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## Annotations

| Annotation | Meaning |
| :---: | :--- |
| DO NOT ALLOW | Answers which are not worthy of credit |
| IGNORE | Statements which are irrelevant |
| ALLOW | Answers that can be accepted |
| () | Words which are not essential to gain credit |
| - | Underlined words must be present in answer to score a mark |
| ECF | Error carried forward |
| AW | Alternative wording |
| ORA | Or reverse argument |

## Note about significant figures:

If the data given in a question is to 2 sf, then allow to 2 or more significant figures.
If an answer is given to fewer than 2 sf , then penalise once only in the entire paper.
Any exception to this rule will be mentioned in the Additional Guidance.

| Question |  |  | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | a | i | Potential divider formula $\checkmark$ <br> For example: $R_{Y} \div R_{\mathrm{X}}=V_{\mathrm{Y}} \div V_{\mathrm{X}}$ or $R_{\mathrm{Y}} \div\left(R_{\mathrm{X}}+R_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)=V_{\mathrm{Y}} \div V_{\mathrm{T}}$ $R_{Y}=(4 \times 3.4) \div 8=1.7 \Omega \checkmark$ <br> OR <br> Current (through resistor) $=8 \div 3.4=2.4 \mathrm{~A} \checkmark$ $R_{Y}=4 \div 2.4=1.7 \Omega \checkmark$ | 2 | Potential divider equation with numbers or symbols $R_{\mathrm{Y}} \div 3.4=4 \div 8$ or $R_{\mathrm{Y}} \div\left(3.4+R_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)=V_{\mathrm{Y}} \div 12$. <br> Accept reverse argument eg: calculate $\mathrm{V}=4.4 \mathrm{~V}$ for R $=2 \Omega$ |
|  |  | ii | Area $=\pi d^{2} / 4=1.7 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \checkmark$ <br> EITHER <br> Maximum and/or minimum method $\checkmark$ <br> Maximum $=1.8 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and/or minimum $=1.5 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \checkmark$ <br> Absolute error in area $=[1 / 2(\max -\min )$ OR max - actual OR actual -min$]=0.1$ or $0.2 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \checkmark$ <br> OR <br> $\%$ error in diameter measurement $=2 \div 46=0.043$ or $4.3 \% \checkmark$ <br> $\%$ error in area $=2 \times \%$ error in $d=8.6 \%$ or $8.7 \%$ giving $0.1(4) \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \checkmark$ | 3 | Use of $\mathrm{r}=0.046 \mathrm{~mm}$ gives $\mathrm{A}=6.6 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. <br> Two marks for uncertainty are independent. <br> ecf incorrect area used to calculate uncertainty. $\left[ \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-9}\right.$ for $\left.A=6.6 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right]$ <br> Ignore sf in final answer but must be rounded correctly. |
|  |  | iii | Use of [G $=\sigma A / L$ and $G=1 / R$ to give] $R=L / \sigma A$ or $\sigma=L / A R \checkmark$ Substitution $\sigma\left[=0.2 /\left(1.7 \times 10^{-9} \times 1.7\right)\right]=6.9 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{Sm}^{-1} \checkmark$ <br> Assumption: that the filament provides the only resistance in the bulb the filament is of constant diameter that the filament is at normal (operating) temperature/temperature of filament not affecting resistance/resistivity/conductivity | 3 | ALLOW $\sigma=5.9 \times 10^{7}, 6(.0) \times 10^{7}$ or $7.1 \times 10^{7}$. <br> ALLOW ecf of incorrect area calculated in part (ii). ONLY ALLOW ecf of incorrect $R$ from part (i) if it rounds to $2 \Omega$. |


| Question |  | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b |  |  |  |  |


| Question |  |  | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | a |  | Any 2 of: <br> Handle with tongs/tweezers/forceps/gloves; Direct away from body <br> Only use for a short period of time / record usage Shield/store in lead container | 2 | NOT keep your distance or wtte |
|  | b | i | 3.6, $1.8 \checkmark$ <br> Background radiation count needs to be subtracted from all experimental readings | 2 | Look at data written in $3^{\text {rd }}$ column of table only. |
|  |  | ii | 1.06, $\underline{0.79}{ }^{\checkmark}$ | 1 | Rounding errors penalised. 2dp necessary. Look at data written in $4^{\text {th }}$ column of table only. |
|  |  | iii | Both points plotted to within half a small square <br> Straight line of best fit drawn with reasonable balance of points either side of line and extends across all plotted points $\checkmark$ | 2 | ALLOW ecf from (b)(ii). Plots must be < half a small square in diameter. <br> ALLOW ecf from plotting. <br> Expect to see. <br> $y$-intercept 3 squares from the top (within $1 / 2$ small square) <br> x-intercept 2 to 3 squares from the right (within $1 / 2$ small square) |


| Que |  | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | iv | Level 3 (5-6 marks) $\checkmark \checkmark$ <br> Clearly worked half-life calculation from gradient including linearisation of equation AND detailed comparison of logarithmic and exponential graphs. <br> There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated. <br> Level 2 (3-4 marks) $\checkmark \checkmark$ <br> Calculation of half-life (by an appropriate method) or decay constant or gradient and some comparison of logarithmic and exponential graphs OR clearly worked half-life calculation from gradient including linearisation of equation OR detailed comparison of logarithmic and exponential graphs. <br> There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most part relevant and supported by some evidence. <br> Level 1 (1-2 marks) $\checkmark \checkmark$ <br> Attempted calculation of half-life AND/OR or some comparison of logarithmic and exponential graphs. <br> There is an attempt at a logical structure with a line of reasoning. The information is in the most part relevant. <br> 0 marks <br> No response or no response worthy of credit. | 6 | Indicative scientific points may include: <br> Determination of half life <br> - Calculation of gradient using 2 points on the line (at least half the length of the line apart) <br> - Gradient in range $-9 \times 10^{-3}$ to $-10 \times 10^{-3}$. <br> - Allow ecf of gradient from their line. <br> - Calculation of half-life $=-\ln 2 \div$ gradient <br> - Half-life in range 69s to 77s <br> - Rearrangement of $A=A_{0} e^{-\lambda t}$ to $\ln \mathrm{A}=\ln \mathrm{A}_{0}-\lambda t$ <br> - Explanation that this is a $y=m x+c$ type straight line with gradient $=-\lambda$ and intercept $=\ln \mathrm{A}_{0}$. <br> - Approximate decay constant could be calculated from table data or single point on graph and substituted into exponential/logarithmic equation. <br> - Approximate half-life could be determined purely from table data. <br> Comparison of logarithmic and exponential graphs: <br> - Exponential plot will give a decay curve; <br> - Curve line is more difficult to draw; <br> - Easier to see anomalies with a straight line. <br> - On an exponential scale - Need to find several values of half-life in different parts of curve and average. <br> - Logarithmic graphs compress the scale so it is easier to see variation across all values of $A$. <br> - Finding half-life from curve with smaller values of $A$ will be more inaccurate than for large values of $A$. <br> - Radioactive decay is a random process and at small values of $A$ the randomness will affect readings more. <br> - Logarithm graph reduces the effect of random nature/ value determined for half-life is more reliable. <br> - Easier to average out random error in the points by drawing a straight line of best fit. |
|  |  | Question total | 13 |  |


| Question |  |  | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | a |  | When (apparent) weight force is equal and opposite to air resistance/drag force $\checkmark$ no resultant/net force $\checkmark$ there is no acceleration $\checkmark$ | 3 | IGNORE any reference to electric force F =Eq <br> ALLOW forces are in equilibrium for second marking point. <br> ALLOW it is travelling at terminal velocity for third marking point. |
|  | b | i | Field strength $=V \div d=390 \div 6 \times 10^{-3}=6.5 \times 10^{4} \checkmark$ $\mathrm{V} \mathrm{m}^{-1}$ or $\mathrm{NC}^{-1} \checkmark$ | 2 |  |
|  |  | ii | $F=E q \text { or } F=m g \checkmark$ <br> Equate forces and rearrange to give $q=m g \div E \checkmark$ Charge $q\left(=2.15 \times 10^{-15} \times 9.81 \div 6.5 \times 10^{4}\right)=3.2 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{C}$ | 3 | ALLOW ecf of incorrect value for $E$ from part (i). <br> Second mark can be implicit in the calculation Bald correct answer gains three marks |
|  |  | iii | Causing apparent weight of the oil drop to be less than actual weight $/$ electric force can be smaller $\checkmark$ <br> Calculated value is higher than actual value. $\checkmark$ | 2 | ALLOW $F_{E}+F_{B}=m g / F_{E}=m g-F_{B}$. <br> ALLOW actual charge is lower or calculated charge is too high. |
|  | C |  | The weight and the electric forces are acting downwards/in the same direction. <br> Oil drop will accelerate towards bottom/positive plate. | 2 | ALLOW The oil drop will reach a higher/faster terminal velocity. |
|  |  |  | Question total | 14 |  |
|  |  |  | SECTION TOTAL | 39 |  |



| Ques |  | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ii | Calculate gradient using two points on their line which are at least half the length of their line apart. <br> Use of Young Modulus $=F / / A x$ or stress/strain [= gradient $x(I / A)] \checkmark$ <br> Calculate value of Young Modulus using value of $A$ from (a)(ii) and $I=4.00 \mathrm{~m}$ and gradient. <br> Correct units (Pa or $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ ). <br> Answer has correct POT for their units. | 5 | Look for $\Delta \mathrm{F} \geq 5.0$ if full height line drawn. If a single data point is used to find gradient check drawn line goes through both origin and data point and $F$ is greater than half height of line. Gradient should be in range $1.3 \times 10^{3}$ to $1.7 \times 10^{3}$. Ignore POT in gradient calc. <br> ALLOW ecf from incorrect lbf. If $E$ calculated from data point values or stress over strain; max 3 marks (not first or third marking point). <br> Expect $1 \times 10^{11} \geq \mathrm{E} \geq 1.4 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{~Pa}$. <br> ALLOW ecf of incorrect A in part (a)(ii). <br> [If $A=1.96 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ then $E$ will be a quarter the value above - approx $3 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~Pa}$.] |
| c |  | Level 3 (5-6 marks) $\checkmark \checkmark$ <br> - Combines their \%uncertainties correctly to find overall \%uncertainty in E. <br> - Identifies (with reason) that extension provides the greatest source of uncertainty <br> - Justifies improvement for any two sources of uncertainty. <br> There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated. <br> Level 2 (3-4 marks) $\checkmark \checkmark$ <br> Minimum 2 of: <br> - Calculation of reasonable \%uncertainty in variable(s) (not area) or $E$. <br> - Comparison of two or more \%uncertainties or complete set of uncertainties listed ( $A, I, F$ and $x$ OR $A$, I and gradient). <br> - Identifies reasons for at least two sources of uncertainty. <br> - Suggest improvements to mitigate at least two sources of uncertainty. <br> There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most part relevant and supported by some evidence. | 6 | Indicative scientific points may include: <br> Determining the uncertainty in $E$ : <br> - 'worst fit' line drawn using error bars. <br> - Gradient of worst fit line calculated correctly. <br> - \% uncertainty in gradient worked out. <br> - Addition of \% uncertainties in gradient, area and length for overall \% uncertainty in $E$. <br> - Max value for $E$ calculated using max gradient, max length and min area AND/OR min value for $E$ from min gradient, min length and max area. <br> - \% uncertainty in $E$ worked out. <br> - Expect \% uncertainties as follows: <br> - $E$ in region of $30 \%$ <br> - Gradient in region of 20 to $25 \%$ <br> - Area 2.4\% (ecf from (a)(ii)) <br> - Length $0.5 \%$ <br> - Force up to $20 \%$ (depending on value) <br> - Extension up to $100 \%$ (depending on value) |
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