



A LEVEL

Examiners' report

CHEMISTRY B (SALTERS)

H433

For first teaching in 2015

H433/03 Autumn 2021 series

Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.

Reports for the November 2021 series will provide a broad commentary about candidate performance, with the aim for them to be useful future teaching tools. As an exception for this series they will not contain any questions from the exam paper nor examples of candidate responses.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

A full copy of the exam paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Export to and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as . . .** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

Paper 3 series overview

This component assesses content from across all teaching modules with particular emphasis on practical skills.

Question styles include short response (structures questions, problem solving, calculations and practical). As ever with this specification questions are set in a range of different contexts.

This paper also includes questions based on an insert with the exam paper. The insert outlines a practical procedure and the results produced from the experiment.

There is some evidence that the effect of lockdown impacted on many students' knowledge of practical procedures in particular.

The distribution of marks was broadly similar to earlier series, however overall marks achieved by candidates tended to be lower, again perhaps reflecting the inevitable reduction of face-to-face teaching and discussion during lockdown.

Candidates who did well on this paper generally did the following:	Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following:
 showed clear working in all calculations 3b(i), (ii), 4(a)(i), (ii), 4(c) were able to attempt all questions were able to construct a well-reasoned, logical response to the longer answer Questions 1(d), 4(d) displayed a sound understanding of organic reactions based on functional groups. 	 did not provide a response to several questions did not clearly set out calculations found difficulty applying the relevant chemistry to differing, perhaps unfamiliar contexts, e.g. 2(b)(ii) had difficulty identifying and explaining different types of bonding (both intra and intermolecular).

Section overview

Question 1

This was generally completed fully by the majority of candidates and discriminated well between them.

The Level of Response Question 1(d) was challenging for students (further comments on this question are provided in the later section).

Question 2

Overall, this question was reasonably well-answered. 2(b)(ii), the structures of the two organic products, proved difficult as did the explanation of the differences in effectiveness of the enantiomers in 2(c)(iii).

Question 3

Not quite as well-answered, overall, as the two previous questions. The identification of the coloured layers, 3a(ii), proved the most difficult question on the paper. The lack of hands-on practical may well have had had an impact here.

Structures and relative water solubility of iodine and potassium iodide also proved difficult for candidates to explain in 3(c).

Question 4

This was the least well-answered question although there was some evidence of candidates running out of time, or not reading the insert carefully enough.

In Question 4(b) many candidates found it difficult to produce the appropriate equation and 4(d) lacked detailed explanations (discussed in next section).

Comments on responses by question type

Level of response questions

Candidates found the two level of response questions on this paper particularly difficult.

As the questions focused on practical techniques, this may have been a consequence of a lack of handson practical experience compared to previous cohorts.

Question 1(d)

This question was based around the synthesis and production of an organic liquid. Many candidates chose the wrong practical technique and discussed the purification procedures for the synthesis of an organic solid.

Question 4(d)

This question needed candidates to have carefully studied the practical insert included with the paper.

This outlined a student investigation of pH changes in a variety of solutions.

Responses were commonly vague and lacking in detail. Explanations for changes in pH, or more pertinently little change in buffer solutions, were often missing, with candidates only therefore scoring at Level 1 for identifying the buffer solution.

Common misconceptions

Misconception Some candidates considered the potassium iodide in Question 3 be a polar covalent molecule, quoting the difference in electrone as the reason.	
--	--

Key teaching and learning points - comments on improving performance

Explanations by candidates need to be in line with the marks available for the question. More detail is usually required for 3 or 4 mark questions (and of course the level of response questions), e.g. Questions 2c(ii), 3c and 4(b).

Many candidates lack structure in their working out of calculations which involve several steps. This often leads to marks being lost unnecessarily.

The use of 'curly arrows' in organic mechanisms is often unclear. Candidates should clearly show where the pair of electrons is coming from, e.g. bond or lone pair, and the arrowhead should indicate where the electron pair is going.

Supporting you

Review of results	If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our review of results services. For full information about the options available visit the <u>OCR website</u> .
Supporting you through 2021-2022	Our priority is supporting you and your students this autumn and to support you as you prepare for summer 2022 exams. We'll update our <u>website information</u> regularly with resources, guidance and key information.
Take a look at our support for:	 <u>Teachers</u> <u>Students</u> <u>Exams officers</u>
Keep up-to-date	We are sending a weekly roundup to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, <u>sign up here</u> .
OCR Professional Development	Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. All our courses for the academic year 2021-2022 are being delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location. Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page
Signed up	on our <u>website</u> or visit <u>OCR professional development</u> . ExamBuilder is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals
for Exambuilder?	and Functional Skills qualifications. See the full list of available qualifications in the <u>sign up form</u> . ExamBuilder is free for all OCR centres with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an <u>Interchange</u> username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.

If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.

Supporting you

Active Results

Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool.

For the Autumn 2021 series, results analysis is available for GCSE English Language, GCSE Mathematics and Cambridge Nationals (moderated units) only.

It allows you to:

- review and run analysis reports on exam performance
- analyse results at question and/or topic level
- compare your centre with OCR national averages
- · identify trends across the centre
- · facilitate effective planning and delivery of courses
- · identify areas of the curriculum where students excel or struggle
- help pinpoint strengths and weaknesses of students and teaching departments.

Find out more at <u>ocr.org.uk/activeresults</u>.

Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on 01223 553998

Alternatively, you can email us on support@ocr.org.uk

For more information visit

- ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder
- ocr.org.uk
- Ø /ocrexams
- /company/ocr
- /ocrexams

We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.







OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2021 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please <u>contact us</u>.

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our Expression of Interest form.

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.