Qualification Accredited



**A LEVEL** 

Examiners' report

# CLASSICAL CIVILISATION

H408

For first teaching in 2017

H408/23 Summer 2022 series

# Contents

Introduction	3
Paper 23 series overview	4
Question 1	5
Question 3	5
Question 5	6
Question 6	6
Question 7*	7
Section B overview	8
Question 8*	8
Question 9*	q

# Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. A selection of candidate answers is also provided. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report.

A full copy of the question paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

#### Advance Information for Summer 2022 assessments

To support student revision, advance information was published about the focus of exams for Summer 2022 assessments. Advance information was available for most GCSE, AS and A Level subjects, Core Maths, FSMQ, and Cambridge Nationals Information Technologies. You can find more information on our website.

# Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Export to and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as . . .** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

# Paper 23 series overview

The paper is one of the four options for 'Culture and the Arts' and covers the issues surrounding the Persian Wars which gave rise to the concept of the 'barbarian' in the Greek mind. It offers a mixture of low tariff AO1 questions, alongside longer responses which require skills to be demonstrated in both AO1 and AO2.

Candidates dealt with the lower tariff questions effectively. For the longer questions they had clearly grasped the sense that they were studying a topic which involved comparing and contrasting the nature of Greeks and barbarians (in this paper's case, Persians). To do well on the paper, candidates needed to combine a mastery of the prescribed sources with a firm grasp of the context of the Persian Wars. Thus a familiarity with Herodotus and Aeschylus, as well as confidence to deploy the relevant visual material, was a necessity.

This paper attracted some excellent responses, with many candidates engaging with the idea of the 'invention of the barbarian'. There were no obvious problems with timing, as nearly all candidates were able to answer the full range of questions. Some chose to answer the essay question first, and this was a valid way to approach the exam. In general, the stimulus material in the 10-mark questions seemed to encourage candidates to write a lot, and many would have benefited from a more succinct approach in order to give themselves more time for the 20- and 30-mark questions.

Many candidates had developed a detailed knowledge and understanding of the subject, and this was especially evident in the question on 'The Persians'. Most candidates recognised that the 20-mark question was a 'to what extent' question, and were able to look at a range of factors. In the 30-mark questions, the deployment of scholarly opinions was generally evident, but it was rare to see candidates do much more than quote them. Examiners often looked without success for any evidence of the critical analysis of these scholars.

The essays were a familiar mixture of successful and less-successful. Examiners were impressed by the presence of a number of clearly argued and well-structured discussions of the topic, with excellent AO1 material being analysed in a perceptive way for AO2. More commonly there was a tendency on the part of candidates to write a summary of everything that they knew, only reaching a value judgement in the concluding paragraph. As a result, there tended to be imbalance in the levels, with many essays receiving a higher level for AO1 than they did for AO2. This may have been a result of the essay topics taking some candidates by surprise. Candidates should be reminded to answer the question that they have been set.

#### Candidates who did well on this paper Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following: generally did the following: made close reference to the source in had a tendency to narrate the main events of Questions 3 and 6 'The Persians' rather than show a critical perspective showed an awareness of the different interpretations over Aeschylus' motives for did not support 30-mark essays with a range of detailed evidence Question 7 made excellent deployment of evidence from tended to deploy scholars but did not use the visual sources in Question 8 them critically. showed appreciation of the nature of polis identity and Hellenic culture in Question 9.

# Section A overview

Candidates' work in Section A was characterised by a reasonably sound understanding of the material, although the depth of knowledge needed to secure the highest marks was often missing. There were still, however, a number of candidates who did not read the questions carefully enough.

The majority of candidates tackled Section A before Section B, and had clearly planned well enough to allow for sufficient time to complete the 30-mark essay.

### Question 1

1 What is the name given to the type of pot in **Source A**?

[1]

Nearly all responses were correct, although some misnamed it as a krater.

# Question 2

What is the name given to the circular image painted on the inside of the pot in **Source A**? [1]

Few candidates knew this answer.

# Question 3

3 Explain how **Source A** is typical of the way that the Greeks chose to portray Persians. [10]

It might seem an obvious point, but the most successful candidates used the source in order to answer the question. By identifying what they could actually see on the kylix, they could draw out points about the typicality of the portrayal. The best responses maintained a focus on the Persian figure in the image, making sure that they had a wide range of points rather than just a couple. Some candidates wrote at length about Greek portrayals of Greeks, but this meant that they found it difficult to maintain a clear focus on the question as a result.

# **Assessment for learning**



This type of question asks for candidates to focus on the source. The aim is that they mainly answer the question through the use of the source itself rather than drawing in multiple examples from other sources.

5

### Question 4

4 In which city was *The Persians* first performed?

[1]

Almost universally correctly answered.

# Question 5

5 Name Xerxes' father and mother.

[2]

Nearly all candidates answered this correctly.

# Question 6

**6** Explain how Aeschylus creates sympathy for Xerxes and his people in **Source B**.

[10]

The question required an analysis of **both** Xerxes and his people, but this was missed by some candidates. The most successful responses identified ways in which sympathy was created for Xerxes, as well as for his people. Even though the passage offers a wide range of possible points, there was an assumption on the part of some candidates that Aeschylus' sole aim was to garner sympathy for Xerxes and the Persians. There needed to be a recognition that Aeschylus might have had other aims (such as mockery or hatred).

#### Misconception



Many candidates took the question as a statement of fact, and seemed to think that everything Aeschylus wrote was intended to create sympathy in his audience's mind. Although this is a possible interpretation, there needed to be an understanding that this was a Greek writer writing for a Greek audience, and constructing a fictionalised image of the Persian court.

# Question 7\*

7\* Assess to what extent Aeschylus focuses on 'un-Greekness' in *The Persians*.

You may use **Source B** as a starting point in your answer.

[20]

This question was answered less successfully when candidates either treated it solely as a list of examples of 'un-Greekness', or confined their discussions purely to Source B. These responses were difficult to reward above Level 3, as they either offered too narrow a range of evidence for AO1, or had not displayed 'critical analysis, interpretation and evaluation' in AO2.

The focus of the question was 'to what extent', and the best responses engaged with Aeschylus' intentions very effectively. By framing their response as an examination of this, they were able to analyse where Aeschylus had a focus on 'un-Greekness', and then juxtapose that against the other reasons he might have written the play (see Exemplar 1). It was also pleasing to see that many candidates took the question advice seriously, using Source B as a starting point, and then extending their responses into the rest of the play.

# Exemplar 1

( <del>1</del> )	In Acschylus' The Persions, the focus can indeed
	be argued to be mainly on the "un-Greeness" of
	the project, depitted though their woundshows
	and excess in both material and caltural matters,
	and also Monge the Characterism of terreral
	- photoglasy 'nh - Gree' Character and monarch.
	Honer, Aischylus does d'en pece a capillevable
	mant of peus a the depotition of breeze, in
	pancular the depiction of Attacher on onperor
	The play, playing in to the sure of otherson pride
	and propegade after TU Wilton at Sclamis. It
	is however non pocueda on-Grecian trac
	Greeness.

# Section B overview

The majority of candidates answered Question 8, but there were also plenty who chose Question 9. In Question 8 there was a very clear understanding of the main actions of the Achaemenids, which fitted well with some candidates' impressive learning about the reality of Persia. AO1 and AO2 tended to be more effectively deployed for Question 8 than for Question 9. Despite there being a broad range of material to draw on for Question 9, many of those who answered it did not display the level of knowledge expected for AO1 to help them to construct a persuasive argument.

As in previous examination seasons, the use of modern scholarship was an issue in both questions. While examiners were impressed to see scholars being cited, and sometimes being tied to an argument, there was less sign of scholarly opinions being critically analysed. The majority of responses quoted a scholar without offering a view as to why their opinion might or might not be persuasive. As observed in previous examiners' reports, for essays that had reached Level 4 or Level 5 in AO2, examiners were not always able to award the highest marks in the level because of a lack of critical analysis of the scholars.

# Question 8\*

Use classical sources, and secondary sources, scholars and/or academic works to support your argument. You should also consider possible interpretations of sources by different audiences.

8\* 'The most successful king of the Achaemenid dynasty between 550 BC and 465 BC was Darius.'

Explain how far you agree with this statement. Justify your response.

[30]

© OCR 2022

This was a comparative question, and examiners were able to best reward candidates who chose one Achaemenid king and then explained why he was more successful than the others (see Exemplar 2). Most candidates argued for either Darius or Cyrus, and this was supported by both the visual sources and a knowledge of the reality of Persia. Direct comparison of their achievements (for example, militarily, in bureaucracy, or in building) allowed a clear distinction to be drawn between the different kings. Many candidates used the Cyrus Cylinder and his tomb to make plausible points about Cyrus' rule, and then compared that to Darius through the Bisitun relief, Persepolis and the statue from Susa. The most effective responses also interrogated that evidence to identify areas of propaganda within the portrayals. Most candidates also used Herodotus' portrayal of Xerxes, although they were less effective at interrogating Herodotus' reliability.

The less successful responses fell into two categories. In some the comparison was limited to two monarchs only, and this was particularly ineffective when Darius was being compared to Xerxes. The other type of response was a narration of the events of each reign, which made it difficult for examiners to find an argument in response to the question.

8

# Exemplar 2

8	I words marginally disagree will this statement, as though Dains overan
	the expansion of the empire and the underturing of new building projects, Cyrus
	expanded the empire for faste this any vene hing, and set the standard for
	Perim kingship through his propaganda. I will also go on to kniggly disuss the
	queesses of other fines like Contrapes and Xerxes, though I find them boyely
	insignificant in companison. I will assess "success" here not only by the expansing

The candidate in Exemplar 2 chose one Achaemenid king and then explained why he was more successful than the others.

# **Assessment for learning**



Candidates should look for clues to the *style* of question in the wording used in the question. The presence of the phrase 'most successful' in Question 8 gives the prompt that candidates should be making a comparison between a number of other kings.

# Question 9\*

9\* 'To be considered fully "Greek" you had to live on mainland Greece.'

Explain how far you agree with this statement. Justify your response.

[30]

© OCR 2022

While there were some very successful responses to this question, there were many that had long sections which were not relevant. Where candidates were effective in answering this question, it was because they focused carefully on what is meant by 'Greek'. Recognising that this concept was somewhat tentative in the 5th century helped candidates identify a range of other things that knit the Greeks together. Scholarly views (for example from the likes of Cartledge and Hall) helped considerably in constructing an argument on this (see Exemplar 3).

Less successful responses interpreted this as a question about 'barbarians'. Some wrote extensively about the nature of Amazons and Medea, but did not find a persuasive way of tying this into the question. Many others saw this as a question about motives for medising, and while some were able to apply that to a coherent overall argument, there were many others who did not make a clear link.

# Exemplar 3

or area land bothe Edity
Hall clayers and were difference
(hetween and bertonias)
and brough there is an strong
and brough those is an strong
enderce les aus, one land
in which wells incorred
seemed (4) be of preated
marquel Dessan Occupation
seemed to be of greater angurance. Derson occupation of week littles or card was
clearly as uncomfortable
prescence and as Cripx Green
startes brout where was a
Strong sensed breeze as a
Startes break where was a Strong sense of lineace as a number and the land you
in habited was very in depring
such a nation, Vanous
 ——————————————————————————————————————

The candidate in Exemplar 3 has referred to the work of Edith Hall to help construct their argument.

# **Assessment for learning**



Candidates had a different style of question here from Question 8. 'Explain how far' requires an evaluative judgement to be offered. This is most effective when offered at the start of the essay and then supported through to a conclusion.

# Supporting you

# Post-results services

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our post-results services. For full information about the options available visit the <a href="OCR website">OCR website</a>.

# Keep up-to-date

We send a weekly roundup to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, sign up here.

# OCR Professional Development

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. Most of our courses are delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our <u>website</u> or visit <u>OCR professional development</u>.

# Signed up for ExamBuilder?

**ExamBuilder** is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals and Cambridge Technicals qualifications. Find out more.

ExamBuilder is **free for all OCR centres** with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an <a href="Interchange">Interchange</a> username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.

If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.

# **Active Results**

Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. It is available for all GCSEs, AS and A Levels and Cambridge Nationals.

It allows you to:

- · review and run analysis reports on exam performance
- analyse results at question and/or topic level
- compare your centre with OCR national averages
- · identify trends across the centre
- · facilitate effective planning and delivery of courses
- · identify areas of the curriculum where students excel or struggle
- help pinpoint strengths and weaknesses of students and teaching departments.

Find out more.

#### Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on

01223 553998

Alternatively, you can email us on **support@ocr.org.uk** 

For more information visit

- □ ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder
- ocr.org.uk
- **6** /ocrexams
- **y** /ocrexams
- //company/ocr
- /ocrexams

#### We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.





Please note – web links are correct at date of publication but other websites may change over time. If you have any problems with a link you may want to navigate to that organisation's website for a direct search.



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2022 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please contact us.

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

 $Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our \underline{\text{Expression of Interest form}}.$ 

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.