**Things that went well**

The majority of students managed their time well and didn't spend too long on Section A at the expense of Section B. Law making and the law of tort especially had some excellent work, with truly impressive answers. Across all three papers, we saw impressive knowledge of a range of relevant case law as well as precise citations. Students understood the rubric for the question papers, and answered correct combinations of questions.

**Exam Tips**

- Read each question properly! Underline key information and make sure you’re answering the question that has been asked – not repeating an answer you’ve learnt.
- Keep criminal and civil law separate – don’t let the two merge together!
- Make sure to include appropriate supporting authorities where possible. In June 2019, there were some questions where students wrote accurate and thoughtful answers, but just needed more supporting authorities included to access higher marks.

**Areas for improvement**

- Handwriting – it sounds like a little thing but if we can't read what’s been written, then we can’t award marks.
- When it comes to the evaluation questions, don’t leap straight into it. Remember there are 10 marks for knowledge too – set out the factual legal basis either before the evaluation or alongside it.
- Make sure your conclusion isn’t just a repeat of what you’ve already said. Keep it succinct and concise, making sure you’ve answered the question.
- Be selective about what you include – covering absolutely everything, regardless of how relevant it is, means you might run into timing issues or possibly lose your train of thought. Only write down what’s relevant. You don’t need to write pages and pages to get full marks.