough understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of the relevant key concepts, and features of the period to justify a valid conclusion.		I think there were similarities and differences in the ways the authorities responded to the plague in these different periods. In the fourteenth century they did order the streets to be cleaned because they thought that the smells from the waste was causing the plague. They also told people not to eat too much because this put the humours out of balance which was another cause. They encouraged people to light fires to keep the bad smells away. The king ordered bishops to lead processions pleading with God to end the plague. In the seventeenth century many of these methods were still used. Rakers were employed to clean the streets as people still believed bad air was the cause and fires were lit in streets to purify the air. The Government ordered public prayers and fasting because they thought the plague was sent by God. These methods were the same because their ideas about the causes of the plague were the same - bad air and a punishment by God.
	Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are accurate. Meaning is communicated very clearly.  Level 4 (5–6 marks)  Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge and understanding of medicine through time in order to explain both sides of the argument about whether the authorities responded to the plague in similar ways in the two periods. They produce a well-developed response that demonstrates good understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of some relevant key concepts, features of the period.  Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are mostly accurate. Meaning is communicated clearly.		However, there were also differences. The reaction in the seventeenth century was more organised. Households that had the plague were shut up in their houses to stop them spreading it. Examiners were sent round to put red crosses on their door. Searchers were used to discover which houses had people who had died of the plague. Regulations were passed saying that all the bodies had to be buried at least six feet deep and the burials had to be at night time with no one being allowed to be present.  Many of the ideas about what caused the plague had not changed. They still thought it was spread by bad air caused by rubbish and waste or by God sending it as a punishment. This meant that many of the things the authorities did were similar e.g. fires and cleaning the streets, but the response of the authorities in the seventeenth century was far more organised. More regulations were passed and they interfered in people's lives more to try and stop the plague spreading.

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
2 (c)	Level 3 (3–4 marks)		
	Candidates demonstrate some knowledge and understanding of medicine through time in order to give <b>one side of the argument</b> about the similarity or difference in the response of the authorities to the plague They produce a response that demonstrates some understanding of the past. <b>Similarities may refer to both periods rather than be specific.</b>		
	Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are mostly accurate. Meaning is communicated clearly.		
	Level 2 (2 marks)		
	Candidates use some relevant knowledge to <b>identify examples</b> of difference and/or similarity in the response of the authorities to the plague. They may produce a basic descriptive response <b>e.g. both had supernatural ideas</b> .		
	Written work contains mistakes in spelling, grammar and punctuation, which sometimes hinder communication.		
	Level 1 (1 mark)		
	Candidates demonstrate limited knowledge of the response of the authorities to the plague		
	Written work contains mistakes in spelling, grammar and punctuation, which sometimes hinder communication.		
	Level 0 (0 marks)		
	0 marks response not worthy of credit N/R Nil Response		

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
3 (a)		5	
	Q: Briefly describe the work of Paré.  One mark for each valid example identified.  Up to three marks for an example that is described in detail.  Allow a maximum of one mark for general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge.  0 marks = no response worthy of credit. N/R Nil response	5	<ul> <li>Answers could include:</li> <li>used ligatures instead of cauterising when treating wounds</li> <li>used soothing ointments instead of burning oils</li> <li>developed artificial limbs</li> <li>used an experiment to prove that bezoar did not work</li> <li>battlefield surgeon</li> <li>use of touniquet</li> </ul>

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
3 (b)		7	
	Q: Explain why Harvey was able to make advances in medicine at this time.	7	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of AOs 1 and 2.
	Candidates demonstrate comprehensive knowledge to explain reasons why Harvey was able to make advances. They produce a multi-causal response that demonstrates thorough understanding of the Medical Renaissance through explanation and analysis of the relevant key concepts and features of the period.  Level 3 (4–6 marks)  Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge to explain why Harvey was able to make advances. They produce a single-causal response that demonstrates understanding of the Medical Renaissance.  Level 2 (2–3 marks)  Candidates demonstrate some knowledge of why Harvey was able to make advances. They produce a basic response. Identification level.  Level 1 (1 mark)  Candidates demonstrate limited knowledge of Harvey's advances.  0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		Harvey's important advance was to prove the blood circulates around the body and is pumped round by the power of the beating of the heart. This was different from old ideas that the body was constantly using up blood and then replacing it. He was able to do this at that time because he was working at the time of the Medical Renaissance. This was a time when people were taking a lot of interest in how the natural world around them worked and were questioning old ideas. One important invention at that time was a mechanical water pump. It was used to deal with fires. This pump may have given Harvey the idea of the heart acting as a pump and sending blood around the body. Past doctors like Galen would never have had this idea because they had not seen these water pumps.  Another reason was that because it was the time of the Renaissance people were new ideas through experiments. Paré had used an experiment to prove that bezoar did not work. Harvey used an experiment to test his idea about the circulation of the blood. He carried out an experiment on the arm that showed that their were valves in the veins that made sure the blood only flowed one way. Again he would not have carried out an experiment if he had lived before the Medical Renaissance.

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
3 (c)		11 (8 + 3)	This question also carries 3 additional marks for spelling, punctuation and grammar; use the separate marking grid on page 43 to allocate SPaG marks.
3 (c)	Q: 'Vesalius was more important than Harvey in the history of medicine.' How far do you agree with this statement? Explain your answer.  Level 5 (7–8 marks)  Candidates demonstrate comprehensive knowledge and understanding of medicine through time in order to explain how far they agree that Vesalius was more important than Harvey in the history of medicine. They produce a fully developed response that demonstrates thorough understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of the relevant key concepts, and features of the period to justify a valid conclusion.  Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are accurate. Meaning is communicated very clearly.  Level 4 (5–6 marks)  Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge and understanding of medicine through time in order to explain both sides of the argument about whether Vesalius was more important than Harvey in the history of medicine. They produce a well-developed response that demonstrates good understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of some relevant key concepts, features of the period.  Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are mostly accurate. Meaning is communicated clearly.  Level 3 (3–4 marks)	8	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of AOs 1 and 2.  I agree with this statement because I think that Harvey's work depended on the progress made by Vesalius. He proved that Galen was wrong about the anatomy of the body. For example, that the lower jaw is made of one piece and not two as Galen claimed. He showed that Galen was wrong because he had based his work on the dissection of animals. Vesalius argued that the only way to find out about the human body was to dissect human bodies. This led to people realising that Galen's ideas had to be tested rather than just being accepted. Vesalius also produced a book full of illustrations of parts of the human body. The book was printed and thousands of copies were circulated showing doctors how the body was really structured. His book became a bible for doctors. He also encouraged doctors to find out about the body by carrying out dissections and these were introduced into medical training.  Harvey's work was also very important. His discovery that blood circulated around the body was a step forward from old ideas and meant that the need for blood transfusions could be understood. However, it took a long time for successful blood transfusions to be developed because they did not know about different blood groups. Harvey did not know about these either. So although Harvey made blood transfusions possible, it needed further discoveries before they could be used. Also Harvey's questioning of old ideas and his use of experiments were influenced by Vesalius who started these approaches. Vesalius' work also had a faster impact than Harvey's. He encouraged people to question Galen and his new ideas about the body were spread quickly using the printing press. He also gave others the methods by which they could make further discoveries about the human body.

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
P	Candidates demonstrate some knowledge and understanding of the impact of the work of Vesalius and/or Harvey in order to give one side of the argument. They produce a response that demonstrates some understanding of the past.  Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are mostly accurate. Meaning is communicated clearly.		
	Level 2 (2 marks)		
	Candidates use some relevant knowledge to <b>identify</b> examples of the work of Vesalius and/or Harvey. They produce a basic response.		
	Written work contains mistakes in spelling, grammar and punctuation, which sometimes hinder communication.		
	Level 1 (1 mark)		
	Candidates demonstrate limited knowledge of the work of Vesalius and Harvey.		
	Written work contains mistakes in spelling, grammar and punctuation, which sometimes hinder communication.		
	0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
4 (a)		5	
	Q: Briefly describe the work of Simpson.	5	Answers could include:
			<ul> <li>carried out an experiment to discover chloroform as a useful anaesthetic</li> </ul>
	One mark for each valid example of Simpson's work.		realised that an alternative to ether was needed
	Up to three marks for an example that is described in detail.		<ul> <li>used chloroform during childbirth</li> <li>wrote articles supporting its use and persuaded other doctors to use it</li> </ul>
	Allow a maximum of one mark for general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge.		<ul> <li>Queen Victoria had chloroform for the birth of her eight child</li> <li>Deaths from chloroform</li> </ul>
	0 marks no response worthy of credit. N/R nil response		

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
4 (b)		7	
	Q: Explain why the work of Koch was important in the development of medicine.	7	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of AOs 1 and 2.
	Level 4 (7 marks)  Candidates demonstrate comprehensive knowledge to explain why the work of Koch was important in the development of medicine. They explain several reasons that demonstrate thorough understanding of the past, and through explanation and analysis of the relevant key concepts and features of the period.		Koch's work was important because he was able to find a way of proving which bacteria was causing a particular disease. He did this by injecting the same type of bacteria into lots of mice. They all ended up with anthrax. This was important because scientists now had a way of finding the bacteria which caused other diseases such as cholera and typhoid. It was only once they knew which germ caused a particular disease that they could develop drugs to fight it. This led to the development of a whole range of vaccines such as one that prevented cholera.
	Level 3 (4–6 marks)  Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge to explain why Koch was important in the development of medicine. They produce a single-causal response that demonstrates understanding of the past.		Another reason why he was important was that he developed a method for growing and observing bacteria. He used a new method for growing the bacteria and then developed a method of staining them with dyes so they could be seen. Once they could be easily seen it was easier for scientists to work on them and find out more about them. He also developed a way of photographing the germs so they could be studied more closely. This led to other germs being discovered like that for septicaemia.
	Level 2 (2–3 marks)  Candidates demonstrate some knowledge of Koch's work and its importance They produce a basic response. Identification level		Koch as part of the development of aseptic surgery can be an explanation or an identification.
	Level 1 (1 mark)		
	Candidates demonstrate limited knowledge of Koch's work.		
	0 marks no response worthy of credit. N/R nil response		

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
4 (c)		11 (8 + 3)	This question also carries 3 additional marks for spelling, punctuation and grammar; use the separate marking grid on page 43 to allocate SPaG marks.
	Q: 'Jenner was more important than Pasteur in the history of medicine.' Explain how far you agree with this statement.	8	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of AOs 1 and 2.
	Level 5 (7–8 marks)  Candidates demonstrate comprehensive knowledge and understanding in order to explain how far they agree that		I think Pasteur was more important for several reasons. The work of Jenner was very significant because he was the person who discovered the process of vaccination. He realised that dairymaids who caught cowpox did not seem to ever catch the much more serious disease of smallpox. He carried out an experiment on a boy called James Phipps and showed how vaccinating
	Jenner was more significant than Pasteur. They produce a fully-developed response that demonstrates thorough understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of the relevant key concepts, and features to justify a valid conclusion.		someone with cowpox could protect them against smallpox. Smallpox was a killer at the time and so this was an important step forward and saved thousands of lives. It was a big improvement on inoculation which used smallpox itself and sometimes killed people.
	Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are accurate. Meaning is communicated very clearly.		Pasteur was important for more than just one reason. He developed Jenner's work on vaccinations. Jenner had come to a full stop because he never understood why vaccination worked. This meant it could not be developed to protect people from other diseases. Pasteur did this. Through his work on
	Level 4 (5–6 marks)  Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge and understanding of both individuals in order to explain whether Jenner was more important in the history of medicine than Pasteur. They produce a well-developed response that demonstrates good understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of some relevant key concepts, and		chicken cholera he understood that a weakened version of the germ would protect people. This made it possible for a whole range of vaccines to be developed e.g. for anthrax and rabies. Within twenty years vaccines had been developed for a whole series of serious diseases. Jenner would never have been able to do his. Pasteur disproved spontaneous generation and developed the germ theory of disease. This was the basis of all the important developments in fighting disease in the nineteenth century. It made possible the development of drugs to cure diseases. Koch's work would not have been possible without Pasteur's theory.
	features.  Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are mostly accurate. Meaning is communicated clearly.		So Pasteur was much more important. Jenner never understood how vaccination worked and so his work was a dead end. It could not lead to other developments in fighting disease and for other vaccines to be developed until the work of Pasteur which made more vaccines, and the development of drugs, possible.

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
Q 4 (c)	Level 3 (3–4 marks)  Candidates demonstrate some knowledge and understanding of the significance of Jenner or Pasteur in order to give one side of the argument. They produce a response that demonstrates some understanding of the past.  Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are mostly accurate. Meaning is communicated clearly.  Level 2 (2 marks)  Candidates use some relevant knowledge to identify reasons why the work of Jenner and/or Pasteur was important. They produce a basic response.  Written work contains mistakes in spelling, grammar and punctuation, which sometimes hinder communication.  Level 1 (1 mark)  Candidates demonstrate limited knowledge of developments in the period.  Written work contains mistakes in spelling, grammar and punctuation, which sometimes hinder communication.	Marks	Guidance

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
5 (a)		7	
	Q: Study Source A. Why was this source published at this time? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer.	7	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of all three AOs.
	Candidates show sound understanding of the source and sound knowledge and understanding of witches and witch-hunting in the seventeenth century. They interpret the source and use its purpose (intended impact) and knowledge of the context, to explain why it was published in the seventeenth century. CONTEXT AND PURPOSE  Level 3 (4–5 marks)		This source was published at this time because people were very worried by witches. In this period there was an enormous increase in the number of people being accused of being a witch and some of them were hanged. An Act of Parliament had been passed making death one of the punishments. This rise in witch-hunting may have been caused by all the social and religious changes of the time. People felt very insecure and often blamed witches for their worries and problems. Around the time of this source there were even witch-finders like Matthew Hopkins. People were happy
	Candidates show some understanding of the <b>source</b> and some <b>knowledge</b> and some understanding of witches and witch-hunting in the seventeenth century. They interpret the source's <b>message in context</b> to explain why it was published then. <b>CONTEXT AND MESSAGE</b> Level 2 (2–3 marks)  Candidates show limited knowledge and understanding of witches in the seventeenth century. They explain <b>some context</b> but fail to explain the message or purpose of the source <b>OR</b> they explain the message or purpose without setting it in context. <b>CONTEXT OR MESSAGE OR PURPOSE</b>		to pay them if they found out who was a witch.  This source is telling everyone that witches have been executed. Most of the witches mentioned are women and this was usually the case. People are shown celebrating the fact that they are being hanged. The source could have been published as a warning to people of the dangers of being a witch. It shows that the authorities will come down hard on them if they have relations with the devil and do his work. The message is clear - don't do it. The source was also published to reassure people that they were being dealt with. People at the time thought that witches were causing all kinds of problems like bad harvests and they would be pleased to see that they are being punished.
	Level 1 (1 mark)  Candidates describe the source and produce a very limited response.		
	0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
5 (b)		8	
	Q: Study Sources B and C. 'The importance of religion in crime and punishment did not change in the period 1066 to 1600.' How far do you agree with this interpretation? Use the sources and your knowledge to explain your answer.  Level 4 (7–8 marks)  Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge and understanding of the period, and sound analysis and evaluation of the source, to evaluate effectively the interpretation that the importance of religion did not change in the period 1066-1600. Argues FOR AND AGAINST the interpretation  Level 3 (5–6 marks)  Candidates demonstrate some knowledge and understanding of the period, and some understanding of the source, to evaluate the interpretation that the importance of religion did not change in the period 1066-1600. Argues FOR OR AGAINST the interpretation  Level 2 (3–4 marks)  Candidates demonstrate basic knowledge and understanding of the period, and basic understanding of the source, to comment on the interpretation that the importance of religion did not change in the period 1066-1600. There is no comparison to show change over time OR Identifies valid comparisons  Level 1 (1–2 marks)  Candidates describe the source(s) and produce a simple response.	8	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of all three AOs.  I agree with this interpretation to the extent that religion was always important in crime and punishment during this period. Source B shows that at the beginning of the period they turned to God to decide if people were guilty or not of crimes. This shows ordeal by cold water. The accused was put into the water on the end of the rope. The rope was knotted and if the knot went below the surface he was innocent because the pure water had been willing to accept the person. This showed that God said he was innocent. In some ways religion became even more important because trial by battle was introduced which was another way that God decided if someone was innocent. However, as the period went on juries decided most cases and royal courts were set up all over the country. Trial by ordeal died out after 1200. Source C shows that religion was still important at the end of the period. One of the most serious offences was to be a heretic. This meant going against the official religion of the day. It shows that heretics were burned. This was because if people disagreed with the religion of the government they might rise up in rebellion as they did in Elizabeth's reign. So religion did stay important throughout the period but reasons why it was important did change.  ALLOW RELIGION BECOMING MORE IMPORTANT AS A CHANGE  Reference to sources may be implicit
	0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
6 (a)		5	
	Q: Briefly describe how Anglo-Saxons punished criminals.  One mark for each valid way in which Anglo-Saxons punished criminals.  Up to three marks for an example that is described in detail.  Allow a maximum of one mark for general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge.  O marks = no response or no response worthy of credit.	5	Answers could include:  • the blood-feud which allowed the victim's family to hunt down those responsible for the crime  • wergilds, the blood price or money fines  • being outlawed  • mutilation or death  • prisons were used to hold prisoners before trial  • banishment  • hanging  • stocks  • pillories  TRIAL BY ORDEAL IS NOT A PUNISHMENT SO CANNOT BE ALLOWED

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
6 (b)		7	
	Q: Explain how the story of Robin Hood is useful evidence about crime and punishment in the Middle Ages.	7	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of AOs 1 and 2.
	Level 4 (7 marks)  Candidates demonstrate comprehensive knowledge of how medieval manorial courts imposed law and order. They produce a multi-example response that demonstrates thorough understanding of the story of Robin Hood and how it is useful evidence through explanation and analysis of the relevant key concepts and features of the period.		Although Robin Hood may be fiction his story is still useful. It tells us what people in the Middle Ages wanted to hear. These stories would have been told by village story-tellers and tells us how unpopular sheriffs and tax collectors were at the time. In the stories Robin Hood, who is an outcast, always gets the better of these officials who were often hated. They often ruled unjustly and were corrupt. Robin Hood represented hope and justice. This tells us something about people's lives at the time and how they thought.
	Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge of the story of Robin Hood and how it is useful evidence. They produce a single-example response that demonstrates understanding of how manorial courts worked.  Level 2 (2–3 marks)  Candidates demonstrate some knowledge of the story of Robin Hood and how it is useful as evidence. They produce a basic response .Identifies ways the story may be useful or limited description of story NOT LINKED TO USEFULNESS  Level 1 (1 mark)  Candidates demonstrate GENERAL knowledge of the story of Robin Hood and how it is useful evidence.  0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		The story of Robin Hood also tells us something about outlaws at the time. It tells us that they were often people who were fleeing the law and that there was no police force to hunt them down. This meant that they could collect together in bands and live in remote parts of the country like forests (the Robin stories are often set in places like this such as Sherwood Forest and Barnsdale). It tells us that they would kill animals owned by rich men in deer parks and were genuinely a nuisance. Although the stories are very romantic and unrealistic, there are parts of them that do tell us what the life of outlaws was like.

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
6 (c)		11 (8 + 3)	This question also carries 3 additional marks for spelling, punctuation and grammar; use the separate marking grid on page 43 to allocate SPaG marks.
	Q: 'The ways in which governments responded to problems of law and order changed little during the Middle Ages.' How far do you agree with this statement? Explain your answer.  Level 5 (7–8 marks)  Candidates demonstrate comprehensive knowledge and understanding in order to explain whether the ways in which governments responded to problems of law and order changed little during the Middle Ages. They produce a fully developed response that demonstrates thorough understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of the relevant key concepts, and features of the period to justify a valid conclusion.	8	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of AOs 1 and 2.  I think there were several ways in which governments did change in the ways they responded to law and order. William I made big changes to try and cope with problems of law and order. This was especially important since he was a Norman and had to impose his ways on the Saxons. There were several rebellions against him. He decided that any crimes committed in the country were crimes against the king's peace rather than against other people. This meant that anyone committing a crime was going against him, which allowed him to punish criminals. Fines that were imposed were paid to the king's officials. This was a big change because before the Normans they would have been paid to the victims as compensation. Gradually the king's power spread across the country with royal courts being set up and officials like sheriffs being appointed. This was a massive change from Saxons times when problems of law and order were often seen as private matters between the victims and the accused rather than a matter for the whole of society.
	Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are accurate. Meaning is communicated very clearly.  Level 4 (5–6 marks)  Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge and understanding in order to explain the ways in which governments responded to problems of law and order changed and changed little during the Middle Ages. They produce a well-developed response that demonstrates good understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of some relevant key concepts, and features of the period.  Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are mostly accurate. Meaning is communicated clearly.		There were ways in which things were not changed much. When William became king he realised that if he was to be accepted, he could not change everything. He kept many old laws so that he could be seen as the true heir of Edward the Confessor. He kept trial by ordeal. However, as the Middle Ages went on more and more methods from Saxon times died out and the idea of the 'king's peace' was spread across the country. Although some of the punishments did not change, the overall system did change. By the end of the Middle Ages there was a proper system of royal courts and officials to deal with problems of law and order which was not there at the beginning. These royal courts travelled to all parts of the country and local landowners acted as JPs to enforce the king's peace everywhere.

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
6 (c)	Level 3 (3–4 marks)		
	Candidates demonstrate some knowledge and understanding in order to give <b>one side of the argument</b> about whether the ways in which governments responded to problems of law and order changed little during the Middle Ages. They produce a response that demonstrates some understanding of the past.		
	Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are mostly accurate. Meaning is communicated clearly.		
	Level 2 (2 marks)		
	Candidates use some relevant knowledge to <b>identify examples</b> of how governments responded to problems of law and order in the Middle Ages. They produce a basic response.		
	Written work contains mistakes in spelling, grammar and punctuation, which sometimes hinder communication.		
	Level 1 (1 mark)		
	Candidates demonstrate limited knowledge of how governments responded to problems of law and order in the Middle Ages.		
	Written work contains mistakes in spelling, grammar and punctuation, which sometimes hinder communication.		
	0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
7 (a)		5	
	Q: Briefly describe how highwaymen operated.  One mark for each valid example of how highwaymen worked.  Up to three marks for an example that is described in detail.  Allow a maximum of one mark for general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge.  O marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response	5	<ul> <li>Answers could include:</li> <li>robbed travellers, coaches</li> <li>operated mainly on the main routes into London</li> <li>used horses and guns</li> <li>often attacked the mail service</li> <li>used isolated areas for their work such as heathland or woodland such as Hounslow Heath</li> <li>robbing the rich/powerful</li> <li>selling stolen goods in inns and taverns</li> </ul>

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
7 (b)		7	
	Q: Explain why the authorities found it difficult to end smuggling.	7	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of AOs 1 and 2.
	Level 4 (7 marks)  Candidates demonstrate comprehensive knowledge to explain why the authorities found it difficult to end smuggling. They produce a multi-causal response that demonstrates thorough understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of the relevant key concepts and features of the period.		There were many reasons why the authorities found it difficult to end smuggling. One was that it often took place on remote parts of the coast and in secluded coves that were difficult to find. There are hundreds of miles of coastline along the south coast and there were not enough revenue officers to keep an eye on it all. This made it easy for the smugglers who knew their coastline really well and would have lookouts posted just in case. Even if they were discovered, their gangs were large and violent enough, like the Hawkhurst gang, to fight and kill the customs officials.
	Level 3 (4–6 marks)  Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge to explain why the authorities found it difficult to end smuggling. They produce a single-causal response that demonstrates understanding of the past.  Level 2 (2–3 marks)		Another reason was that many people did not regard it as a crime. These people thought that the taxes the government put on imported good were too high and unfair. For many small communities along the south coast like those in Cornwall it was regarded as a normal part of life and most people were involved in one way or another. For many people it meant cheaper tea and other goods. If a smuggler was caught the local people would not inform on them so it was difficult to get a conviction. Even members of the government bought smuggled goods, so it was difficult to persuade many people what a serious crime it was. Many saw it as a 'social crime'
	Candidates demonstrate some knowledge of why the authorities found it difficult to end smuggling. They produce a basic response. <b>Identification level</b>		Allow disguise, different day jobs, violence and terror as possible explanations or identifications.
	Level 1 (1 mark)		
	Candidates demonstrate limited knowledge of why the authorities found it difficult to end smuggling.		
	0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
7 (c)		11 (8 + 3)	This question also carries 3 additional marks for spelling, punctuation and grammar; use the separate marking grid on page 43 to allocate SPaG marks.
	Q: 'In the period 1600-1850 the authorities were more concerned about crime against property than about other types of crimes.' How far do you agree with this statement? Explain your answer.  Level 5 (7–8 marks)  Candidates demonstrate comprehensive knowledge and understanding in order to explain how far the authorities were more concerned about crimes against property than about other types of crimes. They produce a fully developed response that demonstrates thorough understanding of the past through detailed explanation and analysis of the relevant key concepts, and features of law and order to justify a valid conclusion.	8	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of AOs 1 and 2.  I agree with this statement. This was a period when the landowners governed the country with the monarch. They passed lots of laws to protect their property like the Bloody Code and the Black Act. Poaching is a good example. As the landowners owned the land, they thought they owned the animals on it like deer, rabbits and fish. They employed gamekeepers to stop anyone else hunting these animals. They also passed the Black Act which introduced the death penalty for anyone with a blackened face in any hunting area. This was to protect the property of the rich people. The authorities also punished very harshly other crimes against property such as stealing sheep and burning down hay-ricks. The Bloody Code introduced the death penalty for hundreds of offences like this against property.
	Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are accurate. Meaning is communicated very clearly.  Level 4 (5–6 marks)  Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge and understanding in order to explain both sides of the argument about how far the authorities were more concerned about crimes against property than about other types of crime. They produce a well-developed response that demonstrates good understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of some relevant key concepts, and features of law and order.		The argument against the statement is that in this period there was a general worry that crime was going up. This might have been because of bad harvests and high food prices or soldiers returning from war. Broadsheets gave the impression that crime was rising rapidly. The authorities felt they had to act to protect everybody and this is why they introduced the harsh punishments in the Bloody Code. At that time they believed that harsh punishments deterred crime and that it was to no one's benefit to have lawlessness.  Overall, I think the rich landowners were just looking after their own property. If you look at the offences that were given the harsher sentences like stealing sheep and poaching, they were often ones that were about the property of the rich. Parliament was dominated by the landowning classes and they used their power to protect their property.
	Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are mostly accurate. Meaning is communicated clearly.		Alternative concerns could be based on religion, treason, witchcraft and radical political groups and could be used as explanations or

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
7 (0)	Level 3 (3–4 marks)		identifications.
7 (c)	Candidates demonstrate some knowledge and understanding of the authorities' attitudes towards crime in order to give one side of the argument. They produce a response that demonstrates some understanding of the past.		
	Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are mostly accurate. Meaning is communicated clearly.		
	Level 2 (2 marks)		
	Candidates use some relevant knowledge to identify examples of the authorities' attitudes towards crime. They produce a basic response.		
	Written work contains mistakes in spelling, grammar and punctuation, which sometimes hinder communication.		
	Level 1 (1 mark)		
	Candidates demonstrate limited knowledge of the attitude of the authorities towards crime. Written work contains mistakes in spelling, grammar and punctuation, which sometimes hinder communication.		
	0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
8 (a)		5	
	Q: Briefly describe prison conditions for women at the beginning of the nineteenth century.  One mark for each valid example identified.  Up to three marks for an example that is described in detail.  Allow a maximum of one mark for general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge.  O marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response	5	Answers could include:

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
8 (b)		7	
	Q: Explain why transportation to Australia was feared.	7	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance
	Level 4 (7 marks)		demonstrating evidence of AOs 1 and 2.
	Candidates demonstrate comprehensive knowledge of the reasons why transportation was feared. They produce a <b>multi-causal response t</b> hat demonstrate thorough understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of the relevant key concepts.		People were afraid of transportation to Australia for many reasons. The conditions there were usually terrible especially in places like Tasmania. It was almost impossible to escape from. The only route of escape from Port Arthur was guarded by savage dogs who would tear the prisoners to pieces. They could suffer from solitary confinement for hard labour for years, often
	Level 3 (4-6 marks)		working in chains They were often flogged. Some of the prisoners would deliberately murder other prisoners so that they themselves would be
	Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge of why transportation was feared. They <b>explain one example</b> that demonstrates understanding of the past.		executed because they could not put up with the conditions anymore.  Another reason was the fact that Australia was so far away and had only been
	Level 2 (2-3 marks)		discovered by Cook in the 1770s. For most people being sent off to Australia was like disappearing off the face of the earth. It also meant that the prisoner
	Candidates demonstrate some knowledge of transportation. They produce a basic response. <b>Identification level</b>		would have to leave his family behind. They would be left in poverty with no one to look after them and might well end up on the Poor Law. This is why
	Level 1 (1 mark)		there was so much fuss when people like the Tolpuddle Martyrs were sent to Australia. Most of the people sent there were thieves and this seemed a
	Candidates demonstrate limited knowledge of transportation.		terrible punishment to pay for such a small crime.
	Level 0 (0 marks)		
	No response or no response worthy of credit.		

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
8 (c)		11 (8 + 3)	This question also carries 3 additional marks for spelling, punctuation and grammar; use the separate marking grid on page 43 to allocate SPaG marks.
	Q: 'Prisons improved in the period 1750 to 1900.' How far do you agree with this statement? Explain your answer.	8	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of AOs 1 and 2.
	Level 5 (7–8 marks)  Candidates demonstrate comprehensive knowledge and understanding in order to explain whether prisons improved in the		I think there was an overall improvement in prisons. People like John Howard and Elizabeth Fry made people aware of the dreadful conditions and campaigned for improvements. Howard made sure prison guards were paid rather than receiving fees from prisoners, that sanitary conditions improved and that surgeons and inspectors visited prisons on a regular basis. These reforms were introduced in the
	period 1750 to 1900.' They produce a fully-developed response that demonstrates thorough understanding of the past through detailed explanation and analysis of the relevant key concepts, and features to justify a valid conclusion.  Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are		nineteenth century some time after Howard died. Later prisoners were given separate cells and work was provided for them with the idea of reforming, as well as punishing, them. There were also improvements in the treatment of children. Reformatory schools were set up to try and ensure that children gave up a life of crime and by the end of the nineteenth century no child prisoners were sent to adult prisons where
	accurate. Meaning is communicated very clearly.		they simply picked up criminal habits.
	Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge and understanding in order to explain both sides of the argument about whether prisons improved in the period 1750 to 1900. They produce a well developed response that demonstrates good understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of some relevant key concepts, and features.  Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are mostly accurate. Meaning is communicated clearly.		However, there were also ways in which not only was there no improvement, but conditions got worse. It was claimed that reform did not work and reforms were passed to make prisons as terrifying as possible. Hard labour was increased and electric shocks were introduced. The separate system was meant to help reform prisoners but lead to dreadful conditions where they were not allowed to see other prisoners. Some went mad from loneliness. The silent system was a bit better because they were allowed to work together, although they could not talk. This reaction that set in during the second half of the period meant that despite the work of people like Fry, and despite conditions being cleaner, life in prison really got worse because of the loneliness and the pointless work they were given such as oakum picking and the treadwheel. By the end of the century the aim was to break prisoners rather than reform them.

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Level 3 (3–4 marks)		
	Candidates demonstrate some knowledge and understanding of the improvement/lack of improvement in prisons in the period 1750 to 1900. They produce a <b>one-sided answer</b> . They produce a response, not always fully developed, that demonstrates some understanding of the past.		
	Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are mostly accurate. Meaning is communicated clearly.		
	Level 2 (2 marks)		
	Candidates demonstrate some relevant knowledge <b>to identify examples</b> of improvement/lack of improvement in prisons in the period 1750 to 1900, and they produce a basic response.		
	Written work contains mistakes in spelling, grammar and punctuation, which sometimes hinder communication.		
	Level 1 (1 mark)		
	Candidates demonstrate limited knowledge of the state of prisons in the period 1750-1900.		
	Written work contains mistakes in spelling, grammar and punctuation, which sometimes hinder communication.		
	0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
9 (a)		7	
	Q: Study Source A. 'Vigilantes were largely a force for good in the West.' Use the source and your knowledge to explain how far you agree with this interpretation. Level 4 (6–7 marks)	7	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of all three AOs.  In many ways vigilantes were a force for good in the West. The problem
	Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge and understanding of the period, and sound evaluation of the source, to evaluate effectively the interpretation that vigilantes were largely a force for good in the West. Explains both sides  Level 3 (4–5 marks)		was that towns grew up so quickly in the West, especially mining towns, that there was no time to appoint marshals and sheriffs. There was also enormous scope for crime in the West with the gold that was being discovered and the large remote areas that stagecoaches and trains had to travel through. The vigilantes filled this gap. They often started off as a group of law-abiding people who simply wanted some law and
	Candidates demonstrate some knowledge and understanding of the period, and some understanding of the source, to evaluate the interpretation that Vigilantes were largely a force for good in the West Explains one side of argument		order and wanted criminals to be caught. They formed themselves into vigilante groups. Many groups did do useful work especially in areas that were being terrorised by robbers and murderers until the official law officers arrived. Sometimes, as in Bannack, the sheriff was the leader of the criminals. Here the vigilantes were a force for the good and dealt with the sheriff.
	Level 2 (2–3 marks)  Candidates demonstrate basic knowledge and understanding of the period, and basic understanding of the source, to comment on the interpretation that vigilantes were largely a force for good in the West. Identification to agree and/or disagree  Level 1 (1 mark)  Candidates describe the source and produce a very limited response about the vigilantes in the West. Surface use of source		In other places they were not so good. The oath they took in Source A sounds very good, and many probably took it seriously at first. These vigilantes in Nevada City hanged over twenty of the worst criminals and made it easier for the official system to be introduced. However, in other places there was no one to make sure they kept to their oaths as they were the ones in charge of law and order. This led to vigilante groups using their power to settle their own personal quarrels and some became as bad as the criminals they were meant to be dealing with. They did not give the people they caught fair trials. Often an important person in the town could get his rivals dealt with this way.
	0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		On the whole, I think they were better than nothing and were a useful stop-gap until proper sheriffs and marshals were appointed. Vigilantes were not a long-term solution.

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
9 (b)		6	
	Q: Study Sources B and C. Which of these two sources is more useful as evidence about cowboys? Use the sources and your knowledge to explain your answer.	6	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of all three AOs.
	Level 4 (6)		Both of these sources are useful but both also have problems. Source B gives a rather misleading view of the cowboys and one that you would see in westerns. They look like gunslingers and they head for the saloon as
	Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge and understanding of the period and of the life and work of cowboys to evaluate the sources for utility and comParé them for usefulness as evidence about cowboys. Sophisticated inferences are made to comParé the usefulness of the sources and produce a fully developed response. The limitations of the sources will be considered. Strengths and limitations of both sources – BOTH OF BOTH		cowboys usually do in films. Many cowboys did not carry guns and certainly did not spend their lives bursting into saloons. However, this source does tell us something about real cowboys. Their jobs were not pleasant ones - hard work, dust and danger. The cattle drives could be tiring and dirty and there was always the chance of a stampede. This painting shows us their relief at coming to the end of a long drive. Source C gives a good impression of the terrible conditions they had to work in. However, it looks like they are on a cattle drive and I did not think cattle drives took place in the winter. It was necessary to keep a check on the cattle in the winter so maybe this is what
	Candidates demonstrate some knowledge and understanding of the period, and of the life and work of cowboys, to evaluate	is happend terrible continuity that had go conditions most cowd At the end quicksand of the drive saloon ban at the end means it is	is happening. For most of the winter cowboys, would live out in line camps in terrible cold conditions. They would check of wandering cows and recue any that had got into trouble. It was a dreadful job carried out in blizzard conditions. So parts of Source C are useful but it does not represent what most cowboys did in the winter.
	the usefulness of each source as evidence about the cowboys. A developed response is produced. Strengths OR limitations of both sources. This may be strengths of one and limitations of the other.		At the end of the long drive, and the dust, and the dangers of stampedes, quicksands and attacks by Indians, the cowboys were glad to get to the end of the drive. They were paid and headed straight for the nearest town and saloon bar. So Source B is not completely wrong. It shows us the cowboys
	Level 2 (2-3)		at the end of the drive but romanticises it a bit for an eastern audience. This means it is also useful for showing us how cowboys were seen in the East.
	Candidates demonstrate basic knowledge and understanding about the period to comprehend surface features of the source(s), and to make basic claims about usefulness.  Strengths and/or limitations of one source – simple identification(s) 2-3 marks		Source C does give a partly realistic idea of what winters were like for cowboys on the Plains. The two paintings do not contradict each other as they are about different parts of a cowboy's life. They are both painted by men who knew the conditions on the Plains although both have made their paintings dramatic to fit in with what people back in the East wanted and expected to see.

### A954C/13 Mark Scheme June 2016

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Level 1 (1 mark)		
	Candidates describe the source(s) and produce a very limited response about cowboys. e.g. there were hotels in the West, cowboys rode horses OR just uses the provenance written under the sources		
	0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
9 (c)		7	
	Q: Study Source D. Why was this source published at that time? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer.	7	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of all three AOs.
	Level 4 (6–7 marks)		This source was published in the 1890s because it is about the Johnson County War which took place in the 1890s. The title of the painting 'What an Unbranded Cow has Cost' tells you this. The war was about the ranchers' claims that farmers were stealing their cattle. It was really about the ranchers
	Candidates show sound understanding of the source and sound knowledge and understanding of the Johnson County War and the end of the open range They interpret the source, and use its purpose (intended impact), and knowledge of the context, to explain why it was published in 1895.  Context of JOHNSON COUNTY WAR PLUS PURPOSE (7) Context of JOHNSON COUNTY WAR PLUS MESSAGE (6)		attempts to control the whole of the area. They took the law into their own hands and hanged some of those accused of rustling including Ella Watson and Jim Averell. The ranchers hired some gunfighters and about 50 of them invaded the area. The cavalry had to be called in to deal with them and the power of the ranchers was broken. The source shows the dreadful results of the war and is saying that it wasn't worth it. It also seems to be saying that it is the end of an era. After the war the ranchers fenced off their ranches and
	Level 3 (4–5 marks)		this could be seen as the end of the open range. It was also the time when rancher power began to decline. The future was with the homesteaders. So
	Candidates show some understanding of the source and some knowledge and some understanding of Range		this painting's purpose was to condemn the damage done by the fighting and to get people to regret the passing of the open range and the old way of life.
	Wars/Conflicts over cattle. They interpret the source and use its message to explain why it was published then.  Context is relevant to range wars but not specific to		Some examples of message: Cattle rustling is bad
	Johnson County War. Context and message (6)		Cattle rustling caused conflict
	Context and purpose (7)		Cowboys, Cattlemen or Cattle Barons were wrong Carrying guns is wrong
	Level 2 (2–3 marks)		A pointless conflict End of the Open range or the cowboy way of life
	Candidates show limited knowledge and understanding of source and context. They explain <b>SOME CONTEXT</b> e.g. story of Johnson County War but not linked to message or purpose <b>OR MESSAGE OR PURPOSE OR Identification level.</b>		Some examples of the purpose of the artist/magazine To stop people carrying guns To improve law and order To end vigilantism
	Level 1 (1 mark)		To prevent future conflict To get people to tune on the Cattle Barons

### A954C/13 Mark Scheme June 2016

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Candidates describe the source and produce a very limited response <b>OR misinterpretation of source</b>		To get people to support the homesteaders
	0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
10 (a)		5	
	Q: Briefly describe the different ways the Plains Indians used the buffalo.	5	Answers could include:  the hide used for making tepee
	One mark for each valid example identified.		<ul><li>clothing and food</li><li>buffalo dung used for as fuel</li></ul>
	Up to three marks for an example that is described in detail.		<ul><li>strings for their bows</li><li>glue, bedding, even boats</li></ul>
	Allow a maximum of one mark for general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge.		
	0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response.		

Q	Answer	Mark s	Guidance
10 (b)		7	
	Q: Explain why the Battle of the Little Big Horn took place in 1876.	7	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of AOs 1 and 2.
	Level 4 (7 marks)  Candidates demonstrate comprehensive knowledge of why the Battle of the Little Big Horn took place in 1876. They produce a multi-causal response that demonstrates thorough understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of the relevant key features and concepts. More than one explanation from Level Three  Level 3 (4–6 marks)		One main cause of the battle was the refusal of some of the Sioux like Sitting Bull and Crazy Horse to stay on the reservations. The US army decided to deal with them. They said that if they were not back by January 1876 they would be treated as hostiles. Sitting Bull and Crazy Horse began to gather thousands of Sioux along the banks of the Rosebud River. Sheridan thought this was a chance to wipe out thousands of Indians in one go and ordered armies under General Crook and General Terry to attack them.  Another cause was the discovery in 1874 of gold in the Black Hills which belonged to the Sioux and contained their sacred burial
	Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge of why the Battle of the Little Big Horn took place. They produce a single-causal response that demonstrates understanding of the past. Explanations based upon Sioux Wars, Miners in the Black Hills, role of Custer  Level 2 (2–3 marks)		grounds. The government tried to buy the lands off the Sioux but they refused to sell. Despite this the government allowed prospectors and miners into the area. Thousands moved in and began to make claims to plots of land which the Sioux saw as belonging to them. They started attacking the miners who looked to the government for protection. It was clear that a big conflict was inevitable between the army and the Sioux.
	Candidates demonstrate some knowledge of why the Battle of the Little Big horn took place. They produce a basic response. Identification Level or explains causes of conflict not specific to the Sioux Wars e.g. land, culture, reservation conditions		
	Level 1 (1 mark)		
	Candidates demonstrate limited knowledge of why the Battle of the Little Big Horn took place.		
	0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
10 (c)		11 (8 + 3)	This question also carries 3 additional marks for spelling, punctuation and grammar; use the separate marking grid on page 43 to allocate SPaG marks.
	Q: 'Custer was to blame for the defeat of the US army in the Battle of the Little Big Horn.' How far do you agree with this statement? Explain your answer.  Level 5 (7–8 marks)  Candidates demonstrate comprehensive knowledge and understanding in order to explain whether or not Custer was to blame for the defeat in the Battle of the Little Big Horn. They produce a well-developed response that demonstrates thorough understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of the relevant key concepts, and features to justify a valid conclusion.  Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are accurate. Meaning is communicated very clearly.  Level 4 (5–6 marks)  Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge and understanding in order to explain both sides of the argument about whether Custer was to blame for the defeat in the Battle of the Little Big Horn. They produce a developed response that demonstrates understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of some relevant key concepts.  Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are mostly accurate. Meaning is communicated clearly.	8	

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
10 (c)	Level 3 (4 marks)		
	Candidates demonstrate some knowledge and understanding of the reasons for the defeat of the US army to give <b>one side</b> of the argument. They produce a response that demonstrates some understanding of the past.		
	Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are mostly accurate. Meaning is communicated clearly.		
	Level 2 (2–3 marks)		
	Candidates use some relevant knowledge to identify some reasons for the defeat of the US army. They produce a basic response. <b>Identification level</b>		
	Written work contains mistakes in spelling, grammar and punctuation, which sometimes hinder communication.		
	Level 1 (1 mark)		
	Candidates demonstrate limited knowledge of the period and of the Battle of the Little Big Horn.		
	Written work contains mistakes in spelling, grammar and punctuation, which sometimes hinder communication.		
	0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
11 (a)		5	
	Q: Briefly describe the settlers' journey westward.	5	Answers could include:
			desolate country with little water
			the climate -burning hot summers, blizzards in the winter
	One mark for each valid example identified.		problems with wagons overturning on the rough ground and getting them over the Rockies
	Up to three marks for an example that is described in detail.		often went in wagon trains for protection
	Allow a maximum of one mark for general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge.		problems with disease and Indians
			Allow Mormons journey West, planting crops would apply to Mormons     BUT DO NOT ALLOW MORMON PROBLEMS IN THE EAST e.g.     murder of Joseph Smith
	0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		maraor or occopir cimar

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
11 (b)		7	
	Q: Explain why many homesteaders found life on the Plains difficult	7	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of AOs 1 and 2.
	Level 4 (7 marks)  Candidates demonstrate comprehensive knowledge to explain why homesteaders found life on the Plains difficult. They produce a multi-causal response that demonstrates thorough understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of the relevant key features and concepts.  Level 3 (4–6 marks)  Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge to explain why homesteaders found life on the Plains difficult. They produce a single-causal response that demonstrates understanding of the past.		One reason they found life difficult was that farming on the Plains was not easy. The weather was often extreme - either drought or bitterly cold winters. This made it difficult to get the crops to grow. Because the land had never been farmed before, it was rock hard and very difficult to plough. Many of the farmers brought with them from Europe types of seed that were not suitable for the harsh conditions on the Plains and so their crop failed. Even if they grew a crop, it could be destroyed in minutes by plagues of grasshoppers.  Things were not much better inside the homesteads. First there was little wood and so they had to make their houses from sods (blocks of earth) which had to be cut out of the ground. This was very unhygienic and attracted fleas and bugs and many children in particular often died of disease. The floor inside the homestead was just dirt. It could not be scrubbed or disinfected, again encouraging germs.
	Level 2 (2-3 marks)		
	Candidates demonstrate some knowledge of the problems faced by homesteaders to produce a basic response.  Identification Level		
	Level 1 (1 mark)		
	Candidates demonstrate limited knowledge of the problems faced by homesteaders.		
	0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
11 (c)		11 (8 + 3)	This question also carries 3 additional marks for spelling, punctuation and grammar; use the separate marking grid on page 43 to allocate SPaG marks.
	Q: 'The invention of barbed wire was the most important development in helping homesteaders survive the harsh conditions on the Plains.' How far do you agree with this statement. Explain your answer.	8	This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of AOs 1 and 2. This is an example of a top level response that may be used as guidance demonstrating evidence of AOs 1 and 2.
	Level 5 (7–8 marks)  Candidates demonstrate comprehensive knowledge and understanding in order to explain how far the survival of the homesteaders was because of barbed wire. They produce a well-developed response that demonstrates thorough understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of the relevant key concepts and features to justify a valid conclusion.  Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and		Barbed wire was important but there were other important factors. One of the problems the homesteaders faced was how to protect their crops. Because the range was open, cattle, or even buffalo, could wander onto the homesteaders' land and destroy their crops. They could not build fences because there was little or no wood. All this meant that there were lots of opportunities for disputes between ranchers and homesteaders. The invention of barbed wire in 1874 by Joseph Glidden was a big help. It was cheap and was effective in protecting the homesteaders' crops.
	punctuation are accurate. Meaning is communicated very clearly.  Level 4 (5–6 marks)  Candidates demonstrate sound knowledge and understanding in order to explain both sides of the argument about how far the survival of the homesteaders was because of barbed wire. They produce a developed response that demonstrates understanding of the past through explanation and analysis of some relevant key concepts and features.  Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are mostly accurate. Meaning is communicated clearly.		I do not think that barbed wire was the most important development. The biggest problem the homesteaders had was lack of water for themselves and for their crops. New inventions such as barbed wire and mechanical reapers would be useless unless the farmers had enough water. This problem was solved in two ways. First windpumps were invented. There was plenty of wind on the Plains to supply the power to bring up water from under the Plains. However, these pumps were expensive and not all homesteaders could afford them. The other solution was to use a new farming method called dry farming. This involved ploughing the land after a fall of rain or snow and then covering it with a fine layer of dust. This helped keep the moisture in the soil and stopped it from evaporating. They also started to use new types of crops that did not need such much water such as Turkey Red. So, overall, I think that developments that helped solve the farmers' water problems were more important than barbed wire, because water was so basic to everything.

Q	Answer	Marks	Guidance
11 (c)	Level 3 (4 marks)		
	Candidates demonstrate some knowledge and understanding in order to give <b>one side</b> of the argument about how far the survival of the homesteaders was due to barbed wire. They produce a response that demonstrates some understanding of the past.		
	Written work is legible and spelling, grammar and punctuation are mostly accurate. Meaning is communicated clearly.		
	Level 2 (2-3 marks)		
	Candidates use some relevant knowledge to identify reasons why the homesteaders survived. They produce a basic response. <b>Identification Level</b>		
	Written work contains mistakes in spelling, grammar and punctuation, which sometimes hinder communication.		
	Level 1 (1 mark)		
	Candidates demonstrate limited knowledge of homesteaders.		
	Written work contains mistakes in spelling, grammar and punctuation, which sometimes hinder communication.		
	0 marks: response not worthy of credit. N/R nil response		

Spelling, punctuation and grammar (SPaG) assessment grid for use with questions 2c, 3c, 4c, 6c, 7c, 8c, 10c and 11c

#### High performance 3 marks

Candidates spell, punctuate and use rules of grammar with consistent accuracy and effective control of meaning in the context of the demands of the question. Where required, they use a wide range of specialist terms adeptly and with precision.

### Intermediate performance 2 marks

Candidates spell, punctuate and use rules of grammar with considerable accuracy and general control of meaning in the context of the demands of the question. Where required, they use a good range of specialist terms with facility.

### Threshold performance 1 mark

Candidates spell, punctuate and use rules of grammar with reasonable accuracy in the context of the demands of the question. Any errors do not hinder meaning in the response. Where required, they use a limited range of specialist terms appropriately.

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
1 Hills Road
Cambridge
CB1 2EU

#### **OCR Customer Contact Centre**

### **Education and Learning**

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

#### www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU Registered Company Number: 3484466 OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) Head office

Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553



