

**A LEVEL**

Examiners' report

# RELIGIOUS STUDIES

---

**H573**

For first teaching in 2016

**H573/03 Autumn 2020 series**

## Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.



Reports for the Autumn 2020 series will provide a broad commentary about candidate performance, with the aim for them to be useful future teaching tools. As an exception for this series they will not contain any questions from the question paper nor examples of candidate answers.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

A full copy of the question paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

### Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on **File > Export to** and select **Microsoft Word**


(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as . . .** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

## Paper 3 series overview

The paper is an optional paper for GCE Religious Studies as candidates can study the course from the perspective of any one religion. Four essay titles are set, and candidates must answer three. Success in this paper comes from being able to answer all three essays equally well.

The full range of candidate responses was seen in this session. In general, candidates had successfully mastered key topic areas that were being tested and showed a good understanding of key scholars or scholarly thought as found on the specification and occasionally beyond. It was always possible to achieve full marks from using material solely from the specification as the quality of argument is about engagement with material and not the listing of different scholars' views.

	<p><b>AfL</b></p>	<p>As a very general guide, an essay answer that simply lists a range of scholars' views as its AO2 is unlikely to achieve beyond Level 4 for AO2.</p>
---	-------------------	--

All questions received a range of answers, although there were fewer responses seen for Question 3. A common issue was the over-reliance of some candidates on material from topics other than the one being studied – for example, in the question on election, over-reliance on material on exclusivism, inclusivism and pluralism; or, in the question on knowledge of God, over-reliance on material from the philosophy paper. Although this does gain credit, the pattern seemed to be that these candidates lost their focus on the question specifically set.


Another common concern for some candidates was about timing. Some candidates did not limit themselves to (just under) 40 minutes per essay and so the third essay that they attempted was either very short or even missing. Candidates should be reassured that the examiner is aware of the time constraints facing them.

<p><i>Candidates who did well on this paper generally did the following:</i></p>	<p><i>Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following:</i></p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• focused on the question set</li> <li>• selected material in the knowledge of the time constraints of an exam</li> <li>• wrote AO2-driven essays.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ran out of time on the third question attempted</li> <li>• lost focus on the question set</li> <li>• relied too much on strings of information (AO1).</li> </ul>

## Option overview

In some questions, candidates did not fully hone in on words within the questions. For example, in Question 1, the focus on election was sometimes not fully appreciated and other material on salvation or life after death or from the Augustine topic was used. In Question 2, answers sometimes simply used generic material on natural and revealed theology to try to answer the question, rather than exploring creation specifically. In Question 3, the focus on methods and aims of the Scriptural Reasoning movement was sometimes lost and candidates did not avail themselves of the opportunity to show understanding of both. Credit is always given when this happens (and the ability to draw material from across the specification is a positive trait) with candidates often able to access some of the higher levels but the loss of focus does mean that the precise question is not being fully answered.

Question 4 could have been answered in two different ways: one which focused on Freud and one which focused on whether society would be happier without Christianity. Both were acceptable approaches.

	<p><b>Misconception</b></p>	<p>Some candidates did not realise that the scriptural reasoning movement refers to a specific movement, which is studied as part of the specification and answered in general terms about the nature of Scripture. This led to some candidates receiving 0 marks in places.</p>
---	-----------------------------	--

## Key teaching and learning points – comments on improving performance

The range of responses does show the need for regular practice of 40-minute essays, done without knowing the question in advance. Where teaching time limits this, it is possible to write a focused paragraph in timed conditions to develop candidate confidence.

Teaching and learning that focuses on techniques to help candidates to focus on the question set would improve performance long-term.

## Guidance on using this paper as a mock

All OCR past papers are useful for use as mock examinations. In marking this particular paper, it is important to understand the range of approaches allowed for Question 4.

---

# Supporting you

---

## Review of results

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our review of results services. For full information about the options available visit the [OCR website](#). If university places are at stake you may wish to consider priority service 2 reviews of marking which have an earlier deadline to ensure your reviews are processed in time for university applications.

## Supporting you through 2020-2021

Our priority is supporting you and your students this autumn and to support you as you prepare for summer 2021 exams. We'll update our [website information](#) regularly with resources, guidance and key information.

## Take a look at our support for:

- [Teachers](#)
- [Students](#)
- [Exams officers](#)
- [Assessment specialists](#)

## Keep up-to-date

We are sending a weekly roundup to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, [sign up here](#).

## OCR Professional Development

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. All our courses for the academic year 2020-2021 are being delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our [website](#) or visit [OCR professional development](#).

## Signed up for Exambuilder?

**ExamBuilder** is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals and Functional Skills qualifications. See the full list of available qualifications in the [sign up form](#).

ExamBuilder is **free for all OCR centres** with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an [Interchange](#) username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.

If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.

## Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our Customer Support Centre.

General qualifications

**01223 553998**

**general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk**

Vocational qualifications

**02476 851509**

**vocational.qualifications@ocr.org.uk**

For more information visit

 [ocr.org.uk/i-want-to/find-resources/](https://ocr.org.uk/i-want-to/find-resources/)

 [ocr.org.uk](https://ocr.org.uk)

 [/ocrexams](https://www.facebook.com/ocrexams)

 [/ocrexams](https://twitter.com/ocrexams)

 [/company/ocr](https://www.linkedin.com/company/ocr)

 [/ocrexams](https://www.youtube.com/ocrexams)

## We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.



I like this



I dislike this



OCR is part of Cambridge Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2020 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please [contact us](#).

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our [Expression of Interest form](#).

Please [get in touch](#) if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.