Candidate Marks Report

Series: 6 2018

This candidate's script has been assessed using On-Screen Marking. The marks are therefore not shown on the script itself, but are summarised in the table below.

Centre No :	Assessment Code:	H470
Candidate No :	Component Code :	02
Candidate Name :	*	
Total Marks :		

In the table below 'Total Mark' records the mark scored by this candidate. 'Max Mark' records the Maximum Mark available for the question.

Question	Part	
X		Pext A is: transcipt -> Pob 3 + grandfather enidence -> pobt telegraphic
		endoura - roll teleprophic
	•	consonant el rediction
,	í	
Ĭ	•	Text A is a transcript of an interaction between
		Rob and his grandfather. Rob is three years old and is towards
	·, <u></u>	playing with toys during the conversation. *Rob is the
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		beginning of the post-telegraphic Grage of language
***************************************	, ,	acquisition. Hus use of language is still developing
	, ,	and therefore, he struggles with strong togg challenging
		should are and were complex of the forthis.
		phonology and more complex grammation one to this, we can observe many techniques such as substitution,
		ommission and reduction. We can also notice repairs and
		non-standard grammatical structures being used by Rob.
	-	
	4	Rob displayer features of being in the post-telegraphic
		Stage. His use of auxiliaries and madel verbs singgests
- 1		a high linguistic capability; for example his use of the
		phrase we have to have three each of this? The use of the
,		anxiliary shows language more developed than would be
	,	expected at the telegraphic stage. However, there are
,	,	occasions where he omits the auxiliary real, such as I
		got it now; however, the son need for an auxilian before got
		in this infrance is ambiguous. Rob Kadisplays the
	,	ability to apity use pronouns such as the personal
		pronouns you, Figue we' as well as representational
		•

© OCR

Question	Part
Question	Parr

pronouns such as this? According to the cognitive linguists
such as Praget and Clark, this only develops; once the
did indestands the concept believed the lexis.
A see the second of second with the second of the second o
Rob's phonological development is that expected of his
age, 3, and of the post = telegraphic stage grand and
Rob does not straggle in the pronunciation of complex
structures such as caught or three each? However
he still struggles with some pronuciations of difficult
most polysyllabic k words. The main example of this is
ballous shick he pronounces /bu Ins/ on all occasions
in the trouscript. This is an example of clipping, whereby he
ommits the phonome in the middle of the word in this
case III to form an easier word to pronounce similarly
Rob uses consonant duster reduction as a technique to
providence a word he cannot pronounce a standardly . For
he has reduced the consonant chutter from /sn/ to/s/
reducing it to avoid the phonologically difficult to
produce soundait the begginning of the word.
There is eindence that Rob makes errors, however,
on the occasion of a grammatical tause conjugation
ernor, he corrects himself much lote an adult speaker would
in the form of a repair the range There we all going (.) go
where he emphasises his conection. While nativist linguisty
namely. Chainsky, would claim that their es semlar to
a virtueine emer and proof of an investe language.
acquisition device, I agree that this is evidence of
_



Question		
. 02	<u>_ *.ē- ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;</u>	simply a mistake in tense divice which he mends.
		This is more likely to be a case of the overextension
		of grammatical trends due to the previous utterance
		containing a gerand; 'are coming'. This would make
8.014	, ,	the mistake of using the present participle at the
		end of the next atterine aswell.
1 3	l	to the second of
	<u> </u>	Similarly, Rob displayer assimulation in his phonology
	·	This is see through his promise promisation of three as
		/Fi:/ While on its own this appears to be usubstitution
		Dore La Rai replaced a harder to pronomice phoneme
	l .	with a softer and therefore easier one, this is actually
		aissimolation with four! We have seen entire that
		Rob comma of the pronounce. The mulier three as he does
	,	earlier, therefore he is Angoling with the woods three and
	·, • • • • •	four in the same utterance and therefore captured the
	<u> </u>	start of three as if it were the start of four as
		tist.
	. 1	
		Rob uses grammatically lexically and phonologically
		advanced language, placing him in the post telegraphic
-		stage of child language acquisition. His town language
-		enables. him to negate, using instand express his
1		waints through regulatory language, according to Hallidge
** ** **	 	Rob. Ail displage features of children's language, such
<u> </u>		as his use of addition to make dog doggie. However,
•	, 	his development allows for a complex discourse inches
	<u> </u>	the continous present tense and the use of anisoliary verbs.

are encountrated and a control of other ordinations of the control of other ordinations of the control of the c



© ÒCR

Question

Part

Question	Part	
A		PLAN - 7 Po wer + lech > Synth p - Sairon An / working
2	· ·	A COLOR DE LA CALLANDA DE LA CARRA DEL CARRA DE LA CARRA DEL CARRA DE LA CARRA
		The state of the s
2.	_ ** _ ;	Text B. is an extract of an online orbicle from the BBC
		mebsite's advice and information on web usage rection.
	·, • •	Produced in 2012, this article was and Athis open for
	2	the general public to were. The article their how the
2	, r	writer builds power; in order to influence the andience
<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		to follow the advacingaring this is done in many ways
		through their linguistic, tediques:
		the second se
		The article has a bor which says who it was written by
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and the date. Here, however, they have put WebWise Team'
		intread of the name of the conter. This is a form of
10.		metonomy as the whole must; the whole team has
		been used instead. This is done to increase the power
		Glatus of the producer in the pages of the receiver In the
		The writer them refers to a collective group we'. The use
		Similarly of the 1st person plural personal pronoun when
		giving a definition gives the body (the team) more
		instancental power. This is because it assigns them
		expert power, according to having, this is when somene
		has power daniel from their superior knowledge on
٠٠٠		a topic. In this case, the uniter has superior knowledge
-		as they are able to define a term, spyware is the name
: !		se give: Their labelling power elevater their apparent
ý	· · · · ·	expert power.



© OCR

ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED VISION ASSESSED VISION V

. 4		This expert power e Pablishes their as a reliable
,		Source for advice and enformation. The witer needs to:
		have sufficient influential power to persuade the
, ,,,		andience to follow the advice. Therefore, they make use
1		of Fighthetic personalisation. This is Norman Fairelough's
•, •		theony of how mass-produced discourse builds a relationship
2.11		between the producer and receiver onthe though there
	ه مین په ژومو د	me be thousands of recierers. This is achieved through
		me use of personal and emotive lexis; namely the use
		of the gud person personal pronoun you and the possessed
		pronousyour? This creates the relationship and therefore
16 2 . 4		assigns. The inter more power to influence the audience
, , , ,		and the state of t
		Once this relationship is formed the writer has the power to
		adrise the audience and the discourse is clearly an
		asymmetrical power interaction; where the interisin could
		as they have organization wanted by the audience which
,	g - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	they will willhold. The giving of adrice in the form
		of a list creates the expected discourse muchine of
		a recipe or influctions. The use of the discourse maker
		Firstly and secondly form an imperative and non-
<u> </u>	- w	optional tone. This bone is further developed through the
	, F.	use of epistemic and deputie model anxilian verbs such
		as you have to and you should . There for are
*** * * ***		more serious norte which prognatically imply modality
	·	the state of the s
1000	1,5	The writer uses grammatical sentence of metire to
		prove their point and to achieve their sim of influences
		the andience to altar their online behaviour. One of
		V



__@OCR

Question

Part

* 122237095606.*

Question-	Part	
		hours and adjectives. The mode fication of many
		all confedental makes the situation be persieved as
	<u> </u>	more serious with worse consequences due to the countations
		of confedential. similarly the modification if spisare'
	•	with the adjective 'malicions' perjorates the senario. The
		use of the adjective malicions also acts as personif- ication as only by bring beings can be malicions.
		on the apposite side of their argument, they use pre-modi-
,		fication and as without really stowing down and gener.
		ally do in order to positively influence the audience.
	<u> </u>	10 H 0- H 1 M
74.1		Another way the uniter uses sentence Ametire to influence is through their use of simple, Sentences. The use of the
		super structure of the sentences Firstly, don't uninflingly
		on Otal it. and Secondly you can intall an anti-spy ware
·.		tool. mable the uniter to present these soulutrons
		as simplifice. Although those are not simple sentences
		they contain a subordinate clause of the discurse
		uncomplicated making the effect similar to a short
		uncomplicated making the affect similar to a short simple sentence. Therefore, making the contents of it more appealing
	,	
		In conclusion, the text makes use of many techniques in
	· · · · · ·	need to establish power before asserting it leads to the
		use of synthetic personalisation and imperatives. The text
		is also about technology and as such makes reference
		to field-specific concepts, however, the defining of such



LANDER DESCRIPTION OF THE SECOND OF THE SECO

Question	Part	
5. K 1 * " * "		ingon purther establishes the power of the producer.
		The use of Leaders enables à Logical privat typical
, i		of in ordine article and presents all of the aformentioned
		techniques as thoroughly considered and the advices
,		mable.
	T I	w with the tree was a substitute of the same of the sa
,		the second of th
	1 50 -	the state of the s
4	1 . 21	the state of the s
	3 2 (1) year	The second secon
	· ·	
I I		the second of th
		The state of the s
		the state of the s
	. در	The same of the sa
		with the second of the second
	,	and the state of t
.* ,		The state of the s
	· . · . · .	
	·	The same of the sa
. *.	:	<u> Later de la Companya de la Company</u>
		and the second s

"



© OCR

Question	Part	
Z		REAN
1.		19th contin
		· Treaty Pirect como contine article
		oardine modern collections
		LFL Wookre 15 metadland lang
		· Complete June . The indians' Morgho logical Derv
		dentiere Matore Americano la reologisme
1 2 4 4 - 2		L'active soon
· · · ·	1	Local mubion
Marketta		. Complexet complex/compand . Simple sentences
	, , , , N	
	,	Feet o and text &
	# # # # # #	the second of th
3.		Text C and text D are bother written - mode texts about
	u.e	the relation this between the united States of America and
		those who we now refer to as . Native Americans . However,
		text. C is from the 19th century, while text D is from
	***	the 21st century. We can therefore observe the how language
		has developed in the time between the too dates of
		production. However, the genner of the 2 the texts must
*	3'	also be considered in their analysis. This is because while
		text c is our official, government document, text D is
		an online article posted on a US website. This means
<u> </u>		that their registers are contrasting while text cis
		very Emal, text D is relatively informal.
2		Text C uses many low-frequency lexical terms. This is
3		due to two fundamental reasons. The forst is that
	<u> </u>	it is a text from two centries: ago, and The refore, different



© OCR

๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛

_		_
\sim	estion	Part
LJITE	2551111111	PAH

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	+	language Il have been used which has vince changed
	:	through semantic Shift and popular use as well as social
	• • • •	changer. The second is that it is a highly formal text,
	<u>. 1. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.</u>	at government level. What is written in meh a formal
		text is not necessarily what was commonly spoken
		at the time; earlier, in the 15th century and before, latin
,		was used to write with on formal settings such as
* *,		anno carrie . It is a summer to be used as it commends solver
	د المحمد المحمد الم	Text cuses lexes such as hereto' and therewith aswell
		as herein's over time, these words have been replaced leaving
	,	then umsed and archaic. In contrast, text Dimakes
		use of neologians which were not coined it the time
•		of the text C. Neologians such as 'Tuitter' and 'video' only
		anse during the technological frew lution as they refer
		to things which did not exist. As well as there nedograms,
. F		many words underwent morphological derivation whereby
	, , , ,	they change meaning and form: For example the word
		screensiting is a compound, usotal mode up of screen
		and witing. The work verts chared and liked underwent
	* 3	broadening, a semantic Shift, whereby they took on
	•	nois meanings. They were their namowed and applied more
		spenefically, to social media.
	V 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	the state of the s
		The use of low frequency lexis in text c. also illustrates
-		the social charges which have occurred between the
		19th and 21st centiles. This is due to political correctness
		Text C uses the term the Indiana ishereas text D
		uses Native Americans? This is due to what is generally
		accepted as politically correct. Terms are constantly



__©OCR

Question Part	·
	changing and Saper-Worth would agre that this in
	than diffuences our society and the way we thank.
	As such the use of the term, native Americans' with perhaps
	minimise the discrimination against them in the society;
	while the use of 'Indians' distinguisher them as not
!	American sit
l.	
	The grammatical differences between the two texts can
	of witten english. Although prescriptivists such as Johnson
	and Suff , sould argue that it "compts" our language,
	I would agree with Daniel Crystal and the descriptionst
•	view that it "make - our language more creative" and a
	turn powerful. There grammatical differences illustrate the
-	creative linguistic différence between the times
	aptly. Text C nos complex and compand sontences. The
	two last paragraphs are constituted of only one sentence
	each. The use of such complex grammatical structures is
	itself almost archaic. These very to elaborate of metures us
	the conditional if along with the modal obligatory werb
	in modern english. In out rast, text's uses a variety
	of sentence types. It was the incomplete grammatical
l l	sentence And they won as a paragraph on its own
l l	This allows the witer of D to be more creative and to
	vary their of metires as desired. The use of 'And they
	won as a paragraph in itself stands out and draws
	the focus of the andrence to the & line.
· · ·	1. January 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19



© OCR

1	•
Question Part	
	Another sequificant: difference between text cand
	text 0 is the amount of detail and specifily
	of the mostexts. Text co goes into extreme detail, losting
	all of the names and all premises.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Text C uses formal expressions which are low frequency
	and associated with faith or moral obligation.
	One such example is From this day from the transfer
	In comparison, text D uses metaphorical language
	such as to taste of justices. This difference between
1 N N Y	the languistice style of the 19th and 21st contines
	links back to the concept of creativity. The ode a that
	we have adapted, language to enable us to be
* *, *, *,	ino re creative is supported by the differences in
	trese two texts:
	and the same of th
I.	The condition of the co
I I	We mint however note that the smally of text c
4-,	. compared to the informal and personal nature of text!
	due to their purposes, challenges the certainty of any
	claim we make regarding language change. The purpose
Land Aller	of text C is legal upkeep while the purpose of text
	Dis to inform and be read . This means that if we
	were to find an example of a inderniday treaty,
	low-Coopena Teras is likely to appear Nevertheless
	The difference in grammatical variation and the use of
	nedlogisms remis archair lexis is an ordispitable



_ © OCR

* 122237095612 *