

CAMBRIDGE NATIONALS

Examiners' report

ENGINEERING MANUFACTURE

J832, J842

R109 January 2022 series

Contents

ntroduction
R109 series overview
Question 1 (a) (i)
Question 1 (a) (ii)
Question 1 (b) (i)
Question 1 (b) (ii)
Question 1 (b) (iii)
Question 1 (b) (iv)
Question 1 (c) (i)
Question 1 (c) (ii)
Question 2 (a) (i)
Question 2 (a) (ii)
Question 2 (a) (iii)10
Question 2 (b)10
Question 2 (c)12
Question 3 (a)12
Question 3 (b)12
Question 3 (c)
Question 4 (a)14
Question 4 (b)15
Question 4 (c)15
Question 4 (d) (i)
Question 4 (d) (ii)
Question 5 (a) (i)
Question 5 (a) (ii)
Question 5 (b)
Question 6 (a) (i)
Question 6 (a) (ii)
Question 6 (b)20
Copyright information23

Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. A selection of candidate answers are also provided. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report.

A full copy of the question paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Export to and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as . . .** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

R109 series overview

R109 is an untiered, externally examined, mandatory component of J832 and J842, these being the Award and Certificate respectively, in Engineering Manufacture. This component assesses candidates' knowledge and understanding of engineering materials and processes. The paper requires short and extended written answers and includes synoptic assessment and assessment of the quality of written communication.

Candidates' preparedness for this session seemed good and most candidates attempted all questions. The number of instances of no response was decreased from the last session this unit was sat, January 2021, and appeared to be commensurate with pre-pandemic sessions. Candidates used their time appropriately and virtually all candidates completed the paper within the allocated time. Very few candidates used extra pages/continuation sheets but where they were used, these extra pages were in nearly all cases clearly and accurately labelled, indicating the question that the additional response referred to.

A number of centres enabled candidates to make use of the Equality Act by using exam access arrangements, most obviously through use of word-processed answer sheets. Although many candidates answered on the question paper where it was most suitable to do so and used the wordprocessed sheets to support their written responses, there were still a large proportion of candidates who answered every question on the word processor even when it when it may have been more appropriate to answer on the paper. Notable examples of this included when candidates reproduced a table from the question paper for their answer, when simply ticking the relevant boxes on the paper would have been much quicker, simpler, and less likely to transpose an error. For future sessions, it would benefit candidates with exam access arrangements if they were well-practised in which types of questions are best answered on the paper and which to use word processing for. This will save these candidates time and also reduce the potential for errors when working across two answer sheets. Some candidates organised their word-processed responses well and produced answer sheets that were very clear and easy to follow which question their response referred to. This was however not always the case; centres could help candidates who answer using a word processor by training them to make good use of line spacing and white space. This would help candidates to organise their responses so that it is clearer and easier for the candidate to produce and check their responses.

Because the higher tariff (4 mark plus, written response) questions have the biggest influence on improving candidate performance in this component, the exemplars given concentrate on these questions and so do not appear until towards the end of the report.

A wide range of marks were given in this paper. Often it was clear that some candidates had transferred synoptic knowledge and understanding gained practically in the centre assessed units. Indeed some candidates had a clear and in-depth practical knowledge and experience of the processes and manufacturing methods they were writing about. There were also clear differentials between candidates who had learned subject content from across the whole of the specification relating to R109 and those who had not. It should be borne in mind that direct questions can be asked of any of the unit content that is shown with an "i.e.". The success of candidate responses can be generalised as follows:

Candidates who did well on this paper generally did the following:	Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following:
 Had detailed knowledge and understanding of engineering manufacture, especially with respect to properties and uses of materials. Showed broad knowledge drawn from across the whole of the R109 specification statements. Demonstrated an understanding and familiarity with the different command verbs, e.g. identify, give, describe, explain, and discuss. Gave broad and balanced responses that incorporated several points in conjunction with key vocabulary, which were often developed, when answering the longer written answer questions. Used the guidance, where given, in the response lines to help make sure they answered all parts of the question. 	 Lacked basic knowledge and understanding of materials, for example confusing mechanical properties with characteristics of the materials. Found it difficult to apply what they had learned to different scenarios and instead gave answers that were simply a repeat or rewording of what was given in the stem of the question. Repeated the same single point in different ways when answering the longer written responses. Limited the marks available to them by not answering all aspects of the question by not using the additional guidance, where given, in the response lines.

AfL	Centres can train their candidates to deconstruct questions, paying attention to locating the command verbs; the exact meaning of command verbs and the demand that the command verb requires in the response.
	The last point for example can be taught explicitly by instead of asking the candidate for the answer to a question, to ask for an example of what the answer would look like. This can be done for a variety of command words with increasing demand , e.g. name/state, suggest, describe, explain, evaluate, etc., while keeping the remaining stem of the equation the same as far as possible.

Question 1 (a) (i)

1 (a) Fig. 1 shows a knife and fork.





(i) Circle the material from the list below, which is commonly used to make the knife and fork.

carbon fibre	stainless steel	epoxy resin	lead	[1]
				L

This question was designed to be accessible to all candidates to help them to settle into the exam. Consequently there were very, very few examples of incorrect answers given.

Although many did, a surprising number typed their answer which not only uses up valuable time but also makes it harder for candidates to check their work		AfL	This is a prime example of a type of question that students using word processing to support their access to this exam could answer on the paper. Although many did, a surprising number typed their answer which not only uses up valuable time but also makes it harder for candidates to check their work
--	--	-----	--

Question 1 (a) (ii)

(ii) Give two reasons why the material chosen is suitable for the knife and fork.



This question was also designed to be accessible at all grades and candidates scored highly. The word "reasons" in the stem of the question opened up the mark scheme, meaning that there were many responses that would gain credit. The most common responses to gain credit were corrosion resistance / does not rust, hard, relatively low cost (compared with silver).

	AfL	"non-corrosive", was a common error that caused candidates to lose marks, presumably using vocabulary they have learned in science. Candidates should be encouraged to use "corrosion resistant" to pick up these early marks.
--	-----	---

AfL	If a question asks for "reasons" about a material, candidates are more likely to gain the marks if they answer about the properties or characteristics of the material.

Question 1 (b) (i)

(b) Fig. 2 shows several transparent chess pieces.





(i) (Circle) the material from the list below, which is used to make the chess pieces.

tin	brass	polvester resin	Glass Reinforced Plastic (GRP)	[1]
un	DIASS	polyester resili	Glass Reinforceu Flastic (GRF)	- 111

The majority of candidates correctly identified the material as polyester resin. By far the most common incorrect response was GRP.

Question 1 (b) (ii)

(ii) Circle the term from the list below which describes the type of material used to produce the chess pieces shown in **Fig. 2**.

pure metal	ceramic	thermosetting plastic	composite	[1]
------------	---------	-----------------------	-----------	-----

Again the majority of candidates correctly identified the material as thermosetting. For candidates incorrectly circling GRP in 1 (b) (i) composite was allowed as an error carried forward.

Question 1 (b) (iii)

(iii) Name one process that could be used to produce the chess pieces.

.....[1]

By contrast relatively few candidates were able to name casting as a process to produce the chess pieces.

AfL	This is another example of a question type that many students using word processing could most likely answer on the paper. As well as taking at least as long to type, the increased cognitive load of ensuring that the correct question number, answer, and formatting are used, results in greater likelihood of errors.

Question 1 (b) (iv)

(iv) State why injection moulding is **not** a suitable process to make the chess pieces.

......[1]

The vast majority of candidates were not able to relate the liquid resin being thermosetting as the reason why injection moulding is not suitable.

Question 1 (c) (i)

(c) Fig. 3 shows a child's plastic ball, with a diameter of 150 mm, made from thermoplastic material.



Fig. 3

(i) Name a suitable process that could be used to produce the plastic ball.

......[1]

A large majority of candidates responded correctly with blow moulding and a smaller number gained credit for rotational moulding. Many candidates who seemed to be unsure of the correct response named injection moulding. Presumably because they had read it in Question 1 (b) (iv).

Question 1 (c) (ii)

(ii) Give two reasons why plastic is a suitable material for the ball.



Again the word "reasons" in the stem of the question meant that there were a wide variety of responses that would gain credit here. The most common ones given being, lightweight, available in a range of colours and durable / weather resistant.

AfL	When giving "reasons" for a material being used for an application candidates should be encouraged to give properties or characteristics of the material. Not only are they more likely to gain the marks but are also better prepared for guestions asking for a property or characteristic.
	prepared for questions asking for a property or characteristic.

A	AfL	Candidates should also be trained to not give two reasons that are too similar, for example durable and weather resistant, because this increases the chance of not gaining marks due to repetition of the same marking point.
---	-----	---

Question 2 (a) (i)

2 (a) A list of engineering materials is given below.

bronze
carbon steel
concrete
glass
high speed steel
Quantum Tunnelling Composite (QTC)
shape-memory alloy
tungsten carbide

(i) Select two materials from the list that are ferrous metals.

The vast majority of candidates gained both marks here. If an incorrect response was given it was most commonly tungsten carbide which of course is a ceramic.

Question 2 (a) (ii)

- (ii) Select two materials from the list that are ceramics.

Likewise many candidates gained both marks here although there were more incorrect responses than for Question 2 (a) (i). The vast majority of candidates correctly selected glass but concrete was a common incorrect response.

Question 2 (a) (iii)

(iii) Select two smart materials from the list.

1	
2	
	[2]

The vast majority of candidates gained both marks here. Where both marks were not given, tungsten carbide was often given as an incorrect response.

Question 2 (b)

(b) Describe one use for a smart material from the list.

The vast majority of the candidates gained the first mark by identifying a use of a smart material. By far the most common response was (dental) braces although membrane switches also featured frequently. For the second mark a description of the use was required. Most frequently this mark was gained by candidates describing dental braces, presumably due to their greater familiarity with them.

Question 2 (c)

(c) Explain why a non-ferrous metal might be used instead of a ferrous metal to make an engineered product.

The vast majority of candidates gained the first mark for identifying that non-ferrous metals do not rust. Other responses could also gain credit here including properties such as magnetism, conductivity, or machinability. Similar to Question 2 (b), candidates who gave the easier answer (does not rust) found it much easier to gain the second mark relating to use (extended use) outside / in wet conditions. Candidates who gave the other properties tended to find the second mark more difficult to access.

Question 3 (a)

3 (a) Complete the table below by placing a tick (✓) to show the correct example for each of the processes listed.

	Examples of Engineering Processes						
	linishing filing brazing nitriding ben						
material removal		1					
joining							
heat treatment							
surface finishing							
hand forming							

One has been completed for you.

[4]

The vast majority of candidates were able to identify brazing, nitriding, surface finishing and bending in that order.

AfL	This is a third question type where word processing candidates are more likely to be quicker, more accurate and better able to check their answers by answering on the paper. There were examples of some candidates reproducing the table on the word processor, this is absolutely not necessary.

\bigcirc	AfL	" the correct example" in the stem of the question is the clue to candidates that there should be only one tick per row. There were instances of marks being lost because of more than one tick in a row and no ticks in other rows. Centres should encourage candidates to carefully check their responses to this type of question to avoid such simple errors.

Question 3 (b)

(b) State three properties that should be considered when selecting materials for an engineered product.

One example is machinability.

1	
2	
3	
Ŭ	[3]

Many candidates scored well on this question, gaining credit by giving three examples from a considerable list of properties. Where candidates did not gain full marks they either gave characteristics of the materials, such as cost or forms of supply, etc., or gave different words for the opposite end of the same property, such as toughness and brittleness.

Question 3 (c)

(c) Copper sheet becomes work hardened when being hit with a mallet. It needs to be regularly softened (annealed).

Describe the process of annealing a copper disc, with a diameter of 125 mm.

[3]

This question was an excellent differentiator and a wide range of marks was given. From candidates who had no idea about annealing, but quite rightly did not wish to leave the question space blank, to candidates who produced an accurate and succinct response. Most candidates were aware that the copper needed to be heated and gained the first mark. Far fewer responded a (cherry) red colour. While most knew that the copper need to be cooled, only very few stated by quenching in water.

A large number of candidates confused annealing with forging and wrote about hitting the copper with the mallet once it was heated.

Question 4 (a)

4 Fig. 4 shows a key fob made in the workshop from 75 × 20 × 3 mild steel.





(a) The table below shows the stages involved in making the key fob.

Stage	Process
А	Centre punch and drill 5 mm pilot hole
В	Cut the mild steel and file to size
С	Cut and file the curves of the key fob
D	Mark the shape and position of holes
E	Stamp letters KEYS and remove all sharp edges
F	Drill a hole, 8 mm in diameter

Put the stages in the correct order. Two have been completed for you.

В		С	
			[3]

Invariably this question was answered correctly by the vast majority of candidates.

Question 4 (b)

(b) State two safety precautions, other than PPE, that should be observed when using a drilling machine.

1 2 [2]

A wide variety of responses gained credit and most candidates who had worked in a machine shop were able to gain both marks here. If marks were lost, invariably this was due to either stating types of PPE or common sense such as not running in the workshop.

Question 4 (c)

(c) Name two surface finishes that could be applied to the mild steel key fob.

1	
2	
	[2]

Galvanisation and painting were common correct responses here. Less common was powder / plastic dip coating. Also gaining a mark but given by candidates very infrequently was electroplating. Incorrect responses that appeared regularly were polishing and linishing. Polishing not being allowed, as the question clearly states that the key fob is to be manufactured from mild steel.

Question 4 (d) (i)

(d) (i) Name a CNC machine that could be used to produce the key fob.

......[1]

The expected responses here were (CNC) milling machine or router. Water jet cutter was also accepted. Fewer candidates than might be expected gained this mark. Common incorrect responses were (centre) lathe, which is clearly completely inappropriate for this manufacture and laser cutter. Laser cutter not being accepted because of the detrimental effect of heating on a mild steel object of the dimensions given in the question.

Question 4 (d) (ii)

(ii) Explain why CNC manufacture would be more appropriate than hand manufacture.

Candidates generally answered this question well with common correct responses explaining about the faster, more accurate manufacturing; the precision and quality of the manufacturing. To a lesser extent the ability to mass manufacture and the safety of CNC processes was given.

Question 5 (a) (i)

5 (a) Fig. 5 shows a workpiece that is being manufactured on a multi-axis machining centre.





(i) Describe the features of a multi-axis machining centre.

This was another question that differentiated the candidates well, with a wide range of marks given across the candidates. Common responses that gained credit were working on three (or more), or X, Y and Z axes, having two rotational axes, being computer controlled, having a variety of automatically changed tools, ability to manufacture complex, intricate detailing as well as decreased processing times and improved accuracy.

AfL	A common theme of response that lost marks in this question was "working on multiple axes". This type of response did not gain credit because it is simply reflecting the stem of the question. While it is important to make it clear to your candidates to respond to all questions, it should also be emphasised this should be more than simply repeating the question.

available is clearly shown at the bottom right of the question and this can be used to help candidates formulate a suitable length response by having at least the same number of sentences as there are marks available.		AfL	Word processing, for candidates that are entitled to it, was very effective for this kind of question. There is the advantage that the number of marks available is clearly shown at the bottom right of the question and this can be used to help candidates formulate a suitable length response by having at least the same number of sentences as there are marks available.
---	--	-----	--

Exemplar 1

(i)	Describ	e the fea	atures of a m	nulti-axis mae	chining ce	entre.			
	A	Mul	4: - axis	Mua	hine	CUN	Opture	10	
	3	0c	more	axis.	17	Cen	noul	alona	
	V	l	х, Ү	,Ζ	Unit	more	axis.)	
			•						
						-			[<u>k</u>]
					••••••				[**]

This is a typical example of a response achieving 1 mark. The second line is given a mark for identifying a number of linear axes. Although X, Y and Z would on its own gain credit, it does not here because it is repeating the marking point in line 2. The remainder of line 3 is not specific or detailed enough to gain credit.

Exemplar 2

(i) Describe the features of a multi-axis machining centre.

A multi axis maching centre ven more in jour or more directions - Usually, it commore in all three dimensions (Sc, y and 2) and contern on all three is means that it would be able to o(c) produce smol 1 and intrivete parts to a high deprese of [4] Officiency on

By contrast this response gained all 4 marks. The third line gained credit for identifying three linear axes, The 4th line for identifying rotational axes. "Small and intricate (geometries)" gained credit for the third mark. High degree of (..) accuracy the 4th.

	AfL	It is probably worth noting here that "efficiency" is most likely not detailed
(\bigcirc)		enough to gain credit and if candidates use the word efficiency in any responses they would be best to clarify exactly what they mean.

Question 5 (a) (ii)

(ii) State two ways that a multi-axis machine is safer to use than a manual machine.

1 2 [2]

Most candidates gained 1 mark for this question and this tended to be statements to the effect that human intervention is much less once the machine is set up and running. A common response that did not gain credit was that the machine has guards. This did not gain credit because manual machines can also have guards. More detail to the effect that the machine is enclosed was required. Another correct response that appeared very infrequently was that the machine automatically stops if there is a fault.

Question 5 (b)

(b) Explain two cost factors that should be considered before introducing modern technology into an engineering company.

1 2 [4]

Many candidates successfully identified at least one, but more often than not, two cost factors. These candidates did not progress beyond 1 or 2 marks because they did not explain about how the cost factors need to be considered.

Question 6 (a) (i)

6 (a) (i) Explain why the use of modern technology during production gets products to market faster.

Many candidates gained marks in this question. Most often candidates gave 24/7 working or better product consistency / quality / accuracy. Also gaining credit was shorter process times. Faster should be avoided in questions like this because it is given in the stem of the question. Likewise, efficiency without qualification should be avoided because it is too vague.

Question 6 (a) (ii)

(ii) Explain why it is important to have a trained workforce to carry out modern engineering processes.

The 2 marks for this question could be obtained from 3 marking points. Explaining that training is needed because: the machinery is complex and expensive, needs to be set up / maintained properly and lastly in order to prevent injury / damage to the machine / poor quality products. In the main most candidates managed to get at least 1 of these marks.

Question 6 (b)

(b)* Discuss the importance of quality control when manufacturing products using mass production processes.

The LOR question is vitally important because it is worth 10% of the total marks for the paper. It was pleasing therefore to see that the number of candidates who did not respond to this question was very low indeed. There was a wide variety of indicative content that if included in candidates' responses would gain credit. This, and the levels achieved, are reviewed in the two exemplars below and is best read in conjunction with the mark scheme so indicative content can be seen.

Exemplar 3

(b)* Discuss the importance of quality control when manufacturing products using mass production processes.

ality control is important eres as mass production resses produce identical and consistent products these the same cand of something land lot of time and products. Quality be regulary Checked for Gue te. , allowing the products to be created correctly purpose It may slow down the production e amount however it makes sure production is right Τ.

This candidate presents a detailed discussion. The quality of spelling punctuation and grammar is most definitely above what is expected for a Level 1 but there is an element of repetition of the same discussion, which detracts from the clarity of the discussion. Expanding the discussion with additional indicative content would have moved this response into a Level 3.

Exemplar 4

(b)* Discuss the importance of quality control when manufacturing products using mass production processes.

Quality control is one of the most important aspects of a products production une. It helps to that ensure that all products home at of the factory. look precise and up to every automets standard. Quality control helps to adentify defects eve during production. Quality control should take place after every stage of manufacturing, whether this is by random sampling or strabilied sampling. Not every product needs to be checked as that will be washing valuable time but checking every 3 products is a good thing to do. If a product is within tolerance and up to standards its within tolerance and up to standards its whely the next 2 are aswell if a machine does malfunction what areades a praduct incorrectly as unlikely only one product will be faulty so sumptions to identify the asue with the product and then also the machine Mithout quality control [6]

6	6	all products would an straight to astomer
		unchecked. This means that any defects will go
		to costomers. This could imitate the
		customer recieving the depect (it would

urnitate me), and cause the automer to
 Leave a back review; ask for a refunch
 and areate a bur were reputation for
 the manufacturing company. You see now how
 important quality controll is and why
without it manifacturing persent
 and producing perfect products could
 proove chifficultifor munufacturers
•

This is a clear example of a Level 3 response worthy of 6 marks. The candidate has produced a clear and detailed discussion of the advantages of quality control in mass production using many of the points in the indicative content from the mark scheme. The quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar is good. The exemplar also shows good use of continuation sheets.

	AfL	Many candidates with exam access arrangements used word processing just for this Level of Response question. While this is a good idea it must be remembered that poor spelling and punctuation can limit a response to Level 1 so it is vital that candidates check their responses carefully in order that the marks of a good response are not limited by spelling and punctuation.
		Unlike, for example, Question 5 (a) (i), the number of marks in the bottom right hand corner does not necessarily correlate to the number of lines or points that should be written. With the response not being constructed in the question paper, an Exam Access Arrangements (EAA) candidate will also lose some of the clues as to how long the response needs to be. Centres could help candidates prepare for this by having them type a handwritten answer that fills the response lines. That way candidates will have a gauge for how long their answer should be. They can of course make their responses longer if need be.

Copyright information

Any reference to existing companies or organisations is entirely coincidental and is not intended as a depiction of those companies or organisations.

Supporting you

Review of results	If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our review of results services. For full information about the options available visit the <u>OCR website</u> .
Supporting you through 2021-2022	Our priority is supporting you and your students this spring and to support you as you prepare for summer 2022. We'll update our <u>website information</u> regularly with resources, guidance and key information.
Take a look at our support for:	 <u>Teachers</u> <u>Students</u> <u>Exams officers</u>
Keep up-to-date	We are sending a weekly roundup to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, <u>sign up here</u> .
OCR Professional Development	Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. All our courses for the academic year 2021-2022 are being delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location. Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our <u>website</u> or visit <u>OCR professional development</u> .
Signed up for Exambuilder?	ExamBuilder is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals and Functional Skills qualifications. See the full list of available qualifications in the sign up form.
	ExamBuilder is free for all OCR centres with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an <u>Interchange</u> username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.
	If you do not have an Interchange appount plagae contact your

If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.

Supporting you

Active Results

Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool.

For the spring 2022 series, results analysis is available for Cambridge Nationals (moderated units) only.

It allows you to:

- review and run analysis reports on exam performance
- analyse results at question and/or topic level
- compare your centre with OCR national averages
- identify trends across the centre
- facilitate effective planning and delivery of courses
- identify areas of the curriculum where students excel or struggle
- help pinpoint strengths and weaknesses of students and teaching departments.

Find out more at <u>ocr.org.uk/activeresults</u>.

Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on 01223 553998

Alternatively, you can email us on support@ocr.org.uk

For more information visit

- ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder
- ocr.org.uk
- Ø /ocrexams
- /company/ocr
- /ocrexams

We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.





Please note – web links are correct at date of publication but other websites may change over time. If you have any problems with a link you may want to navigate to that organisation's website for a direct search.



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2022 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please <u>contact us</u>.

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our Expression of Interest form.

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.