Qualification Accredited



GCSE (9-1)

Examiners' report

HISTORY B (SCHOOLS HISTORY PROJECT)

J411

For first teaching in 2016

J411/83 Summer 2022 series

Contents

Introduction	3
Paper 83 series overview	4
Question 1	5
Question 2	7
Question 3*	10
Question 4*	11

Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. A selection of candidate answers is also provided. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report.

A full copy of the question paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

Advance Information for Summer 2022 assessments

To support student revision, advance information was published about the focus of exams for Summer 2022 assessments. Advance information was available for most GCSE, AS and A Level subjects, Core Maths, FSMQ, and Cambridge Nationals Information Technologies. You can find more information on our website.

Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Export to and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as . . .** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

Paper 83 series overview

Paper 83 is Living Under Nazi Rule 1933-1945.

To achieve well on the World Depth Study, candidates need to be able to make inferences and evaluate historical sources and interpretations, alongside applying their knowledge to support and challenge a historical interpretation in an essay-style question.

It was clear from the majority of responses that most candidates had been well-prepared and were able to accurately analyse historical sources and interpretations. Candidates generally performed well on question two where they made strong inferences from content and/or provenance on the utility of the sources on the Holocaust.

However, fewer candidates performed as successfully on the essay-style questions. While most were able to describe or identify factors for question three or four, few successfully explained their evidence in reference to the question resulting in many candidates achieving Level 2.

Candidates who did well on this paper generally did the following:

- made an inference from Source A and supported this with evidence from the content of the source (Question 1)
- made an inference from the provenance of Source A to explain how the Nazis felt about wartime opposition (Question 1)
- made two supported inferences from Source B, Source C and/or Interpretation D to explain how useful they were for a historian studying Nazi camps in occupied Europe
- effectively deployed a range of knowledge in the essay question, using precise evidence to support their responses; they then explained this evidence thoroughly in reference to the question (Questions 3 and 4).

Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following:

- used lots of own knowledge about the topic of wartime opposition rather than focusing their response on the analysis of Source A (Question 1)
- described surface features of Source B, source C and/or Interpretation D rather than trying to make inferences about why the sources and interpretations were useful for a historian studying Nazi camps in occupied Europe (Question 2)
- explained that Sources B and C and/or Interpretation D were not useful for the study of Nazi camps in occupied Europe or explained what B, C and D did not tell a historian about the topic
- described or identified the knowledge they had used for the essay-style questions rather than explaining the evidence they used in reference to the question (Questions 3 and 4).

Question 1

Living under Nazi Rule, 1933-1945

1 What can **Source A** tell us about wartime opposition in Nazi Germany? Use the source and your own knowledge to support your answer.

[7]

The aim of this question is to encourage candidates to make inferences from the content and provenance of Source A.

Most of the candidates understood that the source showed that youth opposition to the Nazis had increased during the war years and therefore achieved Level 2, 3 marks. Candidates who then supported their inference(s) with source support for example 'hanging around into the late evening with musical instruments', to explain the methods these youths were using to oppose the Nazis achieved Level 2, 4-5 marks.

The most successful candidates then used the provenance and were able to make an inference about how the Nazis felt about the opposition being carried out, since a report had been sent to the Gestapo. For example, in Exemplar 1, the candidate explains that the local branch of the Nazis was reporting to the Gestapo which proves that 'wartime opposition was genuinely feared'. Supporting inferences such as this with source support secured candidates full marks (7) for this question.

Candidates who focused their responses solely on own knowledge about wartime opposition rather than focusing on the source achieved zero marks.

Advice to centres

In this question candidates need to focus their response tightly to the source in the question rather than using own knowledge throughout the response. Candidates need to be making an inference and explaining this, using the source's content to support their response.

Exemplar 1

	During the nazr's reign, there was plenty of
	opposition from youth groups and young
	people, who strongly disagreed with the nazr's
	prainises and ideologies. Source A mows this, as
	the nazis describe them as "nostile", and a
	"danger" to "young people". The fact that the
	nazis are reporting to the bestapo, shows us that
	this war nine apposition presented by these youth
	group, was genuinly feared, which we know to
	be true, as many from youth groups shun
	as the Edelwiesi prates and the swing and saca
	grings were severly punished by the government, by
	being sent to concentration camps and some
	lladers even keing hanged.
	Although Formate, we can see that the appointing from youth
	granpi was arguably very minor, as they are
	playing with "Musical instruments" and nanging
	around with "young females". The most nostile thing
	that the extract describes is the group writing
	sligan, like "down with nazi brutality" on wall.
	The share mat although was time opposition
	was relatively normless, the berman government
	Whi so soncerned with liburing primer, that
	it made them even kids into concentration camp
	Criminal, for very minor opposition.

Question 2

2 How useful are **Sources B** and **C** and **Interpretation D** for a historian studying Nazi camps in occupied Europe?

In your answer, refer to the two sources and the interpretation as well as your own knowledge. [15]

The aim of this question is to encourage candidates to make inferences from the content and provenance of Sources B, C, and Interpretation D.

Most candidates structured their responses well by analysing the sources and interpretation individually rather than cross referencing them. Candidates who performed well on this question were able to make supported inference(s) from the content of B, C and/or D.

Exemplar 2 displays three supported inferences from the content of B, C and D to achieve full marks. Two supported inferences would have achieved the same mark.

Candidates who did well on this question were able to make an inference from the source(s) and/or interpretation and explain why they are useful for a historian studying Nazi camps in occupied Europe, then supporting their inference(s) with evidence from the content of B, C and/or D. Some candidates were also able to make inferences from the provenance of source B and C to explain why the existence/nature of B, and the purpose of C made them useful evidence about Nazi camps in occupied Europe. For example, 'B is useful for revealing resistance within the camps – the fact that the prisoner drew these events and hid the sketches tells us that prisoners were trying to document the conditions and events in the camp, such as the mass murders shown here with the prisoners being led off to the gas chambers.'

Candidates who performed less well on this question tended to use surface features of B, C and/or D to explain why they were useful evidence, e.g., 'Source D is useful because we learn there was a mass escape from Sobibor in October 1943. It involved every prisoner.' Alternatively, many candidates were still explaining that B, C and/or D were not useful due to what they didn't tell us (Level 1) or that because source C was propaganda, it was therefore not useful/not reliable, and this limited candidates to Level 3.

Exemplar 2

weput in telling me about Nati camps in
rope as it is a primary source by a camp
I miss likely depicts the true horrors. The
a group of trus being led to a building
woods which is probably a gas chamber
own knowledge I know that in 1943 mars
on of Leus took place with many in Europe
This implies that the Nazis were nethers
anount Jaw. There are women in the
emphasising how unsurgining and nuturess
n camps in occupied Europe elich as Poland.
L->
uteful in telling me about compc in
Europe as 4 is propaganda depicting these
film show camps as places of compact,
fun as they are having foot ball matches
hemselves comfortable which suggests that
nemberres comportable" which ruggests that
zere very hind and caring people who looked
people well. This is further eniphalised by the
people well. This is further eniphasised by the ilm "The führer Jeus" which partrays
people well. This is further emphasised by the ilm "The führer Jeus" which partrays erosic for giving a home to these seus even
people well. This is further eniphalised by the ilm "The fithrer Jens" which partrays expired a home to these seus even of this sounce is
people well. This is further eniphalised by the ilm "The führer Jens" which partrays erosic for giving a home to these seus even of are "Will. The purpose of this source is shown in neutral countries during the war
people well. This is further eniphasised by the im "The fisher Jew" which portrays erosic for giving a home to these seus even of are "ask". The purpose of this source is shown in neutral countries during the war if it is propaganda shaving the Natio in a
people well. This is further eniphalised by the ilm "The führer Jens" which partrays erosic for giving a home to these seus even of are "Will. The purpose of this source is shown in neutral countries during the war

Finally interpretation D is useful in telling rul about
camps in occupied Furnize as it shows that prisoner
wanted to escape tragether leaving no one behind to
face revenge by the SS". This suggests that the SS
were very violent and hostile posing serious danger to
the Jewish prisoners. This shows most Nazi camps in
occupied Europe were not late places to be as
propaganda may have shown but the conditions
were so himble - beatings, forced cabour, starvation -
were so himble - beatings, forced labour, starration - imprisoned tens that people wanted to escape. it was so
narible

Exemplar 2 shows how the candidate has started their response by making an unsupported inference from Source B by explaining how the source is useful as it shows us that the Jews were led to a 'building secluded in the woods.' At this point the candidate hasn't supported this with the content of Source B. For example, they could have stated that they knew the building was a gas chamber due to the chimneys which were visible in the sketch. However, the candidate then goes onto explain how we can tell that the camps were ruthless since within the 'group of Jews being led to the gas chamber' they can see 'women' about to be killed which reinforces the ruthless nature of the camps. At this point the candidate has made one supported inference and would achieve Level 4, 11 marks.

The candidate then goes onto make another inference from Source C, explaining that the source is useful for showing how the Nazis made propaganda to cover up the true reality of the camps. The candidate then turns this into a supported inference by using evidence from the content of Source C by stating that the women were 'making themselves comfortable.' At this point the candidate has made two supported inferences and would achieve Level 5, 15 marks.

The candidate also makes another inference from Interpretation D stating that the interpretation proves that the camps were run by violent and hostile SS members, they then use support from the interpretation by stating that 'no-one can be left behind to face the revenge of the SS'. The candidate now has three supported inferences within their response and has secured full marks.

Advice to centres

Stating the purpose of a source is not the same as making an inference from the purpose which candidates need to do to gain the higher marks. For example, the purpose of Source C is to persuade 'neutral countries' that the Nazis were treating the Jews well. However an inference from this is that the Nazis were clearly worried about international opinion hence them making this propaganda film.

9

Assessment for learning



Candidates should deal with each source/interpretation individually and not cross reference. They should aim to make an inference from the content of B, C and/or D and support this with evidence from the sources/interpretation. Candidates can also make inferences from the provenance of the sources to achieve the highest marks.

Question 3*

3* 'The lives of women and girls became worse as a result of Nazi policies.' How far do you agree with this view of Nazi Germany between 1933 and 1939?

[18]

This tended to be the most popular essay choice for this paper.

Candidates who performed well on this question were able to explain how policies such as the 'motherhood cross', 'marriage loan scheme' or 'lebensborn' made the lives of women and girls worse or better. Candidates were able to describe policies in specific detail, e.g., the type of cross awarded for four, six or eight children, but then made sure they explained how this improved the lives of women. Candidates who achieved the highest level for their response to this question explained four clear points in reference to the question and had an element of balance, for example three explained points to support the view that the lives of women and girls became worse and one explained point to dispute the view and claim life improved.

In several cases, candidates were unable to direct their knowledge at the specific question being asked about women. Candidates tried to compare the lives of women to other groups in society for example Jews or workers, which was not a requirement of the question and was not awarded any credit. Additionally, many candidates simply listed policies which were imposed on women, e.g., 'motherhood cross', 'marriage loan scheme' or 'lebensborn', but did not explain how these policies made the lives of women worse or better and this therefore limited these candidates to Level 2. Additionally, there were many candidates who identified or explained evidence that was not applicable to the time outlined in the essay question, for example the role of women during Total War and only 1 million women accepting the call to arms. Although accurate contextual knowledge was deployed, this could not be credited as the evidence lay outside of the time constraints in the question.

Weaker responses made very generalised comments relating to how women were always cooking and cleaning, these comments were not specific to Living Under Nazi Rule and therefore these responses were awarded Level 1.

Question 4*

4* 'The most serious threat to the Nazis came from the Churches.'
How far do you agree with this view of opposition to the Nazis in Germany between 1933 and 1939?

[18]

Candidates who performed well on this question were able to explain how the church was a threat and compare this to the threat posed by other groups within Nazi Germany for example, youths such as the Edelweiss Pirates and political opponents. Candidates were able to describe the threat of the group they had identified in specific detail.

Exemplar 3 achieves this; the candidate outlines how Niemoller established the 'Confessional Church' and how the 6,000 pastors he had recruited then influenced many members of German society proving that the church was a threat. The candidate has used specific contextual knowledge and explained this about the question and at this point in their response would achieve Level 3, 8 marks.

Candidates who achieved the highest level explained four clear points about the question and had an element of balance, for example three explained points to support the view that the church were the most serious threat to the Nazis and one explained point to dispute the view and claim it was an alternative group.

In a number of cases candidates simply listed groups who were a threat to the Nazis for example youths or political opponents or described the way these groups or the churches opposed the Nazis but did not explain how these policies made the group a serious threat to the Nazis. Additionally, there were many candidates who identified or explained evidence that was not applicable to the time period outlined in the essay question; for example, the opposition from the White Rose movement, Galen's speeches on Euthanasia and the Stauffenburg Plot. Although accurate contextual knowledge was deployed, this could not be credited as the evidence lay outside of the time constraints in the question.

Weaker responses made very generalised comments relating to how everyone in Germany was religious. These comments were not specific enough and so these responses were awarded Level 1.

Advice to centres

Candidates who have revised thoroughly and have a wide range of precise evidence to support their points will do best on these essay-style questions. It is also very important that candidates look at the dates in the question carefully to make sure their evidence is applicable, and that they are explicit in their responses about how the evidence they have presented supports or challenges the interpretation given.

Exemplar 3

4	I partially agree with this statement as there was
	significant tureat from the Church during this
	period. An example of this is Martin Neimöller who
	 was a protestant paster that refused to join the
	Nazi Reich Protestant Church Instead he made
	 his own confessional church which eventually
	had 6000 pastors while the Reich Church only had
<u></u>	2000 pasters. Neimöler preached against the
	 Nazis and encouraged resistance by getting people
	 to follow him. This chais that the church did pros a
	 serous threat to me Nazis as there were many
	Christian Germans who me church could inpuence.

Supporting you

Post-results services

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our post-results services. For full information about the options available visit the OCR website.

Keep up-to-date

We send a weekly roundup to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, sign up here.

OCR Professional Development

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. Most of our courses are delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our <u>website</u> or visit <u>OCR professional development</u>.

Signed up for ExamBuilder?

ExamBuilder is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals and Cambridge Technicals qualifications. Find out more.

ExamBuilder is **free for all OCR centres** with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an Interchange username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.

If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.

Active Results

Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. It is available for all GCSEs, AS and A Levels and Cambridge Nationals.

It allows you to:

- · review and run analysis reports on exam performance
- analyse results at question and/or topic level
- compare your centre with OCR national averages
- · identify trends across the centre
- · facilitate effective planning and delivery of courses
- · identify areas of the curriculum where students excel or struggle
- help pinpoint strengths and weaknesses of students and teaching departments.

Find out more.

Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on

01223 553998

Alternatively, you can email us on **support@ocr.org.uk**

For more information visit

- □ ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder
- ocr.org.uk
- **6** /ocrexams
- **y** /ocrexams
- display="block" company/ocr" [additional company/ocr"]
- /ocrexams

We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.





Please note – web links are correct at date of publication but other websites may change over time. If you have any problems with a link you may want to navigate to that organisation's website for a direct search.



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2022 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please contact us.

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

 $Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our \underline{\text{Expression of Interest form}}.$

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.