

Candidate Marks Report

Series : 6 2018

This candidate's script has been assessed using On-Screen Marking. The marks are therefore not shown on the script itself, but are summarised in the table below.

Centre No :	Assessment Code :	H472
Candidate No :	Component Code :	01
Candidate Name :		

Total Marks : **51 / 60**

In the table below 'Total Mark' records the mark scored by this candidate.
'Max Mark' records the Maximum Mark available for the question.

Paper: H472/01			
Paper 51 / 60			
Total:			
	Question	Total / Max	Used
		Mark	Mark
			In
			Total
1a		NR / 15	
1b		NR / 15	
2a		NR / 15	
2b		NR / 15	
3a		14 / 15	✓
3b		12 / 15	✓
4a		NR / 15	
4b		NR / 15	
5a		NR / 15	
5b		NR / 15	
6a		NR / 15	
6b		NR / 15	
7		NR / 30	
8		NR / 30	
9		NR / 30	
10		NR / 30	
11		NR / 30	
12		25 / 30	✓

Question Part

		<p>+ a Extract prose - unplanned humor.</p> <p>context: convincing Barnadine to die so he can use his head = immorality</p> <p>frequent exits</p> <p>contrasting different types of immorality</p>
		<p>unrepenting criminal ↓ manipulating Duke ↓ substitute executioner → Pompey.</p> <p>① puns by names</p> <p>"Abhorsom" - the Execution } condemning "Pompey" - Repentance } condoning</p>
		<p>② Pompey's enthusiasm.</p> <p>"Master", executioner kills "sir" → nice differentiated meaning antithetical "rise & put to death" excitement "he is coming repion" - irony = for he sleeps soundly</p>
		<p>vs Duke your attempts</p> <p>③ Barnadine's choice to ignore authority</p> <p>"I will not die today - for any man ..."</p> <p>"you must" imperative</p> <p>"charity"</p> <p>"hearing"</p> <p>"a creature."</p> <p>Duke returns to verse.</p>



In this extract from Act 4 scene 3, "Measure for Measure", we witness three characters, Abhorson, Pompey and the Duke, all attempt to convince the prisoner Barnadine that he should be executed. Written in prose, upon Barnadine's refusal and prompt exit of stage, the Duke reverts back to his usual ~~or~~ verse, with the Provost, having been beaten by Barnadine's stubbornness. Shakespeare employs different language ~~and dramatic effects~~
~~technique~~ to different effects throughout this passage, but the overall tone is of amusement, and dark comedy.

2 comedy. This scene comes after the Duke hatches a plan to swap Claudio's fate for the substitute Barnadine's head in order to spare Claudio's life (to fool Angelo), and therefore contrast with other scenes of immorality such scenes in Vienna.

This extract is a humorous jab at the immorality in Vienna by the puns and double meanings of these comic characters. The executioner, Abhorson could be a play on 'abhorrent' or could be a bawdy joke of ~~a~~ "~~a~~" a whore's son". Simply Pompey is associated by his title "the Pimp" the use of these minor, yet immoral characters shows the extent of immorality in Vienna, yet amusingly when compared to Barnadine and the Duke (a comparison with the other characters in this scene) the immorality of the former characters ~~part~~ are relatively not as



Question Part

+	immoral.
	Shakespeare conveys the humorous immorality in this play by the Pompey's inappropriate enthusiasm. The mock differentiation "sir" and "master Barnardine", combine with the knowbarely contained excitement - seen also with the repetition of exclamation marks. Pompey's speech is inappropriate regarding the context of the extract (to convince a man to die), but his language is also jarring creating further dramatic effect of inappropriate humour. " for friends " and Antithetical (opposite) phrases are used with "your friend" / "the hangman", the sir being the pivoted word, creating a sense of balance. Shakespeare employs this again when Pompey asks him "to rise" and to be put "to death" - again an anti antithesis. The repetition of " very good " not "he is coming", followed by a semi colon again shows excitement and Pompey's immorality, is further emphasised by the use of the sustained metaphor of sleep being synonymous with death. The phrases comments "awake till you are executed, and sleep for afterwards" and line 20-21 both reveal a dark, black humour to Pompey that contributes to the dramatically humour yet immoral passage.
	This passage is also made humorous by



Question Part

	<p>the contrast between the Duke's sincere attempt to condemn Barnadine to death, and Barnadine's blatant disregard for authority - The Duke begins with the differential "sir", a echo of continuation of Pompey's style of persuasion, instead indicating it is his turn to try and persuade Barnadine. A tricolon of verbs are combine with "you" are used in line 25 — yet the sincerity of them are undermine by the ironic statement before them — the Duke did not was the one to authorize the execution, and cannot "pray" as he is a not a friar. Barnadine's stout resolution is seen with the repetition of "I will not" — an imperative and a certainty and delivered with confidence. As a result, the "persuasion" of the Duke is in vain. The Duke's restores to pleading and boozing "by use of the apostrophe "O", giving the effect of genuine distress — humorous to the audience that the Duke is so affected by Barnadine's choice to live — and the verb "beseech you" echoes back to the hidden in line 25, except Shakespeare uses the plead "beseech you" to portray a humorous image of a Duke supplicating of a criminal - The power balance has reversed — Barnadine interrupt the Duke, latching onto his line (in most editions) and silences him, leaving the Duke, ironically becoming one of the few characters that openly defies him.</p>
	# Shakespeare makes this passage humorous and



+ dramatically effective by the portrayal of Barnadine. Festibal references are continuously used — "a creature in line 38", and is actually given a bed of "straw", dehumanizing him. His diction is distinct and colloquial — aggressive and unrefined — "you rogue", "I am not 'A pox o' your throat". This latter quote is the first汰篤 we hear of Barnadine, but what but Shakespeare uses the dramatic technique of "off stage" or "within" to create laughs by the comic technique. The frequent extorting and extorting of these different comic characters creates humour and a sense of farce and quick pace.

2 To conclude, this extract is made humorous

by the context, ~~as~~ set after the Duke attempted to convince Claudio that life was not worth living (far more successful than this) and therefore the audience can make comparisons to the content.

The content — the presence of comic characters, to convince Barnadine to die in amusing, but is full of black humour, ~~but~~. The tone however shifts to become lighter with the pivotal moment — when Barnadine first declines the offer "I am not fitted and starts to shift the balance in power towards himself for it". As a result, the contrast between Barnadine and the Duke, the characterisation of the Duke and Abhorson, and the language and dramatic devices throughout this whole extract makes it dramatically effective in black as dark comedy.



Question Part



3 b Funny never problem play F. S. Boas

1896

First Tokio \Rightarrow comedy section

Funny
Yes

① elbow-malapropism

② Rucio - gallant

+ agreeable

Elizabeth

Pope 1916

Wintch

Not
Funny

tree
wood

dancing

puppet

Problable

Both \Rightarrow human

ending =

\Rightarrow we should

see cr

Duke \Rightarrow bad

Angelo \Rightarrow

selfish/c

Puns on names

single grain

Adaptation

farse

Isabella, clued

Isab = cold

① into sentility

Isab = cold

double entonme

Isab = cold

+ homonyms

Isab = cold

double meaning

Isab = cold

scene ②

Isab = cold



The statement, that "Shakespeare never forgot the funny side to life in Vienna" is difficult to contextualise as both early adaptations and later adaptations explored to different extents the comedy inside this play. I believe, with the

1 certain tragic elements and the underlying moral questions posed through the whole play, that the comedy in Measure is certainly not always present - and

Q therefore Shakespeare does sometimes forget the comedy/comedic elements of the play. However, it is not completely absent, for when it is there, it is dark humour, and therefore easy to overlook or underestimate. I argue that the humour in Measure for Measure is not very frequent, but certainly present.

1 Humour is presented by Shakespeare by the language devices, that characterise each character. With Isabella it is malapropism, with Isabella, double entendres and homonyms (words/phrases that have two meanings). This far Isabella this is especially seen ~~seen~~ ~~not~~ be with Angelo when she discusses "whipping" herself with chaps - innocent with one interpretation, sexual with another. There are also puns on names: Abhorson - "son of a whore",

1 Pompey the pimp, Lucio the light, Mistress Overdone and Jane Keepdown, both of which are bawdy references to whoredom and sexual immorality



Farce and disguise is also used to be humorous, suggesting there is a lot of ~~humour~~^{the "funny side"} in these this text.

Generally Shakespeare defined the play as a entered the play into the First Folio as a comedy when originally publishing, therefore it is not surprising that many interpret it as a comedy. Lucio has proved a huge character, with Maurice Charney (19th century critic) describing him as a truth teller, and even. This role can be seen over a range of years as Elizabeth ^{Rope} 19th century describes him as Elizabethan "gallant and agreeable" — a comic character that is honest and funny, providing the role of similar of a chorus. As a result of Lucio's character, I believe comedy is present in Measure for Measure.

However, the majority of the story takes place with the three main characters that show

However I believe the majority of action is not funny, there are more elements of serious moral dilemma akin to tragedy than comedy. The Duke or Angelo is a sadistic character described as a "sex maniac" by David Hulbrook 20th century, and Sir Johnson wrote in the 18th century that he "every character feels some sort of indignation when Angelo is spoken". Even early in 17th century Charles Gilbert wrote "Isabella should be exonerated, Angelo is to be condemned". This shows there is a continuing



+

critical view of Isabella of Angelo — a character unlikely to be interpreted as funny. In the 2016 Cheek by Jowl Production, Angelo tricks Isabella's betters before attempting to rape her — Angelo is not a character with whom we feel comfortable laughing at and Newfane Longenecker argues that for Angelo's scenes, Shakespeare does forget the "funny side".

The character of Angelo the Duke is also not always interpreted as funny. The Hazlitt wrote in the 18th century that Angelo the Duke is more interested in the welfare of the state in his own plots than for the welfare of the state" and this gives a sadder approach to the Duke. In the 2006 Simon McBurney production,

in a large orange hooded dress and Isabella looks aghast when Angelo the Duke asks her to marry him, "mine is yours, yours is mine", "what is yours is mine, what is mine is mine", to which Isabella does not reply.

Phila D'Onisotti in 1988 Clamorous Voices describes how "my Isabella was scared" at this ending scene, and we feel a sense of comedy for the modern interpretation production. In John Barton's

1970 production, he even has Isabella alone at the end of the play, isolated and afraid. The scene is one of painful pity and sorrow, not happiness. Josephine Bennett, writer in the 20th century that "Isabella's flaws arise from her inexperience" and in Barton's production, we are able to witness a lonely and scared woman. Swineburn



Question Part

+	<p>comments that "we are left without a wholesome single grain of righteouness" at the end of the play. Although writing in the 19th century, with time and modern adaptation, we are able to see how the ending is not only corrupt and immoral, but the sad — yet still not quite part of a "funny role".</p> <p>^{such as with Barton's 1980}</p>
5	<p>Yet, this play is hard to define, earned a "problem play" by F. S. Boas, 1896. W.W. Lawrence ^{20th century} believed that the problem of this play was Shakespear's combination of "old folk tale and legend" with "real psychological dilemmas". As a result, the acknowledgement that the defining of this play as a comedy or a tragedy depends on the interpretation is a compelling one. Barton was among the first to present the play with an unambiguous happy ending, but 17th century Charles Gilbert ^{20th century} directed production where the ending was changed to make it an unambiguous comedy and happy ending. This clearly shows that the original Shakespeare can be interpreted either way. As a result, rather than vulnerable and innocent, Isabella can be played as an unwilling sexual — "My Isabella was led into her sexuality from the beginning", Julia Stephenson ^{20th century} writes in 1988, and Una Ellis-Fermor calls her as "cold as an icicle", while Darryle Jones remarks that her preoccupation with charity shows spiritual arrogance" — but all these critics are modern, late 20th and 21st century, indicating a changing</p>
5	
5	
5	



Question Part

+	<p>views to the interpretations of the character. The Duke was placed in a 1991 production ^{by the same man} on the same stage as Liu's ^{21st century} and Simon McBurney described as a "a joke" and "not to taken seriously"; it is the human side of each character we find amusing, esp. Re Re Duke is also described as "rain interested in image mongery" by M. Duke & R. Carson in 20th century. Therefore, just as Jonathan Potts ^{says} "as Loavis writes in the 20th century, ^{"we should see ourselves in Angelo", I believe the} comedy rises when we choose to appreciate the comedy of this problem play, and see the funny sides to these human characters.</p>
5	
5	





Unit code	H	4	7	2	/	O	I
-----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Unit title	ENGLISH LITERATURE DRAMA AND POETRY PRE-1900 A-LEVEL						
------------	---------------------------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Write here how many booklets you have used in total.	2
------------------------------------------------------	---

12 PAGE ANSWER BOOKLET

INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

- Write the information required clearly in the boxes above using capital letters.
- Use black ink. HB pencil may be used for graphs and diagrams only.
- **DO NOT WRITE IN ANY BARCODES.**
- Write your answers in this booklet. Please leave two blank lines in between your answers to each question.
- Write the number of the question you are answering in the first margin.

↓

Question	Part
1	a
1	ai

↑

- If the question you are answering also contains parts, for example 1a, write the question part in the second margin.
- Do all your rough work in pen using this answer booklet. Cross through any work you do not want marked without making it illegible.
- Do not tear out any part of this booklet. All work must be handed in.
- If you run out of space in this booklet, ask the invigilator for a continuation booklet. Please insert any continuation booklets inside this booklet.

For examiner's use only	
Question number	Mark
Total	

This document consists of 12 pages



Question Part

12	<u>Decieving</u>
+	Duchess ^{widow} Tilbert.
	Chaucer
	Janyen honesty w/ Janne
	Merchant May.
	Tomb
	Jane chooses to ignore = safe
	I believe when defining the statement "deceiving" as lying to each other, I believe that both Webster in "the Duchess of Malfi" and Chaucer's "The Merchant's prologue" and "Tale" depict both characters lying to each other, and the consequences. Morality plays were popular in the 14 th century, and written in half metre fabliaux and half mock sermon. There is a clear moral overtones in Chaucer's work. Similarly Webster poses moral questions throughout the play, and we see that the ^{cause} for the tragedy, in both Webster's edition and the sources he used for his text, share is the Duchess' lies. As a result, we can observe that lying is compelling and has disastrous consequences once the truth is revealed.



We can see the Duchess' determination to lie. The determination to lie to others is seen by both Chaucer's and Webster's characters. The Duchess declares "I shall never marry" - but a few hundred lines on, she proudly boast to the audience in a ~~or~~ an aside, that she "winked and chose a husband". The idea that women were unworthy stems back to the bible, with the biblical story of Adam Eve and the apple. Joseph Swetnam wrote in the ~~20th century~~ Jacobean England pamphlet of "the Lewd, Forward and Unconstant Woman", ~~any~~ describing her as "necessarily evil"; due to their "constant lies". Their misogynist view was countered by female activist such as Rachel Spelght, who wrote "A mouzell for Melatamus"; but in the Duchess, we see how we ~~see~~ that such a view we observe that Swetnam is right and the Duchess lies ~~more~~ intently to others. In a source for Webster's work, Dr Edward Grimentone translates the ~~for~~ Simon Cowley pg. 1607 "A memorable and admirable history of Our Time" in which the Duchess largely due to her lies and marrying above her status, while in William Painter's Version of "A Palace of Pleasure" he calls her a foolish woman. The downfall for the Duchess comes after her forged pilgrim, when even Cardita expresses concern about the gravity of such a lie. As a result, we can observe that the Duchess' ~~attempt~~ intention and determination to prolong the lie are ~~an~~ ~~the~~ evidence to prove that human beings are intent on deceiving each other.



	offer.
	However, however, contrasting to the Duchesse, May's deception is very similar, but due to the form of the tale, it is a deception of the reader as well.
4	May is silent until line 976, and the epithet "freshe" May is used repeatedly. But May is not the meek 19th century damsels expected by society. She actively attempts to keep the lie - even when partially discovered by January. Fired by Proserpine's lies, she becomes a symbolic Eve again in the garden. She takes agency in her lies - the "thrust" the notes. Laura Marnen says "Chaucer's Carolean is not longer a place of courtly love and intelligence, but of lust and sexuality", supporting this argument.
5	She takes agency in her lies, convincing January he sees wrong. He does wrong thing - stepping on his back, "thrust" in the letter, and hinting at more deception in the future. She is determined to uphold her lies, and feels no qualms about breaking one of the first 10 commandments - "Thou shall not lie", and clearly, like the Duchesse, intend on deceiving everyone.
	However, there is a difference with these main characters, as it appears the Duchesse is deceiving herself, whereas the May is - although a more two-dimensional character - seems to acknowledge her own deceit. The Duchesse claims "I am not the man cut



Question Part

+	<p>"in alabaster that I keep at my feet husband's tomb" 5 but Elizabeth Papers claim she that when Duchess says "I am Duchess of Malfi still", she negotiates her relationship with Antonio, and become the figure out in alabaster that Ferdinand wants her to be". Looking at the 2018 Royal Shakespeare company, this is supported as the Duchess is covered white robes gets covered in the blood around her, representation of her involvement in her. However May seems to know her wrongs - and that why she is quick witted. Duchess lies to herself and everyone, whereas May lies only to everyone.</p>
4	<p>1) January is another character that lies to himself. The price of a lie during a cult held was societal stigmatism in 12th century Rome seen when January says "I thought [he saw], he chooses the to ignore. This is the safe option - ignoring his wife's sexual immorality, but proves human beings are intent on deceiving both themselves and one another.</p> <p>To conclude, I believe these texts show humans deceive both themselves and one another, the consequence of an unrealised lie is safety - a reveal lead to tragedy.</p>



Question Part



Question Part



Question Part



Question Part



Question Part



Question Part



Question Part

