

Candidate Marks Report

Series : 6 2018

This candidate's script has been assessed using On-Screen Marking. The marks are therefore not shown on the script itself, but are summarised in the table below.

Centre No :	Assessment Code :	H573
Candidate No :	Component Code :	04
Candidate Name :		

Total Marks :

In the table below 'Total Mark' records the mark scored by this candidate.
'Max Mark' records the Maximum Mark available for the question.

Question Part

3	Q	<p>Islam has expanded throughout the world in its years. Some may say that Islam does not allow Muslims to fully integrate into non-Muslim countries, but due to various evidence this view could perhaps be argued against.</p>
		<p>Islam as a religion The view that Islam does not allow Muslims to fully integrate into non-muslim countries can be argued against as Islam has successfully migrated into non-Muslim countries such as France and Germany, in according to 2016, the muslim population integrated in France was 5.7 million, that being 8.8% of the France entire population. And in Germany it was 2.5 million muslims. Through this we can infer that Islam does indeed allow Muslims to fully integrate into non-muslim countries as no problems have risen from this move.</p>
		<p>On the other hand, it can be debated that Islam does not allow muslims to fully integrate into non-Muslim countries as once this integration has occurred, problems against Islam can</p>



Question Part

be seen to arise. For example, the 9/11 attack increased the amount of Islamophobia in society, as narrow minded individuals focused decided to divert their hatred onto Islam, thus making it difficult for Muslims to integrate into non-Muslim countries.

Regardless, this view can be disputed as the Prophet Muhammad (PBUH) himself, Hujr which is the movement to religious freedom, and he migrated from Mecca to Medina in order to avoid facing persecution, and in Medina is where he was able to reinstate Islam thus proving that Islam does allow Muslims to fully integrate into non-Muslim countries as the Prophet was able to do so.

In addition to that, when the Prophet had arrived in Medina he sprinkled rose water to symbolise peace, thus allowing him to co-live with the people of the book (Arabi wa kufri) which was converts of Christians and Jews.

Arguably, how easy would it be to ^{integrate} live in a non-Muslim country, where



Question Part

the laws and the country is led by a non-Muslim? Just because the Prophet Muhammad (PBUH) was able to do so in that century, doesn't mean that it's easy to do that now. In non-Muslim countries could result in a violation of Islamic laws and traditions, for example, in France again, any type of Islamic religious symbol is banned in schools, as well as no hijabs being allowed to be worn on the beach. This then will conflict with Islamic Muslims as they'll be forced to either side with the Islamic laws or the country's laws, which could result in inner and external conflict, and then suggesting the view that Islam does not allow Muslims to fully integrate into Western non-Muslim countries.

Nevertheless, the view that Islam does not allow Muslims to fully integrate into non-Muslim countries can perhaps be disputed by the methods of integration involved in Britain today. For example, in terms of education, the subject of religious studies, especially for OCR A level, includes the development of Islamic thought, where individuals



Question Part

are able to fully and deeply understand and learn about the Islamic belief system not just as a religion, but as a way of life, in order to broad out non-Muslims' minds and views on religion, Britain being a Christian country ~~that~~ ~~proves~~. Furthermore, ~~relig~~-religious Islamic holidays such as Eid are valued and celebrated accordingly.

~~Linking this to~~. As a result of that, we can ^{dispute} argue that Islam does indeed allow Muslims to fully integrate into non-Muslim countries, as it allows individuals that are not Muslims to get an insight on the religion.

However, this point can be debated as just because Islam is being taught in schools, doesn't mean it's being taught efficiently; ~~to add due to~~ ~~teachers~~ if the teachers are not Muslims themselves then it'd be hard for them to teach with insight knowledge on the religion and may therefore present subjective views.

Furthermore, just because Islam is taught in schools, it doesn't mean that it will anyhow allow Muslims to integrate further into non-Muslim



Question Part

countries, or Britain Islamophobic is still likely to exist. Britain is in fact the biggest country that has portrayed Islam badly in the eyes of the media, due to certain factors such as ISIS, which has therefore caused implications for Muslims in non-Muslim countries to fully integrate or perhaps even be accepted due to them being a minority. Which therefore supports the view that Islam does not allow Muslims to fully integrate into non-Muslim countries.

After examining both arguments, we can conclude that Islam does indeed allow Muslims to fully integrate into non-Muslim countries as the Prophet Muhammad (PBUH) was able to integrate into Medina and the fact that ~~Islamic~~ ~~itself~~ ~~has~~ ~~been~~ ~~able~~ ~~to~~ Muslims are taught to follow in his footsteps, which therefore shows that as Muslims, we should be able to do this also. Further the fact Islam was able to expand to countries such as France, Germany to non-Muslim countries already tells us that integrating is not difficult, although faced with



Question Part

tribulations, but nevertheless, Allah (swt) in the Quran already stated that Muslims will face trials and tribulations and so therefore may be the reason for the opposition towards integration.

1 Sufism is a group of individuals in Islam which may have different procedures ~~and~~ ^{for} worshipping God.

One of

One of the aspects of Sufism is the Sufi-master disciple relationship. This is when the Sufi masters teach and guide the students ~~in order~~ to them perfecting ^{and} their ^{developing} relationship with God and aim to become like ~~the~~ ^{God}.

This view could however be contradicted with the belief that God is one as it puts the Sufi masters in a higher position, maybe as high as God possibly, because if the aim of it is for the students to become like the Sufi masters but not for them to become like God.

Arguably, ~~this view may~~ the Sufi master-disciple relationship could actually not contradict the belief



Question Part

that God is one because all of Sufism's rituals, such as Ihsan and self purification amongst others, are aimed for Sufism to reach oneness with God and for their souls to be in contact with God Allah. Which could therefore mean that they're not it does not contradict the belief that God is one, as the whole aim and purpose in Sufism is to be in touch with God spiritually.

To move this argument forward, we can argue that perhaps the Sufi master-disciple relationship may also not contradict the belief that God is one as in the Quran, Allah has stated himself that "Allah is the only one..." Hence if Sufi's are being part of Islam means that they believe in the Quran and what's being stated as it, the literal word of God, in which in that such passage of the Quran, Allah makes it perfectly clear that Shirk, putting one on the same level as God, is not permitted and is a grave sin which therefore means that their Sufi master-disciple relationships



Question Part

4		Gender equality in Islam can be said that it has indeed improved for the better.
		Various of the modern feminism have had a big say & impact on certain aspects such as the attitudes towards the Hijab.
		Modern feminism have allowed and impacted on the attitudes towards the hijab, women are free to choose and decide which style they want to wear their hijab in, whether it covers the whole face like a niqab or whether it just covers the hair.
		Regardless the whole purpose of a hijab is to cover the hair from the public especially men, as a sign of sacredness and holiness, Modern feminism have made this impact at effective in order for each women to feel comfortable in however she styles her hijab.
		Arguably, across many cultures and as it's seen in the eyes of society, women who do not wear a niqab, ^{or} may be seen as less religious with the more skin you show, which



DO NOT WRITE IN THIS MARGIN

Question Part

Therefore shows us that the impact of modern day feminism on attitudes towards the Hijab, has not been effective as women are still being judged on the religious faith as an account to how their Hijab is styled.

However, the impact of modern feminism on attitudes towards the hijab has what ~~has~~ may influence Nike, the sports brand, to engage and created a Nike Hijab for y females to wear whilst doing sports or active activities, which could suggest how modern feminists have ~~presented~~ managed to influence and challenge major sports brands to take the hijab seriously and effectively.

~~On the other hand~~
 However, this can be disputed as many schools in France are still not allowing to wear ~~it~~ allowing their students to wear hijabs in school, which ~~therefore~~ means this can be used to actually ~~disprove~~ the modern feminists and argue that they may not at all have made an impact in regards to the attitudes towards the hijab.



Question Part

Nevertheless, the impact of modern feminism on attitudes towards the hijab can be highlighted through the contestant, ^{zara} winner of a fashion show in Dubai where she created different hijabs with different designs for her models to wear. The fact she won proves how the attitudes towards the hijab are recognised as positive from the public and embraces the concept idea that you can still allow to be fashionable whilst wearing a hijab.

~~To conclude, modern feminism have had a massive impact on attitudes. However, we can dispute that actually modern feminism haven't at all had an impact of modern feminism on attitudes towards the hijab but perhaps society's just change and has become more understanding and into the and acceptance of females wearing hijabs, which could just mean that perhaps society has just changed overtime and has not in terms of attitudes towards the areas and has nothing to do with modern feminism.~~



