

AS LEVEL

Examiners' report

CHEMISTRY A

H032

For first teaching in 2015

H032/01 Autumn 2020 series

Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.



Reports for the Autumn 2020 series will provide a broad commentary about candidate performance, with the aim for them to be useful future teaching tools. As an exception for this series they will not contain any questions from the question paper nor examples of candidate answers.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

A full copy of the question paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on **File > Export to** and select **Microsoft Word**

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as . . .** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

Paper 1 series overview

H032/01 is one of the two examination components for the AS Level examination for GCE Chemistry A.

H032/01 is worth 70 marks, is split into two sections and assesses content from all teaching modules, 1 to 4. Candidates answer all questions.

- **Section A** comprises 20 multiple-choice questions that assess many different areas of the specification. This section of the paper is worth 20 marks.
- **Section B** includes short answer question styles (structured questions, problem solving, calculations, practical) and extended response questions. This section of the paper is worth 50 marks.

A small cohort of about 100 candidates, of a range of abilities, sat the examination. Although there were some high-scoring scripts from well-primed candidates, there was also a significant tail of candidates who scored low marks.

There was no evidence that any time constraints had led to a candidate underperforming.

| <i>Candidates who did well on this paper generally did the following:</i> | <i>Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following:</i> |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Displayed a thorough chemical knowledge and understanding – they had learnt the work. e.g. 21(a) Number of electrons in shells 22(b) Structure and bonding 24(b) Boltzmann distribution 25(b) Reaction mechanisms 25(c) Homologous series • Performed calculations competently, with clear working. e.g. 22(c) Ideal gas equation 23(a), 23(c) Titration based calculations 24(a) Enthalpy calculation based on Hess' Law • Applied knowledge and understanding to unfamiliar scenarios, making full use of provided information. e.g. 21(c)(ii) Unfamiliar mass spectrum peaks 25(c)(ii)–(iv) Alkynes | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrated gaps in their chemical knowledge and understanding, and were reduced to seemingly guessing answers for some questions. • Produced responses that lacked depth and were often peripheral to what had been asked. Sometimes, they provided an explanation for a different model entirely, e.g. 22(b) Structure and bonding. • Did not set out unstructured calculations clearly. • Quoted significant figures incorrectly. See 23(a) for further details. • Found it difficult to link chemical knowledge and understanding in a practical context, e.g. 23(d) Practical routes for preparing a compound. |

Section A overview

Section A comprises 20 multiple-choice questions that assess many different areas of the specification. This section of the paper is worth 20 marks.

Overall the multiple-choice questions catered for the full range of ability and allowed for good differentiation.

Questions 3, 4, 5, 6 and 13 generally scored well.

Questions 9 and 17 were often incorrectly answered.

There were some instances of numbers being entered rather than letters and it was occasionally hard to distinguish between a poorly written B and D. It is essential that the chosen letter is clear. If a candidate changes their mind, they should cross out the incorrect response and write the replacement letter beside the box, rather than trying to change the letter.

A number of candidates did not choose any option on a few questions. Clearly an informed guess is better than no response.

Question 9 was the most challenging question and differentiated well.

Comments on specific questions

Question 2

Candidates are expected to know the charges on silver and carbonate ions. However, a number of candidates responded with A: AgCO_3 , rather than C: Ag_2CO_3 .

Question 5

Most candidates chose the correct response of A: Al. The best approach seen on many scripts was to write out the electron configuration beside the options and to count off the paired electrons.

Question 6

Candidates performed well with this question. Most successful candidates wrote the oxidation numbers beneath the equation to work out that Cl is both oxidised and reduced. This is a good strategy.

Question 7

Candidates found this question difficult with less than half choosing B, FeO_4^{2-} , as the correct response. There was no obvious key distractor, with A, C and D all being chosen by candidates.

Question 8

Candidates found this question difficult, with the nitric acid N atoms being split between two products, $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ and NO_2 . B proved to be the biggest distractor from the correct response of C, probably the result of miscounting the N atoms.

Question 9

Few candidates selected the correct response of A. There was no clear distractor.

Question 10

The key strategy for success was to calculate the moles of each sample and the working was seen on the scripts of the highest-attaining candidates. D proved to be the main distractor from B, presumably because the 4 g sample of I_2 contains both the greatest mass and the highest molecular mass.

Question 11

The best candidates drew out the bonds on each side of the equation to help them select C, +464. The main distractor proved to be D, obtained from counting 2, rather than 4 O–H bonds. This error could have been prevented by drawing out the bonds.

Question 12

D was the correct answer, with C being the main distractor. This straightforward question was answered correctly by only about half the candidates.

Question 14

Although the two structures were the same molecule drawn in two different configurations, many candidates identified the structures as being structural isomers (C), rather than A.

Question 15

Less than half the candidates selected the correct response of C for this direct recall question. There was no key distractor, suggesting that many candidates may have guessed.

Question 17

Success with this question was dependent on knowledge of key reactions and their reagents. B and C proved to be the main distractors from A.

Question 19

Many candidates correctly chose B, with A being seen as the expected main distractor. Fewer than half the candidates scored this mark.

Question 20

From the working on the scripts, the best approach was to reduce the options down to A and C, the two with a molecular mass of 102. The best candidates then identified option C as the one with a CH_3CH_2 group for 29. Option A was the key distractor.

Section B overview

Section B includes short answer question styles (structured questions, problem solving, calculations, practical) and extended response questions. This section of the paper is worth 50 marks.

Comments on specific questions

Question 21

21(a)

Most candidates could recall the number of electrons in the first four shells as being 2, 8, 18 and 32. However, many made errors for the third and fourth shell. Common incorrect responses included 2,8,18,18 and 2,8,8,8, perhaps linking back to GCSE coverage. 2,6,10,14 was also seen, confusing shells with subshells.

21(b)

Almost all candidates were aware that different isotopes had different numbers of neutrons. For the similarities, 'the same number of protons' was usually identified, but the same number electrons was

omitted by many candidates. This suggested that candidates were concentrating on the nucleus and did not read the question closely enough which asked for 'atomic' structures.

21(c)(ii)

This was a high demand question and only the highest scoring answers identified that the peak at 72 was caused by a chlorine molecule containing the chlorine-35 and chlorine-37 isotopes shown in the supplied mass spectrum. Very few candidates went on to predict further peaks with m/z values of 70 (from $^{35}\text{Cl}^{35}\text{Cl}$) and 74 (from $^{37}\text{Cl}^{37}\text{Cl}$). There was little pattern in the incorrect responses, suggestion that many were guesses.

Question 22

22(a)(i)

Candidates answered this part well, showing good knowledge and understanding of electron configuration. When incorrect, it was usually errors with the number of electrons in the 3d and 4p subshells.

22a(ii)

Most candidates were able to construct a correct balanced equation for this unfamiliar equation. The commonest error was use of Br instead of Br_2 in the equation.

22(b)

Structure and bonding continues to be a difficult concept for many candidates. This part did discriminate extremely well, with high-attaining candidates identifying that the compound would have a giant ionic lattice with fixed ions in the solid state which become mobile in the liquid state to conduct electricity. It was common for 'ionic' or 'giant' to be omitted in the name of the lattice.

| | | |
|---|----------------------|---|
|  | Misconception | Many candidates stated that the lattice was 'simple molecular', perhaps diverted by the reference to 'bromine' in the stem of the question. Different conductivities were often explained in terms of electrons, a misconception that is still very prevalent. |
|  | OCR support | Our bonding delivery guide provides details of common misconceptions students hold relating to this topic, and also includes resources and guidance that can help overcome them: https://www.ocr.org.uk/Images/231738-bonding.pdf |

22(c)

Most candidates used the ideal gas equation, which was usually rearranged correctly, with substituted values for p , V , R and T being added. A common mistake was for the volume in cm^3 to be multiplied by 10^{-3} rather than 10^{-6} for the necessary conversion into m^3 . Low-attaining candidates often did not convert $100\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ into 373 K .

Unfortunately, candidates making an error in unit conversions then obtained an unrealistic molar mass for A and were then unable to derive a possible molecular formula.

Well-prepared candidates carried out the calculation well with clear working. It was very encouraging to see the number of candidates able to predict the molecular formula of A as BrF_5 from the calculated

molar mass of 174.6 g mol^{-1} . There were, however a significant number of incorrect responses, suggesting that some candidates found this type of question challenging.

Some candidates used the molar gas volume at room temperature and pressure of $24.0 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$. Two of the five marks were still available for this response.

Question 23

23(a)

This question required candidates to calculate the moles of $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$ in 100 cm^3 , to scale this value up to 1 dm^3 for the concentration of $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$ and finally to multiply this value by 2 to obtain the concentration of OH^- ions of $0.454 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$. This part discriminated extremely well. Lower-attaining candidates would often calculate an incorrect molar mass of $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$ and scale up by either 10 or 2. The commonest incorrect answer seen was 0.227, half of the correct answer by omitting the $\times 2$ stage.

Most candidates did supply their final answer to the required 3 significant figures. As with all calculations, candidates should round once, at the end of the calculation. Rounding of intermediate values can lead to significant rounding errors. Sometimes, intermediate values were rounded to 2 significant figures when the final value required 3. This use of maths will almost certainly lead to a rounding error in the final answer.

Candidates should show clear working so that credit can be given for such responses by applying error carried forward. Many candidates produced largely unreferenced numbers.

23(b)

Many candidates seemed to be unfamiliar with the term 'concordant titres'. Acceptable responses were 'within 0.1 cm^3 and within 0.05 cm^3 '. Common incorrect answers for this important term included 'the closest titres', 'similar titres', 'repeated titres' and 'within 0.5 cm^3 '.

23(c)

Many candidates were able to calculate the amount of HNO_3 in the titration as $4.28 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol}$. Most candidates were credited for the amount of $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$ as $2.14 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol}$, half the calculated amount of HNO_3 . Candidates then need to scale up this value by 1000/25 to obtain the concentration as $0.0856 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$. Unlike Q23(a), all intermediate calculations gave values to 3 significant figures.

Candidates found this stock titration calculation easier than the more unfamiliar 23(a), with many scoring all 3 marks. Discrimination was extremely good, but about a third of candidates did not receive any marks. Candidates should be encouraged to practise stock titration calculations as part of their preparation for the examinations.

Candidates should show clear working so that credit can be given for such responses by applying error carried forward. As with Q23(a), many candidates produced largely unreferenced numbers.

23(d)

Candidates often find questions linked to practical work challenging. The two reaction routes involving Group 2 reactions are drawn directly from the specification: the reaction of barium with water, and the reaction of barium with oxygen, followed by water. Most candidates found this difficult, and the one-step route using barium and water was seen far more often than the two-step oxygen then water approach. Only the highest-attaining candidates were able to suggest two routes, with scores of 3/4 or 4/4 marks being comparatively rare. As with 21(c)(ii) and 25c(iii), candidates had difficulty in interpreting provided information.

| | | |
|---|--------------------|--|
|  | OCR support | Our new practical question packs, split up by PAG, provide additional opportunities for students to practise questions relating to practical work. They are available on Interchange: https://interchange.ocr.org.uk/Downloads/H432_H433_Chemistry_PAG_Practice_Question_Sets.zip |
|---|--------------------|--|

Question 24

24(a)

Most candidates were able to make some progress with the enthalpy calculation. Most recognised that the provided $\Delta_c H$ values had to be multiplied by the balancing numbers in the equation.

Most candidates processed the data on either side of the equation correctly and subtracted the combined enthalpy change from one side of the equation from the other, although the subtraction was sometimes the wrong way round. This resulted in answers of +92, or -92 kJ mol⁻¹, with the exothermic value being correct.

An enthalpy change of -92 kJ mol⁻¹ was the commonest final answer, with comparatively few candidates recognising that the enthalpy change of formation of NH₃ is for the formation of 1 mol of NH₃ and not 2 mol as in the equation.

24(b)

This question was well-answered showing that most candidates were well-acquainted with the Boltzmann distribution. Some candidates used one diagram to show the effect of increasing the temperature and of using a catalyst. Other candidates showed two curves, one for temperature, the other for the presence of a catalyst. Either approach could produce a clear response.

Most candidates knew that the activation energy was lower with a catalyst than without.

A significant number of candidates limited their explanations to 'successful collisions' without referring to more molecules exceeding the lower activation energy in the presence of catalyst or at a higher temperature.

The best responses secured all five marks from a well-drawn and annotated graph supported by a brief explanation. Candidates should make full use of annotated diagrams to communicate their answers.

Question 25

25(a)

This part was generally well-answered with well-prepared candidates scoring all three marks. The most common errors resulted from the products of reaction with HBr. The same structure was often drawn, reversed in one of the boxes.

Candidates should take care to check that the atoms in a structure have the correct number of bonds. Some candidates added HBr across the C=C double bond but left the C=C bond intact in their final structures, showing carbon atoms with 5 bonds instead of 4.

25(b)

As with 25(a), this question rewarded the well-prepared candidate and discriminated well. Conversely, many mechanisms showed little resemblance to the accepted mechanism for electrophilic addition.

Mechanisms were often seen showing curly arrows going in the wrong direction and between the wrong bonds and atoms, with incorrect charges and dipoles, and partial charges used where full charges were required.

| | | |
|---|------------|---|
|  | AfL | <p>Writing mechanisms is an important skill in organic chemistry and candidates should learn and practice their writing.</p> <p>Our organic chemistry delivery guide contains links to some useful resources which can help students with their knowledge of mechanisms: https://www.ocr.org.uk/Images/231741-organic-chemistry.pdf</p> |
|---|------------|---|

25(c)(i)

Many candidates were aware that members of a homologous series have the same functional group and react in similar ways. A second mark was available for stating that the formula of successive members increases by CH_2 . It is important to stress 'successive' in communicating this information.

25(c)(ii)

This part required candidates to apply their understanding of a 'general formula' in a novel context. Many candidates analysed the provided formulae for the alkynes homologous series to derive the correct general formula of $\text{C}_n\text{H}_{2n-2}$. There was no real pattern in the incorrect responses which usually contained a mixture of numbers for the H atom, e.g. C_nH_{2n} , C_nH_{n+1} .

25(c)(iii)

Although attempted by most candidates, comparatively few responses could be credited. The key to success was again to use the information provided: the formation of a saturated compound. The commonest response seen showed addition of 1 Br_2 molecule to form the unsaturated $\text{CH}_3\text{CBr}=\text{CHBr}$ instead of the saturated $\text{CH}_3\text{CBr}_2-\text{CBr}_2$ by addition of 2 Br_2 . This question was one of the most difficult on the exam paper.

25(c)(iv)

Most candidates achieved 1 of the available 2 marks for drawing the structure of but-2-yne, $\text{CH}_3\text{C}\equiv\text{CCH}_3$. The structure of but-1-yne was then usually drawn in the other box despite it being provided already in the table at the start of part (c). A variety of creditworthy structures were seen, including $\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}-\text{CH}=\text{CH}_2$, cyclobutene and isomers of methylcyclopropane.

25(c)(v)

It was encouraging to see the many correct structures drawn from the unfamiliar 2,5-dimethylhept-3-yne. Most candidates positioned the $\text{C}\equiv\text{C}$ group and the two substituted CH_3 groups correctly. The commonest error was showing a main stem with 6, rather than 7 C atoms.

Supporting you

Review of results

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our review of results services. For full information about the options available visit the [OCR website](#). If university places are at stake you may wish to consider priority service 2 reviews of marking which have an earlier deadline to ensure your reviews are processed in time for university applications.

Supporting you through 2020-2021

Our priority is supporting you and your students this autumn and to support you as you prepare for summer 2021 exams. We'll update our [website information](#) regularly with resources, guidance and key information.

Take a look at our support for:

- [Teachers](#)
- [Students](#)
- [Exams officers](#)
- [Assessment specialists](#)

Keep up-to-date

We are sending a weekly roundup to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, [sign up here](#).

OCR Professional Development

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. All our courses for the academic year 2020-2021 are being delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our [website](#) or visit [OCR professional development](#).

Signed up for Exambuilder?

ExamBuilder is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals and Functional Skills qualifications. See the full list of available qualifications in the [sign up form](#).

ExamBuilder is **free for all OCR centres** with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an [Interchange](#) username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.

If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.

Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our Customer Support Centre.

General qualifications

01223 553998

general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

Vocational qualifications

02476 851509

vocational.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

For more information visit

 ocr.org.uk/i-want-to/find-resources/

 ocr.org.uk

 [/ocrexams](https://www.facebook.com/ocrexams)

 [/ocrexams](https://twitter.com/ocrexams)

 [/company/ocr](https://www.linkedin.com/company/ocr)

 [/ocrexams](https://www.youtube.com/ocrexams)

We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.



I like this



I dislike this



OCR is part of Cambridge Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2020 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please [contact us](#).

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our [Expression of Interest form](#).

Please [get in touch](#) if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.