

GCE

Ancient History

H407/23: Emperors and Empire

Advanced GCE

Mark Scheme for Autumn 2021

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

© OCR 2021

H407/23

Annotations

Annotation	Meaning
BP	Blank Page
λ	Omission
SEEN	Noted
A01	AO1
A 02	AO2
A03	AO3
A04	AO4
IR	Irrelevant
~	Correct point
KU	Knowledge and understanding
EVAL	Evaluation

Subject specific guidance

The Assessment Objectives targeted by each question and the maximum marks available for each Assessment Objective are given at the top of each levels mark scheme for each question.

The weightings of the assessment objectives remain consistent throughout the levels. For example, if the maximum marks are 5 AO1, 10 AO2 and 15 AO3, then the AO1/AO2/AO3 ratio will be 1/2/3 throughout the levels.

When marking, you must therefore give greater priority to the more heavily weighted Assessment Objective when determining in which level and where within a level to place an answer.

Section A: The Julio-Claudian Emperors, 31 BC–AD 68

Question 1* Assessment Objectives		How useful is the evidence for our understanding of attitudes tov	vards the Imperial Cult during this period? [30 marks]
		 AO3 = 15 marks = Use, analyse and evaluate ancient sources within their historical context to make judgements and reach conclusions about: historical events and historical periods studied how the portrayal of events by ancient writers/sources relates to the historical contexts in which they were written/produced. AO2 = 10 marks = Analyse and evaluate historical events and historical periods to arrive at substantiated judgements AO1 = 5 marks = Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the historical periods studied. Please note that while the descriptors for AO2 and AO3 are given separately in the levels, the analysis and evaluation of sources & historical events and historical periods in responses. 	
Addit guid		The 'Indicative content' is an example of historically valid content; any other h the levels of response.	nistorically valid content is acceptable and should be credited in line with
Level	Marks	Level descriptors	Indicative content
Level 5	25–30	 Response uses a very good range of fully appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are thoroughly analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, well-developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw fully substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has an excellent explanation that convincingly and very thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated, sustained, and well-developed judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates a very good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a sophisticated understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent and logically structured. The information presented is entirely relevant and substantiated. 	No set answer is expected. It is possible to reach the highest marks with conclusion(s) either agreeing, disagreeing, or anywhere between providing the response has addressed the issue of 'how useful'. Responses should be marked in-line with the level descriptors. Candidates should consider the range of information provided by literary and material evidence for the Imperial Cult. They should consider the value or usefulness of the evidence in a range of examples but also will be expected to compare the various genres of evidence in order to interpret, analyse and evaluate them. Candidates will be expected to cover the period but not every emperor or reign needs to be dealt with in detail for a full response to the question. They should consider the reasons for use or non-use of the Cult by emperors and others. They should consider the differences and similarities between reigns during the period and between the evidence both contemporary and non-contemporary. Responses are likely to include aspects of the Imperial cult, for example:

Level 4	19–24	 Response uses a good range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has a very good explanation that convincingly and thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated and developed judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates a good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a well-developed understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) 	 Deification of imperial family members; Specific actions, enactments and statements by emperors in relation to the Imperial Cult and their differing attitudes towards worship; The different ways in which the emperors and their families could be worshipped including indirect means e.g. Genius, numen Buildings, altars, reliefs, etc related to the Cult or worship of the imperial family; The various attitudes of citizens, non-citizens and provincials towards the Cult; The political aspects of the Imperial cult.
		There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and in the most part substantiated.	 Deification of Augustus Tac. Ann. 1.10.8 temple and cult; sestertius of Tiberius AD 34/5 statue of Divus Augustus; Claudius – aureus AD 54, Suet. Claudius 45; Tac Ann. 12.69; Livia - Suet Claudius 11; Nero's daughter, Poppaea; Seneca On consl.to Polybius Drusilla deified by Gaius;
Level 3	13–18	 Response uses a range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw supported, plausible conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has a good explanation that convincingly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach supported judgements, though these are not consistently developed. (AO2) The response demonstrates a reasonable range of accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and a reasonable understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question through most of the answer. (AO1) There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information 	 Tac. Ann. 1.10.6 Augustus; Claudius letter to Alexandrians; Suet. <i>Tib.</i> 26 vetoes temples of Gytheion; Nero vetoes temple Tac. Ann. 15.74; Gaius- divine honours Jos. JA 19.4,11; Dio 59. 28.1 precinct at Miletus; Suet. Gaius 22, Dio 59.28.2-6 temple at Rome to numen, priests, sacrifices; 59.28.5 alters temple to Dioscuri (reversed by Claudius (Dio 60.6.8); Palatine connected to Capitol (Suet. Gaius 22); Tac. Ann. 15.74 Cerialis proposed temple to Nero; Genius of Augustus etc: inscription ILS 112 Altar at Narbonne AD 12-13 to numen of A.; Augustan Lares -inscription ILS 3612 ?7 BC; Ovid <i>Fasti</i> 5.140 Lares Compitales and Genius of A.; divi filius on coins e.g. aureus 28 BC, aureus 15-12 BC triumphal branches; denarius 27 BC
		presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.	Egypt captured; AS AD 62 Nero as Apollo

Mark Scheme

H407/23

October 2021

		• Response uses some appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw some supported conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)	• Strabo <i>Geog.</i> 4.3.2 Altar/Temple to Augustus at Lyon, 60 tribes involved; Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 4.37 Temple at Pergamum to A. and Rome; temple to J. Caesar; Suet. <i>Tib.</i> 47 temple of Augustus in Rome cf Velleius 2.130; Gytheion inscription AD 15; ILS 6080 Inscription to <i>Genius</i> of Tiberius in Rome AD 27;
Level 2	7–12	 The response demonstrates a limited range of accurate knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though this may lack detail. The question is generally addressed, but the 	• Virgil <i>Aeneid</i> 8. Augustus among gods; Horace <i>Odes</i> 4.15 association with Ve nus; Ovid <i>Fasti</i> 2.119ff associated with Jupiter; denarius 16 BC vows for Augustus' safety; Gaius: Dio 59.26.5-6 pretended he was
			various gods cf 28.5; Suet. <i>Gaius</i> 22.1 treated as a god; pretended to be Jupiter Latiaris; Dio 59.26.5 Gaius called demi-god; 26.9 mocked by a Gaul; Suet. Gaius 22 citizens become priests of Gaius;
		response loses focus in places. (AO1) The information has some relevance, but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence, the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.	• Suet. <i>Aug.</i> 31 traditional Roman religion; 93 respect for ancient foreign rites; denarius 16 BC 4 priesthoods of Augustus; Suet <i>Claudius</i> 45 Nero neglects and cancels deification of Claudius.
Level 1	1–6	 Response uses a limited selection of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated in a basic way, and this is linked to basic, generalised judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced. There are some basic conclusions about the historical issue in the question, though these may only be implicitly linked with the analysis and evaluation of the sources. (AO3) The response has some explanation which analyses and appraises historical events and periods in places, and this is linked appropriately to some of the judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements is not made explicit. (AO2) 	 Although not expected, candidates may include non-prescribed material which should be credited. For example: Augustus: AD 9-14 Lyon altar; Dio 51.20 Pergamum; Suet. Aug. 52 opposed temples unless Rome included, and any temple in Rome; Horace Odes 4.5. 32-34 A. worshipped as a god cf 3.5; Tiberius: Tac. Ann. 4.15 temple in Asia to Tiberius, Livia and the senate. Tac. Ann. 4.37-38 Spain asks for temple to Tiberius and Livia- 'I am human'. Gaius: Inscription from Didyma, temple at Miletus (Smallwood 127) cf Suet. Gaius 21; Claudius: Temple to Claudius at Colchester (Tac.Ann. 14.32); dupondius AD 41-50 Livia deified; Nero: daughter deified Tac. Ann. 16.5, Poppaea 16.20; Sebasteion at Aphrodisias.
		• The response demonstrates some limited knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though lacking detail and in places inaccurate. The question is only partially addressed. (AO1)	 Analysis of the sources might focus on: the genres, agendas and contexts of the evidence and how these affect the value of the information for the Imperial Cult, its
		Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.	importance and attitudes towards it.

Mark Scheme

October 2021

H407/23

H407/23		Mark Scheme	October 2021
0	No response or no response worthy of credit	•	The nature of the sources: history, biography, epigraphic, numismatic etc. The limitation of the evidence for attitudes and the actions of the emperors and others. The differences and similarities between sources contemporary and non-contemporary, and different genres.

Quest	tion 2*	⁶ Claudius was a more effective and successful emperor than Tibe	erius.' To what extent is this a fair assessment? [30 marks]
Assessment Objectives Additional		 AO3 = 15 marks = Use, analyse and evaluate ancient sources within their historical context to make judgements and reach conclusions about: historical events and historical periods studied how the portrayal of events by ancient writers/sources relates to the historical contexts in which they were written/produced. AO2 = 10 marks = Analyse and evaluate historical events and historical periods to arrive at substantiated judgements. AO1 = 5 marks = Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the historical periods studied. Please note that while the descriptors for AO2 and AO3 are given separately in the levels, the analysis and evaluation of sources & historical events and historical periods may be combined in responses. The 'Indicative content' is an example of historically valid content; any other historically valid content is acceptable and should be credited in line with the 	
guidance levels of response.			
Level	Marks	Level descriptors	Indicative content
Level 5	25–30	 Response uses a very good range of fully appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are thoroughly analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, well-developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw fully substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has an excellent explanation that convincingly and very thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated, sustained, and well-developed judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates a very good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a sophisticated understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent and logically structured. The information presented is entirely relevant and substantiated. 	No set answer is expected. It is possible to reach the highest marks with conclusion(s) either agreeing, disagreeing, or anywhere between providing the response has addressed the issues of effectiveness and success in comparing the two emperors and the fairness of the assessment. Responses should be marked in-line with the level descriptors. Candidates should consider the range of information provided by literary and material evidence for the reigns of Tiberius and Claudius. They should detail the actions, policies and aims of Tiberius and Claudius; they should consider the consequences of these for the extent of success and effectiveness for each emperor; they should use detailed information to compare their reigns in terms of the question. Candidates will be expected to cover the reigns but not every act, policy or aim needs to be dealt with in detail for a full response to the question. They may consider the differences and similarities between reigns and consider the reasons and contexts for these. They should consider the value or usefulness of the evidence, both contemporary
Level 4	19–24	• Response uses a good range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically	consider the value or usefulness of the evidence, both contemporary and non-contemporary, in a range of examples but also will be

H407/23	Mark Scheme	October 2021
Level 3 13–1	 reasoned, developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has a very good explanation that convincingly and thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated and developed judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates a good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a well-developed understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and in the most part substantiated. Response uses a range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw supported, plausible conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has a good explanation that convincingly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach supported judgements, though these are not consistently developed. (AO2) The response demonstrates a reasonable range of accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and a reasonable understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question through most of the answer. (AO1) 	 expected to compare the various genres of evidence in order to interpret, analyse and evaluate them. There should be a discussion of the fairness of the assessment and some conclusion to this issue. Responses are likely to include some of the following aspects of the reigns of Tiberius and Claudius: their relations with the Senate and individual senators, the equestrians and the ordinary citizens of Rome and the Empire; the extent of their success in gaining good relations; extent of success in the administration of the city and its functioning; the provinces and security; attitudes towards expansion and resistance; income and expenditure; their relations with the army, including the Praetorian Guard; their relations to opposition in Rome and elsewhere; the presentation of themselves and the attitudes of the citizens. Supporting source details may include: Acts etc: Tiberius e.g. Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 1.6 Postumus; mutinies Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 1.46-7; Velleius 2.125; 2.126/129 achievements; cf Suet <i>Tib.</i> 32.2; Suet <i>Tib.</i> 48.2 generosity cf Velleius 2.130; Dio 58.8 Sejanus; retirement Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 4.41; greed Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 6.19 deaths - terror; Claudius e.g. Dio 60 3.1-7 accession cf Jos. <i>JA</i> 19.158ff, Suet. <i>Cl.</i> 11; Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 11.24 Gauls; Fucine lake Pliny <i>NH</i> 36.122-3 cf Suet <i>Cl.</i> 20 and Ostia (ILS 207, procurator inscrp.); Pomerium: ILS 213.
Level 2 7–12	 Response uses some appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw some supported conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has an explanation that analyses and appraises historical events and periods, and this is linked appropriately to judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements may not always be made fully explicit. (AO2) 	 Administration: Tiberius: Velleius 2.130 buildings; Tac Ann Praetorian camp; Suet <i>Tib.</i> 47 buildings; shows; surplus in treasury- <i>Gaius</i> 37 2,700 m HS; Claudius: Dio 60.1ff gifts, confiscations, va regulations; corn supply Seneca. <i>Shortness of life</i> 18.5 cf Suet C dupondius Ceres; upkeep of city, Arch of Tiberius (11), Theat Pompey (21); 25 slave laws; Provinces: Tiberius: Sacrovir – Velleius 2.129; Tacfarinas Tac. 2,52, 3.20, 3.32, 3.73-4; Frisii Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 4.74; Gytheion inscr.; Sue

H407/23	Mark Scheme	October 2021
Level 1 1-4	 The response demonstrates a limited range of accurate knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though this may lack detail. The question is generally addressed, but the response loses focus in places. (AO1) The information has some relevance but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence, the relationship to the evidence may not be clear. Response uses a limited selection of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated in a basic way, and this is linked to basic, generalised judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced. There are some basic conclusions about the historical issue in the question, though these may only be implicitly linked with the analysis and evaluation of the sources. (AO3) The response has some explanation which analyses and appraises historical events and periods in places, and this is linked appropriately to some of the judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements is not made explicit. (AO2) The response demonstrates some limited knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though lacking detail and in places inaccurate. The question is only partially addressed. (AO1) 	 Relations: Tiberius: Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 1.11-12 accession cf Suet <i>Tib.</i> 23-4; Tac. <i>Annals</i> 1.7.6 Germanicus, cf 1.52 distrust; 7.7 pretence of hesitancy; Velleius 129 generous;.127 Sejanus praise– contrast Tac <i>Ann.</i> 4.2.3, Dio 58.4.1-4, 5 Tib. offshore monarch, 8 fall of Sejanus; Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 1.39 mistrust among soldiers; Senate: Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 3.65 men fit to be slaves; Suet <i>Tib.</i> 29 respect; 30 appearance of liberty; 47 helped financially; 48 mean; Claudius: Suet. <i>Cl.</i> 10 support of ordinary citizens; 12 rumour of death causes riot; 21 largesse, games, Secular Games; 12 respect for senate; praetorians – Aureus AD 41-2, Suet.<i>Cl.</i> 10; 25 equites; Opposition: Tiberius: trials- Seneca <i>On Benefits</i> 3.26.1 national madness; Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 1.72 maiestas; 3.50 Clutorius Priscus (executed); Suet <i>Tib.</i> 41 Capri. Claudius: Dio 60.15 plots: Vinicianus, Scribonianus cf Suet. <i>Cl.</i> 13; 60.16.1 deaths cf Suet. <i>Cl.</i> 29 35 senators, 300 equites; Imperial family: Tiberius: Velleius 2.130.4 Agrippina, Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 4.39-40 animosity; Claudius: Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 12.24-5 Nero and Britannicus cf 12.41; Agrippina's power; 12.66ff plots cf Jos. <i>JA</i> 20.151, Suet. <i>Cl.</i> 44; Messalina Suet. <i>Cl.</i> 36 Tiberius: Suet <i>Tib.</i> 26-7 rejects worship; modest, Gytheion; Claudius: Alexandrians letter; 25 Jews/Druids;
0	No response or no response worthy of credit	 Views of the emperors expressed in the sources: Tiberius: Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 1. 10.7 succession;11 obscure, ambiguous; 1.46 hypocritical over mutinies; 1.47/52 deceitful, hiding true feelings; 1.72 cruelty, arrogance; 4.1 T. let violence loose (Sejanus); 3.65 Tiberius' freedom's enemy'; 6.19 frenzied with bloodshed; Velleius 2.99 our greatest general; 123.1 A. leaving state in safe hands. Suetonius: <i>Tib.</i> 41 let affairs slide; 48 mean; 61 cruel; 63 hated; state of terror; 75 joy on his death; Claudius: Dlo 60.1.1 acted in proper manner; 60.6.1 exemplary actions; 60.15 no longer confident hopes in C.; 60.14 controlled by wives and freedmen cf Suet. <i>Cl.</i> 25/29; 60.18.2-3 Claudius unaware of others crimes; terrified by plots Suet <i>Cl.</i> 36;

H407/23	Mark Scheme	October 2021
	 material which should be a Tac Ann. 2.47f earthquakes Tib.'s good administration; speculated; Gaius succession Analysis of the sources mighter the genres, agendas and affect the value of the in them; The nature of the sources The limitation of the evic their actions. 	in Asia; 4.64 Caelian fire; 6.45 Aventine fire; 4.6 Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 4.57 retirement to Capri- reasons on Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 4.46, 48; Suet <i>Tib.</i> 76 Int focus on: d contexts of the evidence and how these formation on both emperors and views of es: history, biography, epigraphic, numismatic; lence for attitudes towards the emperors and nilarities between sources, contemporary and

Ques	How convincing do you find Wallace-Hadrill's interpretation f Question 3		hat Augustus gave Rome 'a new version of an old constitution'? [20 marks]	
	sment ctives	 AO4 = 15 marks = Analyse and evaluate, in context, modern historians' interpretations of the historical events and topics studied. AO1 = 5 marks = Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the historical periods studied. 		
Additional guidance		The 'Indicative content' is an example of historically valid content; any other historically valid content is acceptable and should be credited in line with the levels of response. Please note that interpretations can be evaluated in the context of the wider historical debate connected with the issue or of the historical context about which the historian was writing. There is no expectation that the interpretation will be evaluated in the context of the methods or approach used by the historian, or how the interpretation may have been affected by the time in which they were writing, though credit can be given for this approach to evaluation if done in a way which is relevant to the question. A learner's knowledge and understanding of the historical period, including the ancient sources may be credited, but only where it is presented in a way which is relevant and intrinsically linked to the analysis/evaluation/use of the interpretation, it should not be credited in isolation.		
Level	Marks	Level descriptors	Indicative content	
Level 5	17–20	 Response has a very through and sustained analysis of the interpretation, in context, to produce a convincing and fully substantiated evaluation in relation to the question. (AO4) The response demonstrates a very good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a sophisticated understanding of historical features and characteristics that are fully relevant to the question. (AO1) 	No set answer is expected. It is possible to reach the highest marks with a conclusion either agreeing or disagreeing with the modern historians' interpretation, or anywhere between providing the response has addressed the issue of 'how convincing'. Responses should be marked in-line with the level descriptors. Answers should evaluate both the interpretation locating it within the wider historical debate about the issue and using their own knowledge of the ancient sources and events and periods to reach a judgement about how convincing they find the argument. In locating the interpretation within the wider historical debate, candidates should	
Level 4	13–16	 Response has a through and sustained analysis of the interpretation, in context, to produce a convincing and well supported evaluation in relation to the question. (AO4) The response demonstrates a good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a well-developed understanding of historical features and characteristics that are fully relevant to the question. (AO1) 	 discuss the specific aspects of the restoration of the Republic in this passage; consider the specific actions of Augustus after 31 BC regarding the Roman constitution; assess whether there was change and/or continuity; discuss the significance of Augustus' actions for the functioning of the Republic; consider how far Augustus created a 'new version' or a new constitution. 	

H407/23		Mark So	cheme October 2021
		 Response has a good analysis of the interpretation, in context 	
Level 3	9–12	 produce a supported evaluation in relation to the question. (AC The response demonstrates a reasonable range of accurate a sometimes detailed knowledge and a reasonable understandir historical features and characteristics that are relevant to the question. (AO1) 	 4.1, revolution complete, 10 assessment; Suet Aug. 28 twice did not restore Republic, 'best possible constitution'; Dio 53.16 complete control, 17 monarchy, Augustus' appearance of republicanism 53.12-13; powers and roles of Augustus: the settlements of 27 BC, 23 BC and 19 BC; the nature of his imperium: Edicts of Cyrene (Lactor 17 M20); tribunicia potestas Tac. Ann. 3.56; the provincial commands: Egypt Tac. Ann. 2.59, Denarius Aegypta Capta; Strabo 17.3.25; censor RG
Level 2	5–8	 Response has some analysis of the interpretation, in context, to produce a partially supported evaluation in relation to the quest (AO4) The response demonstrates a limited range of accurate knowled to the product of the	 tion. Murena and Caepio creation of dynasty Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 1.3; succession etc: Velleius 2.90, 2.99, 2.123.2; Aureus 2 BC -AD 11 Gaius/Lucius;
		and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though this may lack detail. (AO1)	 convincing, drawing on the following information / ancient sources: views taken in sources: Velleius 2.89; <i>RG</i> 34, 1.1,5.1, 6.1 declines unconstitutional roles, dictatorship <i>RG</i> 5; Aureus 28 BC and 12 BC; Tac. <i>Ann.</i> 1.9 'first citizen';
Level 1	1–4	 Response has a basic analysis of the interpretation, with parts the answer just describing the interpretation. Response productivery basic evaluation in relation to the question. (AO4) The response demonstrates some limited knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristic though lacking detail and in places inaccurate. (AO1) 	 the <i>auctoritas</i>, patronage – a traditional feature of Roman political life; <i>RG</i> 34, 15-16; the records of independent actions, legislation etc, functioning of traditional republican aspects Tac <i>Ann</i>. 3.7, 9; powers granted by the senate <i>RG</i> 6; attitude to tradition: <i>Res Gestae</i> 7 priesthoods (denarius 16 BC), 6, 8 respect for tradition; Pontifex Maximus Suet <i>Aug</i>. 31; buildings
	0	No response or no response worthy of credit	

Section B: Ruling Roman Britain, AD 43-c. 128

Ques	tion 4	How useful is this document for our understanding of life on the frontier	in Britain? [12 marks]	
Assessment Objectives Additional guidance		 AO1 = 6 marks = Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the historical periods studied. AO3 = 6 marks = Use, analyse and evaluate ancient sources within their historical context to make judgements and reach conclusions about how the portrayal of events by ancient writers/sources relates to the historical contexts in which they were written/produced. 		
		The 'Indicative content' is an example of historically valid content; any other historically valid content is acceptable and should be credited in lir with the levels of response.		
Level	Marks	Level descriptors	Indicative content	
Level 6	11–12	 The response demonstrates an excellent range of accurate and very detailed knowledge and a very sophisticated depth of understanding of historical features and characteristics that are fully relevant to the question. (AO1) Response uses a very good range of fully appropriate examples from the set of ancient sources. The set of sources is thoroughly analysed and evaluated to reach substantiated, well-developed judgements about how the way the context in which the sources were produced impacts on them and their usefulness for the issue in the question. (AO3) 	Candidates may discuss the following information in relation to contents of the source:	
Level 5	9–10	 The response demonstrates a very good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a sophisticated understanding of historical features and characteristics that are fully relevant to the question. (AO1) Response uses a good range of fully appropriate examples from the set of ancient sources. The set of sources is thoroughly analysed and evaluated to reach developed judgements about how the way the context in which the sources were produced impacts on them and their usefulness for the issue in the question. (AO3) 		
Level 4	7–8	 The response demonstrates a good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a well-developed understanding of historical features and characteristics that are fully relevant to the question. (AO1) Response uses a good range of appropriate examples from the set of ancient sources. The set of sources is analysed and evaluated to reach developed judgements about how the way the context in which the sources were produced impacts on them and their usefulness for the issue in the question. (AO3) 	 More troops are away from Vindolanda than are present 	

H407/23			Mark Scheme	October 2021
		•	The response demonstrates a reasonable range of accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and a reasonable understanding of historical features and characteristics that are relevant to the question. (AO1)	 Are the numbers normal for a fort such as this? Low number of centurions How would Vindolanda change once Hadrian's Wall was built?
Level 3	5–6	•	Response uses a reasonable range of appropriate examples from the set of ancient sources. The set of sources is analysed and evaluated to make some basic judgements about how the way the context in which the sources were produced impacts on them and their usefulness for the issue in the question. (AO3)	The usefulness of this passage in comparison/contrast to other sources which make reference to Vindolanda e.g.: • Development of Stanegate - originally built of wood,
Level 2	3–4	•	The response demonstrates a limited range of accurate knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though this may lack detail. (AO1) Response uses a few appropriate examples from the set of ancient sources. The set of sources is analysed and evaluated in a basic way to make some basic judgements about how the way the context in which the sources were produced impacts on them and their usefulness for the issue in the question. (AO3)	 but more permanent structures stone; Use of buildings: e.g. Principia administrative and religious focus, granary and hospital; Other Vindolanda tablets: commander's wife felt it safe enough to travel and have parties Tombtstone of Titus Pontius Sabinus, suggests local armed resistence in the north leading to the creation of Hadrian's Wall Doesn't show town around the fort What did the soldiers do? – Police force? Keep the peace? – Did their job change overtime?
Level 1	1–2	•	The response demonstrates some limited knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though lacking detail and in places inaccurate. (AO1) Response uses a few appropriate examples from the set of ancient sources. The set of sources is analysed and evaluated in a basic way but judgements about how the context in which the sources were produced impacts on them and their usefulness for the issue in the question are either not present or are not linked to analysis and are merely assertions. (AO3)	
	0	No	o response or no response worthy of credit	

Quest	tion 5*	'The Romans had more difficulty in conquering Wales than anywhe assessment of the Roman conquest of Britain?	re else in Britain.' How far do you agree with this [36 marks]	
	sment ctives	 AO3 = 18 marks = Use, analyse and evaluate ancient sources within their histor inistorical events and historical periods studied how the portrayal of events by ancient writers/sources written/produced. AO2 = 12 marks = Analyse and evaluate historical events and historical periods AO1 = 6 marks = Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key feature Please note that while the descriptors for AO2 and AO3 are given separate historical events and historical periods may be combined in responses. 	s relates to the historical contexts in which they were to arrive at substantiated judgements s and characteristics of the historical periods studied.	
Addit guid		The 'Indicative content' is an example of historically valid content; any other historically valid content is acceptable and should be credited in line with the levels of response.		
Level	Marks	Level descriptors	Indicative content	
Level 6	31–36	 Response uses an excellent range of fully appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are very thoroughly analysed and evaluated, to reach very logically reasoned and well-developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw fully substantiated, very convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has an excellent explanation that convincingly and very thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated, sustained, and well-developed judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates an excellent range of accurate and very detailed knowledge and a very sophisticated depth of understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent and logically structured. The information presented is entirely relevant and substantiated. 	No set answer is expected. It is possible to reach the highest marks with conclusion(s) either agreeing, disagreeing, or anywhere between providing the response has addressed the issue of 'how far'. Responses should be marked in-line with the level descriptors. Candidates should look at the military issues the Roman army had in dealing with the different areas of Britain [south, south- west, Brigantia, Iceni, Wales, Scotland] and weigh up whether Wales was more problematic to conquer than anywhere else. Candidates might consider the role client kings had in creating a smoother transition of Roman control. Candidates might also discuss the importance given by the sources to Caratacus, Boudica, and Cartimandua [as well as Calgacus later on]. Answers are likely to include information on some of the following:	
Level 5	25–30	• Response uses a very good range of fully appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are thoroughly analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, well-developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw fully substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)	 following: The policies of individual governors, and the instruction given by Rome The role of individual Britain leaders to cause issues for Romanisation Links between south of England in pre-conquest period 	

1407/23	Mark Scheme	October 20
_evel 4 19–2	 The response has a very good explanation that convincingly and thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated, sustained and developed and judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates a very good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a sophisticated understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information is relevant and in the most part substantiated. Response uses a good range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has a good range of appropriate or reach substantiated and developed judgements. (AO2) The response has a good explanation that convincingly and fully analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated and developed judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates a good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a well-developed understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) There is a line of reasoning with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. 	 Terrain and guerrilla tactics of welsh tribes Client kings [Catimandua / Prasutagus] as buffer areas to
Level 3 13–1	 Response uses a range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw supported, plausible conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has an explanation that convincingly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach supported judgements, though these are not consistently developed. (AO2) The response demonstrates a reasonable range of accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and a reasonable understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question through most of the answer. (AO1) The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence. 	Caratacus as 'worthy native' Context of Boudica's Revolt in Annals comparing Boudica with Nero Tacitus' portrayal of Agricola as being more worthy than Caesar, Vespasian etc

H407/23		Mark Scheme
	7–12	• Response uses some appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw some supported conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)
Level 2		• The response has an explanation that analyses and appraises historical events and periods, and this is linked appropriately to judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements may not always be made fully explicit. (AO2)
		• The response demonstrates a limited range of accurate knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though this may lack detail. The question is generally addressed, but the response loses focus in places. (AO1)
		The information has some relevance but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.
		• Response uses a limited selection of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated in a basic way, and this is linked to some basic, generalised judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced. There are some basic conclusions about the historical issue in the question, though these may only be implicitly linked with the analysis and evaluation of the sources. (AO3)
Level 1	1–6	• The response has some explanation which analyses and appraises historical events and periods in places, and this is linked appropriately to some of the judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements is not made explicit. (AO2)
		• The response demonstrates some limited knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though lacking detail and in places inaccurate. The question is only partially addressed. (AO1)
		Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.
	0	No response or no response worthy of credit

Quest	tion 6*	'Claudius' invasion of Britain had no benefits for the Romans whats view?	soever.' To what extent do the sources agree with this [36 marks]
	sment ctives	 AO3 = 18 marks = Use, analyse and evaluate ancient sources within their histor historical events and historical periods studied how the portrayal of events by ancient writers/sources written/produced. AO2 = 12 marks = Analyse and evaluate historical events and historical periods AO1 = 6 marks = Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key feature Please note that while the descriptors for AO2 and AO3 are given separate historical events and historical periods may be combined in responses. 	s relates to the historical contexts in which they were to arrive at substantiated judgements and characteristics of the historical periods studied.
	tional ance	The 'Indicative content' is an example of historically valid content; any other historically valid content is acceptable and should be credited in line with the levels of response.	
Level	Marks	Level descriptors	Indicative content
Level 6	31–36	 Response uses an excellent range of fully appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are very thoroughly analysed and evaluated, to reach very logically reasoned and well-developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw fully substantiated, very convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has an excellent explanation that convincingly and very thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated, sustained, and well-developed judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates an excellent range of accurate and very detailed knowledge and a very sophisticated depth of understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent and logically structured. The information presented is entirely relevant and substantiated. 	No set answer is expected. It is possible to reach the highest marks with conclusion(s) either agreeing, disagreeing, or anywhere between providing the response has addressed the issue of extent. Responses should be marked in-line with the level descriptors. Candidates should look at the political and personal benefits to Claudius which likely effected his decision to invade Britain although candidates will likely look at the economic benefits there should be framed in a political context. There is a very narrow time frame for this question, so candidates should cover both areas with good reference to the sources. Answers are likely to include information on: • personal glory for a weak emperor c.f. Aug/Tib/Gaius relationship with Britain • the invasion of AD 43 and continuing conquest
Level 5	25–30	• Response uses a very good range of fully appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are thoroughly analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, well-developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw fully substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)	 control of the army, prevention of mutinies assistance for loyal allies (Adminius, Verica) punishment of rebellious Britons

		 The response has a very good explanation that convincingly and thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated, sustained and developed and judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates a very good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a sophisticated understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information is relevant and in the most part substantiated. 	 Dio 60. 19-22 the invasion and benefits Evidence of Claudius' need for imperium and image: Arch of Claudius, Aureus of Claudius etc, Suet.Claudius 17.1-3, 21.6 Coinage showing British links with Rome: Verica Archaeology showing Romanisation in the SW Strabo, <i>Geography</i> 4.5.2 Some relevance on economic worth in Tacitus, Agricola 12, Mendip pig, Mela 3.51
Level 4	19–24	 Response uses a good range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has a good explanation that convincingly and fully analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated and developed judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates a good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a well-developed understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) There is a line of reasoning with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. 	 Tacitus, Annals 12.23, mention Claudius re: pomerium Issues outweighing benefits: Suet. Nero 18, 39.1; Tac. Annals 12.31 (Iceni), 12.32 (Brigantes) 12.32-33ff (Silures, Ordovices, Caratacus), 12.39 (Silures), 14.31 FF (Boudiccaa) and Histories 3.45 (Brigantes); Tac. <i>Agricola</i> (Scotland - Calgacus) Although not expected, candidates may include non- prescribed material which should be credited. e.g.: Suet; Cassius Dio: Attitudes to Britain by Aug, Tib, Gaius, Suet. <i>Gaius</i> 44.2 Analysis of the sources might focus on: Roman archaeological and numismatic created by the
Level 3	13–18	 Response uses a range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw supported, plausible conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has an explanation that convincingly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach supported judgements, though these are not consistently developed. (AO2) The response demonstrates a reasonable range of accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and a reasonable understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question through most of the answer. (AO1) 	 Reasons why previous emperors did not invade Strabo's views on worthlessness of Britain, following Augustus' official line How successful Claudius <i>actually</i> was

		The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence.
Level 2	7–12	• Response uses some appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw some supported conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)
		• The response has an explanation that analyses and appraises historical events and periods, and this is linked appropriately to judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements may not always be made fully explicit. (AO2)
		• The response demonstrates a limited range of accurate knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though this may lack detail. The question is generally addressed, but the response loses focus in places. (AO1)
		The information has some relevance but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.
Level 1	1–6	• Response uses a limited selection of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated in a basic way, and this is linked to some basic, generalised judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced. There are some basic conclusions about the historical issue in the question, though these may only be implicitly linked with the analysis and evaluation of the sources. (AO3)
		• The response has some explanation which analyses and appraises historical events and periods in places, and this is linked appropriately to some of the judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements is not made explicit. (AO2)
		• The response demonstrates some limited knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though lacking detail and in places inaccurate. The question is only partially addressed. (AO1)
		Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.
	0	No response or no response worthy of credit

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) The Triangle Building Shaftesbury Road Cambridge CB2 8EA

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Education and Learning Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627 Email: <u>general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk</u>

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

