



A LEVEL

Moderators' report

MUSIC

H543

For first teaching in 2016

H543/03/04 Summer 2022 series

Contents

Introduction	3
General overview	4
Most common causes of centres not passing	6
Common misconceptions	6
Avoiding potential malpractice	7
Additional comments	7

Introduction

Our moderators' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report.

Advance Information for Summer 2022 assessments

To support student revision, advance information was published about the focus of exams for Summer 2022 assessments. Advance information was available for most GCSE, AS and A Level subjects, Core Maths, FSMQ, and Cambridge Nationals Information Technologies. You can find more information on our <u>website</u>.

Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Export to and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as . . .** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

General overview

2022 saw the return of examined assessment following the Covid 19 pandemic.

It came with adjustments to the requirements for composing, H543 03 & 04 and a small change in the structure of the criteria and descriptors.

For both 03 & 04, Section 1, the OCR set brief was omitted. This meant that only sections 2 & 3 of the criteria and descriptors were used, with marking out of 40 for H543/04 (Composing B) and out of 70 for H543/03 (Composing A). Total duration requirements were changed too, with a 2 minute minimum for Composing B and a 4 minute minimum for Composing A.

Most candidates opted for Composing B, but it was good to see a healthy number of Composing A too.

Candidates chose an eclectic range of styles, idioms and genres for their free composition, which is Section 2 of both components. It was excellent to see that candidates were very often composing for idioms with which they had insight and personal interest, and this frequently resulted in idiomatic and creative outcomes.

The springboard for the free choice composition is the learner determined brief, which carries 5 marks.

It was pleasing to note that many centres understood the requirements for the brief: that it should be concise and detailed, and in a format which clearly lays out the intentions of the composition. For a guide as to what is expected, please refer to past and current OCR set briefs. Use the set briefs to demonstrate to candidates the expected length and content for their own brief. However, please do not encourage candidates to replicate word for word, OCR set briefs, as marks are given in this section for the candidate's creativity and clarity of ideas.

Here is an example of a good learner determined brief:

A new independent French film, inspired by the 2001 rom-com 'Amelie', is premiering at the Cannes Film Festival. The film is a romantic comedy following our main character, Karine, as she witnesses some strange occurrences in her neighbourhood in Paris. You have been asked to compose a series of musical passages inspired by the bal-musette genre that accompanies the opening credit scene of the film, The piece will be performed lived at The Palais des Festivals et des Congrès by a small quartet consisting of piano, accordion, strings, and woodwind.

An interesting, concise, and detailed brief will provide candidates with strong ideas and clear references on which to base their composition.

There is no requirement for candidates to submit a commentary for their composition and these are not considered part of the brief, nor are they assessed.

While candidates can benefit from writing a commentary as part of their internal coursework, it must be stressed that examiners do not use this added material for assessment and centres are asked not to include them in the submission.

Furthermore, candidate intentions which are embedded in a long commentary or description, without a defined brief, will be unable to access mid to higher marks for this criteria.

Part of the submission is to include either a score or an explanation of the composition. These are not marked as such but may be used to corroborate the work and intentions of the composer. For example, a digital realisation using basic sound samples might not be sufficiently able to show the composers' intentions and examiners can use the score to see what they intended. Conversely, some of the high-quality live recordings which employ professional musicians, may have added detail and shape which is derived from the performers and not the composer. Again, the score or description will be used to substantiate the composer's intentions.

It must be stressed that the assessment is based on the realisation and not on the score or description. The impact of the recording is therefore paramount, and the better the quality of recording together with the level of detail and balance in the realisation, will impact on the outcome.

Midi-file realisations are not acceptable. Recordings can be digitally produced and enhanced or be live performances.

The responses to the candidate briefs all met the minimum time requirement and showed an overall good level of creativity and knowledge.

For Section 3 of Composing A, candidates were required to compose 3 short (up to 45 seconds) exercises. Candidates are given a choice of focus for their exercises:

Either: 1. Pitch Organisation

- or 2. Texture
- or 3. Rhythm and Meter.

The 3 exercises must also be from one area of study only. There was occasional misunderstanding of this requirement, with a small number of candidates composing in several styles and with different focus areas.

The more successful exercise sets had variety of tonality, meter, texture and strong melodic ideas and clear structure.

The most common exercise type was Bach Chorales, and these were mostly appropriate in their variety and choice. It is advised that a short incipit and the melody line are given, even though this is entirely at the candidates'/centre discretion; however, material provided must be clearly identified.

Other focus areas included in this series, to name but a few, were Serialism, Romantic String Quartets, Classical String Quartets, Lied, Modernism and Minimalism.

Candidates who did well generally did the following:	Candidates who did less well generally did the following:
 a clear, creative and concise brief containing relevant detail a composition which responded directly to the brief a defined style or idiom a clear structure idiomatic harmonic language idiomatic use of instruments or electronic sounds shapely melodies a good sense of direction and momentum idiomatic articulation detailed dynamic shaping to show the structure considered balance appropriate tempo choices effective tempo changes realised. 	 a long commentary rather than a brief response not relating fully to the brief response not in a defined style formulaic structure basic harmony simple melodic ideas simple use of instruments realisation with general detail.

Most common causes of centres not passing

No brief and therefore few ideas for the composition.

Lack of structure and any stylistic hallmarks.

Limited range in the melodic writing and many errors in harmony.

No specific identity apparent in the realisation.

Incomplete realisation, with parts missing and very few dynamic indications.

Common misconceptions

The most common misconception is the inclusion of a commentary rather than a brief. A commentary is not required or desirable.

Another regarding the brief, is that it is often evident in the wording that the brief was written after the composition. This usually leads to a less focused and not so convincing composition.

There is some misconception regarding the performance of the compositions. It cannot be assumed that a professional, live recording will achieve full marks for communication. This is because, candidates must be able to corroborate their own work in the score or description, and therefore any added ideas by the performers themselves cannot be seen to be the work of the composer without verification in the score or explanation.

Jazz inspired pieces often have improvisatory solos. If these are to be included in a live performance realisation, they must be the composer's own work and must be verified in either the score or explanation. If solos are not written out in full or fully described, they cannot be included in the assessment whether played by the composer or by others.

If candidates choose to submit a description as opposed to a score, it is essential that it is detailed in every way and contains a similar amount of information that would typically be extracted from a score. Many descriptions were rather basic in nature and only described the music in the broadest of terms. Important basic elements to include are instrumental group, keys and modulations, harmony, motifs, structure, structural pillars and transitions, textural variation, meter, tempo, and changes of all of the above as it goes along. Sequencing and mixing ideas, production methods, balance of parts, articulation and dynamics, and performance directions.

Avoiding potential malpractice

The learner determined brief carries 5 marks. It is therefore not appropriate to use past OCR set briefs in their original form for this purpose, and any such inclusion cannot achieve any marks in this component.

Additional comments

It was pleasing to see so many candidates engaging with their musical interests, resulting in many creative and inventive compositions. The standard of work was generally very good, and the range of work was diverse in every way. Submissions were largely complete, with all requirements uploaded. However, there were exceptions, with missing scores and audio tracks causing inevitable delays in marking and occasionally in the publication of results. Please check all submissions carefully, by listening to tracks and checking that the correct candidates' work has been uploaded in the correct component. There were several muddled entries where work was uploaded to Composing A rather than B, or to Performing rather than composing which caused inevitable delays and some consternation for examiners; examiners really strive to make sure that they are marking the correct component for the candidate and with complete submissions to allow candidates reach their full potential.

Supporting you

Post-results services	If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our post-results services. For full information about the options available visit the <u>OCR website</u> .
Keep up-to-date	We send a weekly roundup to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, <u>sign up here</u> .
OCR Professional Development	Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. Most of our courses are delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location. Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our <u>website</u> or visit <u>OCR professional development</u> .
Signed up for ExamBuilder?	 ExamBuilder is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals and Cambridge Technicals qualifications. Find out more. ExamBuilder is free for all OCR centres with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an Interchange username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder. If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.
Active Results	 Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. It is available for all GCSEs, AS and A Levels and Cambridge Nationals. It allows you to: review and run analysis reports on exam performance analyse results at question and/or topic level compare your centre with OCR national averages identify trends across the centre facilitate effective planning and delivery of courses identify areas of the curriculum where students excel or struggle help pinpoint strengths and weaknesses of students and teaching departments.

Find out more.

Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on 01223 553998

Alternatively, you can email us on support@ocr.org.uk

For more information visit

- ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder
- ocr.org.uk
- Ø /ocrexams
- /company/ocr
- /ocrexams

We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.





Please note – web links are correct at date of publication but other websites may change over time. If you have any problems with a link you may want to navigate to that organisation's website for a direct search.



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2022 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please <u>contact us</u>.

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our Expression of Interest form.

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.