

A LEVEL

Examiners' report

RELIGIOUS STUDIES

H573

For first teaching in 2016

H573/01 Autumn 2020 series

Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.



Reports for the Autumn 2020 series will provide a broad commentary about candidate performance, with the aim for them to be useful future teaching tools. As an exception for this series they will not contain any questions from the question paper nor examples of candidate answers.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

A full copy of the question paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on **File > Export to** and select **Microsoft Word**

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as . . .** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

Paper 1 series overview

The Autumn series 2020 was an unusual series with a significantly lower number of entries than a traditional summer series and a non-standard cohort. Nevertheless, we saw a range of responses across all Levels of Response for both knowledge and understanding (AO1 – 40%) and analysis and evaluation (AO2 – 60%). There were some excellent responses to individual questions, but fewer managed to gain Level 6s consistently across all three of their chosen questions. Many had evidently learned a substantial amount of material from the specification but were less successful in evaluating and building an argument. This may be due to the disruption to learning in the classroom where discussion and essay skills would normally be honed and practised.

| <i>Candidates who did well on this paper generally did the following:</i> | <i>Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following:</i> |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • focused more on the argument, so essays were AO2 driven throughout • assessed scholarly views by weighing up how and why each contributed to their line of argument • focused on the precise question. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • listed different views with little justification • asserted a general criticism without explaining how or why it was relevant to this question • included more on the general topic rather than focusing on the specific aspect highlighted in the question. |

Themes in candidate responses

There were several higher level responses that engaged with the question being asked and tailored their argument accordingly. Knowledge was selective and used to support a line of reasoning, showing confidence to bypass excess listing of facts. For example, conversions were explored and discussed rather than describing everything that William James said on the topic of religious experience.

The most successful responses also reflected on the arguments or scholarly views selected which helped to signpost their evaluation. For example, when strengths or weaknesses of a view were explained, before moving on, the candidate discussed the value of those points, weighing up how the critique affected their line of argument.

Many responses understood the connection between teleology and purpose.

Some responses tried to include too much knowledge and were not selective enough in their choice of material. This left less time to fully develop analysis and evaluation. Often, such responses achieved a higher level for AO1 than for AO2.

Some responses appeared to lack understanding of specific terms used on the specification, such as hierarchy of Forms, Form of the Good or the falsification symposium.

Common misconceptions

A common misconception is that all questions have an implicit comparison. For example, if the question asks for discussion of the teleological argument, it is not necessary to compare with other arguments for the existence of God. There is more than enough in the specification to use material that supports and criticises teleological arguments (selected from: Aquinas' Fifth Way, Paley, Hume, evolution).

Another misconception is that asserting a strength or weakness of a view is the same as evaluating it. To access higher levels for AO2, assertions need to be developed, extended and justified with reasons.

Some responses incorrectly claimed that the Form of the Good was Plato's god.

Key teaching and learning points – comments on improving performance

Analysis and evaluation

Analysis and evaluation are essential to improve the quality of responses. While examiners only see a summative performance, the most successful seem to be comfortable with weighing up and justifying their own views which no doubt comes from both oral and written practice in the classroom.

A suggestion to encourage candidates to extend their reasoning and more fully develop an argument, is to keep asking the question 'why?'. For example, after making a point, before moving on to another, candidates could consider why is this a strength or a criticism (of the thinker or view named in the question); whether it is a successful strength or criticism, giving reasons and an example to support their view. It is important to also reflect on whether the analysis weakens or strengthens their own line of argument, again discussing reasons why or why not.

Focus on the question


In every series, focus on the question, or lack of, is one of the main observations from examiners. Where there is a specific thinker or technical term used in the question, the essay should focus on analysing this. So even where a synoptic or broader approach is adopted, this should also directly answer the question. No question will expect candidates to regurgitate everything they know on a section of the specification.


Performance can be improved by regular practice, for example by writing essays in 40 minutes, using previously unseen questions. Students could use the specification to devise their own essay question, or try using different command words, for example. Many textbooks and revision books include exam-style questions too. Another activity that promotes focus on the question is where students write an introduction or conclusion to an essay and the rest of the class guess the question.

Familiarity with technical terms and key thinkers on the specification

If candidates have studied GCSE RS, they will be familiar with some elements of the A Level course, for example, basic teleological or first cause arguments. While this is a useful basis, it would be worth re-visiting to deepen understanding. For example, Paley's teleological arguments for the existence of God: just as a watch is designed with a purpose (to tell the time) and infers a designer or watch maker, purpose and regularity observed in natural beings indicate design and a designer.

The H573 specification could be given to students to make a personal learning checklist. For example, students could highlight the key words, named scholars or theories and write their own glossary with brief definitions and revision card summaries. For each topic, check that students can accurately recall essential knowledge, can discuss strengths and weaknesses and have come to their own view on the issues raised.

| | | |
|---|------------|--|
|  | AfL | One method to help students focus on the question is to use BUG – box the command word, underline important words and glance through again to check if anything has been missed. |
|---|------------|--|

| | | |
|---|--------------------|--|
|  | OCR support | Command words and what is expected for each are explained in this document . |
|---|--------------------|--|

Guidance on using this paper as a mock

This paper covers a wide range of the specification so is a useful reminder to revise every topic, particularly topics that may have been taught early in Year 12. Each question has a focus within a broader topic so should help to practise selection skills. There is an argument identified in most questions which could help less confident candidates to evaluate by agreeing or disagreeing with the statement.

Some questions on this paper are not as straightforward as those that may have been used to build confidence in candidates in the early part of an A Level course, before or during lockdown, so it will be challenging.

Supporting you

Review of results

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our review of results services. For full information about the options available visit the [OCR website](#). If university places are at stake you may wish to consider priority service 2 reviews of marking which have an earlier deadline to ensure your reviews are processed in time for university applications.

Supporting you through 2020-2021

Our priority is supporting you and your students this autumn and to support you as you prepare for summer 2021 exams. We'll update our [website information](#) regularly with resources, guidance and key information.

Take a look at our support for:

- [Teachers](#)
- [Students](#)
- [Exams officers](#)
- [Assessment specialists](#)

Keep up-to-date

We are sending a weekly roundup to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, [sign up here](#).

OCR Professional Development

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. All our courses for the academic year 2020-2021 are being delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our [website](#) or visit [OCR professional development](#).

Signed up for Exambuilder?

ExamBuilder is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals and Functional Skills qualifications. See the full list of available qualifications in the [sign up form](#).

ExamBuilder is **free for all OCR centres** with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an [Interchange](#) username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.

If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.

Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our Customer Support Centre.

General qualifications

01223 553998

general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

Vocational qualifications

02476 851509

vocational.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

For more information visit

 ocr.org.uk/i-want-to/find-resources/

 ocr.org.uk

 [/ocrexams](https://www.facebook.com/ocrexams)

 [/ocrexams](https://twitter.com/ocrexams)

 [/company/ocr](https://www.linkedin.com/company/ocr)

 [/ocrexams](https://www.youtube.com/ocrexams)

We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.



I like this



I dislike this



OCR is part of Cambridge Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2020 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please [contact us](#).

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our [Expression of Interest form](#).

Please [get in touch](#) if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.