

Applications of Mathematics (Pilot)

General Certificate of Secondary Education

Unit **A381/01**: Foundation Tier

Mark Scheme for January 2011

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of pupils of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, OCR Nationals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by Examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the Report on the Examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2011

Any enquiries about publications should be addressed to:

OCR Publications
PO Box 5050
Annesley
NOTTINGHAM
NG15 0DL

Telephone: 0870 770 6622
Facsimile: 01223 552610
E-mail: publications@ocr.org.uk

Marking instructions

1. Mark strictly to the mark scheme.
2. Make no deductions for wrong work after an acceptable answer unless the mark scheme says otherwise.
3. Work crossed out but not replaced should be marked.
4. **M** (method) marks are not lost for purely numerical errors.
A (accuracy) marks depend on preceding **M** (method) marks. Therefore **M0 A1** cannot be awarded.
B marks are independent of **M** (method) marks and are awarded for a correct final answer or a correct intermediate stage.
5. Two additional situations may appear in the mark scheme allowing the award of **A** marks or independent (**B**) marks:
 - i. Correct answer with no working
 - ii. Follows correctly from a previous answer whether correct or not (“ft” on mark scheme and on the annotations tool).
6. As a general principle, if two or more methods are offered, mark only the method that leads to the answer on the answer line. If two (or more) answers are offered, mark the poorer (poorest).
7. When the data of a question is consistently misread in such a way as not to alter the nature or difficulty of the question, please follow the candidate’s work and allow follow through for **A** and **B** marks. Deduct 1 mark from any **A** or **B** marks earned and record this by using the **MR** annotation. **M** marks are not deducted for misreads.
8. Unless the question asks for an answer to a specific degree of accuracy, always mark at the greatest number of significant figures even if this is rounded or truncated on the answer line. For example, an answer in the mark scheme is 15.75, which is seen in the working. The candidate then rounds or truncates this to 15.8, 15 or 16 on the answer line. Allow full marks for the 15.75.
9. If the correct answer is seen in the body and the answer given in the answer space is a clear transcription error allow full marks unless the mark scheme says ‘mark final answer’ or cao. If the answer is missing, but the correct answer is seen in the body allow full marks. If the correct answer is seen in working but a completely different answer is seen in the answer space, then accuracy marks for the answer are lost. Method marks would still be awarded.
10. Ranges of answers given in the mark scheme are always inclusive.
11. For methods not provided for in the mark scheme give as far as possible equivalent marks for equivalent work.

12. Award 0 if:
 - There is any attempt that earns no credit. This could, for example, include the candidate copying all or some of the question, or any working that does not earn any marks, whether crossed out or not.
13. Where a follow through mark is indicated on the mark scheme for a particular part question, you must ensure that you refer back to the answer of the previous part question if this is not shown within the image zone.
14. Anything in the mark scheme which is in square brackets [...] is not required for the mark to be earned, but if present it must be correct.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are commonly found in GCSE Mathematics mark schemes.

- Where you see **oe** in the mark scheme it means **or equivalent**.
- Where you see **isw** in the mark scheme it means **ignore subsequent working** (after correct answer obtained), provided the method has been completed.
- Where you see **cao** in the mark scheme it means **correct answer only**.
- Where you see **soi** in the mark scheme it means **seen or implied**.
- Where you see **www** in the mark scheme it means **without wrong working**.
- Where you see **rot** in the mark scheme it means **rounded or truncated**.
- Where you see **seen** in the mark scheme it means that you should award the mark if that number/expression is seen anywhere in the answer space, including the answer line, even if it is not in the method leading to the final answer.
- Where you see **figs 237**, for example, this means any answer with only these digits. You should ignore leading or trailing zeros and any decimal point e.g. 237000, 2.37, 2.370, 0.00237 would be acceptable but 23070 or 2374 would not.

Question			Marks	Guidance								
1	(a)	7 to 11 (metres)	1									
	(b)	<table style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 0 10px;">✓</td> <td style="padding: 0 10px;">x</td> <td style="padding: 0 10px;">✓</td> <td style="padding: 0 10px;">✓</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 0 10px;">x</td> <td style="padding: 0 10px;">x</td> <td style="padding: 0 10px;">x</td> <td style="padding: 0 10px;">✓</td> </tr> </table>	✓	x	✓	✓	x	x	x	✓	3	<p>B3 All 8 correct B2 6 or 7 correct B1 4 or 5 correct SC1 for blank if consistently correct read as crosses</p> <p>Zero if all ticks or crosses</p>
✓	x	✓	✓									
x	x	x	✓									
	(c)	19 to 23°	1									
	(d)	400 or 440 or 438 given as answer	1									
2	(a)	529	1									
	(b) (i)	36	1									
	(ii)	33	1									
	(iii)	1000	1	Condone 10 x 10 x 10								
3	(a) (i)	(£) 39.20	1	Must be correct money notation								
	(ii)	300 (kg)	1									

	(iii)*	<p>(£) 80.80 as answer, with costing for total cost of seed £39.20 indicated and compared with cost of buying 300 kg from supermarket £120 or the equivalent based on clear statement and working based on unit cost</p>	<p>4</p> <p>4: (£)80.80 + at least one supporting calculation* or key number and these labelled/explained in some manner.</p> <p>3: (£)80.80 + at least one supporting calculation* or key number.</p> <p>2: figs 888 + at least one supporting calculation* or key number.</p> <p>_____ or _____</p> <p>(£)80.80 with little or no coherent working.</p> <p>1: At least one key number or relevant calculation.</p> <p>_____ or _____</p> <p>Figs 888 seen but with incoherent working.</p>	<p>For example:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Possible calculation</th> <th>Key Number</th> <th>Label/units</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>$300 \div 2.5$</td> <td>120</td> <td>cost of super market potatoes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$2500 \div 100$</td> <td>25</td> <td>g of potato per p</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$1500 \div 196$ or $3920 \div 300$</td> <td>13/13.1/13.06</td> <td>06p per kg of potatoes per p for GYO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$39.2 \times 2.5 \div 300$</td> <td>32.6/32/33</td> <td>price in p for 2.5 kg of GYO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$120 - 39.20$</td> <td></td> <td>saving</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Possible calculation	Key Number	Label/units	$300 \div 2.5$	120	cost of super market potatoes	$2500 \div 100$	25	g of potato per p	$1500 \div 196$ or $3920 \div 300$	13/13.1/13.06	06p per kg of potatoes per p for GYO	$39.2 \times 2.5 \div 300$	32.6/32/33	price in p for 2.5 kg of GYO	$120 - 39.20$		saving
Possible calculation	Key Number	Label/units																				
$300 \div 2.5$	120	cost of super market potatoes																				
$2500 \div 100$	25	g of potato per p																				
$1500 \div 196$ or $3920 \div 300$	13/13.1/13.06	06p per kg of potatoes per p for GYO																				
$39.2 \times 2.5 \div 300$	32.6/32/33	price in p for 2.5 kg of GYO																				
$120 - 39.20$		saving																				

				<p>_____ OR _____</p> <p>Based on cost per bag (or similar – 4 not available as not for 20kg of seed potatoes)</p> <p>3: 67/68p + at least one supporting calculation* or key number.</p> <p>2: figs 67/68 + at least one supporting calculation* or key number.</p> <p>_____ or _____</p> <p>67/68p with little or no coherent working.</p> <p>1: At least one key number or relevant calculation.</p> <p>_____ or _____</p> <p>figs 67/68 seen but with incoherent working.</p>	<p>For example:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Possible calculation</th> <th>Key Number</th> <th>Label/units</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>15÷2.5</td> <td>6</td> <td>Bags of potatoes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.96 ÷ 6</td> <td>0.32/0.33/0.3266</td> <td>Cost per bag DGYO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>100 – (33/32)</td> <td>67 / 68</td> <td>Saving per bag for GYO</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Possible calculation	Key Number	Label/units	15÷2.5	6	Bags of potatoes	1.96 ÷ 6	0.32/0.33/0.3266	Cost per bag DGYO	100 – (33/32)	67 / 68	Saving per bag for GYO
Possible calculation	Key Number	Label/units															
15÷2.5	6	Bags of potatoes															
1.96 ÷ 6	0.32/0.33/0.3266	Cost per bag DGYO															
100 – (33/32)	67 / 68	Saving per bag for GYO															
	(b)	(i)	25 (metres)	1													
		(ii)	70 (metres)	1	FT 20 + 2 × (i)												
	(c)	(i)	4	3	<p>B3 www</p> <p>M1 attempt to calculate $\frac{1}{3}$ of 24 (\Rightarrow8 seen.) or $\frac{2}{3}$ of 24 (\Rightarrow 16 if clear from working)</p> <p>M1 8 or “8” ÷ 2</p>												

		(ii)	$\frac{1}{6}$	1	isw	Condone $\frac{4}{24}$ or (16/17)%
	(d)	(i)	440 (g)	1	430 to 450	
		(ii)	0.44 (kg)	1	0.43 to 0.45 with FT from (i)	
		(iii)	3.08 (kg)	1	3.07 to 3.09 or FT from above scale reading. i.e. (ii) + (iii) = 3.52	
	(e)		(£) 360	2	B1 sight of figs 36 or £40	
4	(a)		20 (cm)	2	Allow ± 0.4 cm i.e. 19.6 to 20.4 B1 sight of 5 ± 0.1	
	(b)		8.49	2	B1 8.48 / 8.4 / 8.5 / 8.48...	2nd mark is for the correct rounding so follow through on " $3 \times \sqrt{8}$ " 2 dp
5	(a)		(£) 20 (£) 60	1+1	SC1 If "20" + "60" = 80	
	(b)		(£) 45	1		
	(c)		(£) 35	1	Allow FT from £80 – "£45" i.e. "35" + "45" = 80	
6			3 & 47 13 & 37 17 & 33 23 & 27	4	B2 for each different correct pair or B1 for each pair with one correct condition	Ignore errors/repeats (ie especially reversed e.g. 13, 37 & 37, 13)

7			$a = 155^\circ$ $b = 25^\circ$ $c = 65^\circ$ $d = 65^\circ$	1 1 2 1	B1 (a) = 90 + (c) FT on (c)	
8	(a)		$11x - 15$ as final answer	3	B2 for $2x + 9x - 15$ or B1 for $2x + 3(3x - 5)$ or $11x$ or -15 seen	
	(b)	(i)	15	3	M1 for $11x - 15 = 150$ FT their (a) and M1 for $11x = 165$ and M1 for $x = 15$	eg $4x - 5 = 150$ or $2x = 150$ FT their first step FT their second step
		(ii)	40 cao	1		
8	(a)		$11x - 15$	3	Given as final answer B1 $2x + 3(3x - 5)$ or B2 $2x + 9x - 15$	
	(b)	(i)	$x = 15$	3	Given as final answer or M1 $11x - 15 = 150$ M1 $11x = 165$ A1 $x = 15$	1 st step
		(ii)	40	1	Allow full FT from the above	
9	(a)	(i)	280	2	B1 for 8×5 or 40 seen	
		(ii)	Yes, 88 (points)	2	B1 for 29×8 or 232 seen	

	(b)	(i)	Emirate (Tower) or 500 s or Jameson	1																																															
		(ii)	Clear statement of comparison made on basis of step or climbing rate for all runners (or other rational equivalent) with correct answers and conclusion (answering the question) clearly stated.	4	<p>2: M $\frac{1}{2}$ each correct rate* (step e.g. ($\frac{1776}{640}$ or better) or height or equivalent reciprocal only, round total up).</p> <p>_____ and _____</p> <p>1: At least two of the rates labelled or explained or correct units given.</p> <p>_____ and _____</p> <p>1: Clear and unambiguous selection from at least three rates (based on the candidates' <i>calculated</i> rates)</p> <p>_____ or _____</p> <p>Semi-qualitative argument based on given table, possibly naive as not based on rate calculation of some kind. e.g. Erikson climbed the most steps and greatest height.</p> <p>* see table.</p>	<p>Condone inverses of these quantities, but must be the correct comparison based on these for the final mark.</p> <p>Condone indication by tower name or by runner.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1485 517 2049 962"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Cho</th> <th>Erikson</th> <th>Gold</th> <th>Jameson</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>CN Tower</th> <th>Taipai 101</th> <th>Empire State</th> <th>Emirate Tower</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>steps</td> <td>1776</td> <td>2046</td> <td>1576</td> <td>1334</td> </tr> <tr> <td>height</td> <td>338</td> <td>448</td> <td>320</td> <td>265</td> </tr> <tr> <td>time</td> <td>640</td> <td>665</td> <td>760</td> <td>500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Step rate/ reciprocal</td> <td>2.78</td> <td>3.08</td> <td>2.07</td> <td>2.67</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Height rate / reciprocal</td> <td>0.35</td> <td>0.32</td> <td>0.48</td> <td>0.37</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Height rate / reciprocal</td> <td>0.53</td> <td>0.67</td> <td>0.42</td> <td>0.53</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Height rate / reciprocal</td> <td>1.88</td> <td>1.49</td> <td>2.38</td> <td>1.88</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>(quoted to 2 sf, but 1 dp acceptable)</p> <p>Full FT on candidate's figures.</p>		Cho	Erikson	Gold	Jameson		CN Tower	Taipai 101	Empire State	Emirate Tower	steps	1776	2046	1576	1334	height	338	448	320	265	time	640	665	760	500	Step rate/ reciprocal	2.78	3.08	2.07	2.67	Height rate / reciprocal	0.35	0.32	0.48	0.37	Height rate / reciprocal	0.53	0.67	0.42	0.53	Height rate / reciprocal	1.88	1.49	2.38	1.88
	Cho	Erikson	Gold	Jameson																																															
	CN Tower	Taipai 101	Empire State	Emirate Tower																																															
steps	1776	2046	1576	1334																																															
height	338	448	320	265																																															
time	640	665	760	500																																															
Step rate/ reciprocal	2.78	3.08	2.07	2.67																																															
Height rate / reciprocal	0.35	0.32	0.48	0.37																																															
Height rate / reciprocal	0.53	0.67	0.42	0.53																																															
Height rate / reciprocal	1.88	1.49	2.38	1.88																																															

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
1 Hills Road
Cambridge
CB1 2EU

OCR Customer Contact Centre

14 – 19 Qualifications (General)

Telephone: 01223 553998

Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations
is a Company Limited by Guarantee
Registered in England
Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU
Registered Company Number: 3484466
OCR is an exempt Charity



OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
Head office
Telephone: 01223 552552
Facsimile: 01223 552553

© OCR 2011