Qualification Accredited



A LEVEL

Examiners' report

DRAMA AND THEATRE

H459

For first teaching in 2016

H459/46 Summer 2024 series

Contents

Introduction	3
Paper 46 series overview	
Question 1	
Question 2	

Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. A selection of candidate answers is also provided. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report.

A full copy of the question paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Export to and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as...** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

Paper 46 series overview

Overall centres should be commended for their teaching methods and approaches to this component. Many candidates demonstrated an understanding of the text and how it could be interpreted for performance.

The single Assessment Objective to 'Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of how drama and theatre is developed and performed', is examined through how The Crucible is interpreted for performance to an audience by a director. The directorial approach is central to the response and therefore candidates must have an in depth understanding of the role of a director to be able to respond successfully to the question. An understanding of how an audience would interpret the directorial vision is also key to success.

The sole focus of this component is directorial. Examiners looked for any aspect of the director's function, including an overall concept of what a production would look like, the aim of conveying meaning and/or a message to an audience, staging, blocking, levels, proxemics, semiotics, set design, lighting, sound, effects, costume, props, stage furniture, genre, technology and contexts.

Successful candidates will have an in depth understanding of:

- · the role of a director
- theatre design
- · semiotics and how an audience would interpret a directorial vision.

Question 1 gives an extract, which candidates are asked to annotate. Question 2 relates to the whole play. It is therefore to be expected that the extract from Question 1 may be included in the vision for the play in Question 2. Each question is marked as a stand-alone, so there is no penalty for that repetition. However, as the scope of Question 1 and Question 2 is always different then the introduction should be directly related to the particular question.

In Question 1 some candidates write in extensive detail for the first part of the response but then this detail is missing from the annotations. Candidates should attempt to balance the two parts. The first part of the question should outline the vision, explain why and give an outline as to how this can be achieved. The annotations should then provide detailed examples of how this can be achieved.

Confident and excellent papers demonstrate how specific theatrical practices and processes mentioned in the first part are applied in practice in the annotations.

In response to Question 2 many candidates wrote about historical, cultural and political contexts around the time the play was written, social contexts about the central themes and issues, which enabled them to focus on the demands of the question. Many candidates introduced the extract vision for Question 1 and the whole play vision for Question 2 using the key words in the question to focus their responses. Some less successful responses described background and/or biographical information on the play, Arthur Miller, and naturalism without linking to the focus of the question. When this information was made relevant to addressing the question from the directorial viewpoint it was helpful and insightful.

Successful candidates will:

- focus the introduction on the specifics and key words of each question
- provide detailed annotations for Question 1.

For Question 1 many successful responses frequently referred to the question brief of working with actors to demonstrate the emotions and character dynamics. Strongest responses justified decisions with clear understanding of the context of the scene. Practitioner rehearsal techniques were referred to with a clear understanding shown of how a director works with actors to interpret a scene for an audience. Many responses with references to Millers's naturalistic style and the playwright's intentions achieved well.

Successful candidates will:

refer to how and why they would use specific practitioner techniques.

Some candidates had been prepared with generalised directorial responses, local settings and political interpretations before knowing the wording of the questions. While that formulaic or pre-prepared response is understandable, it can limit candidates' creativity and originality. Centres should not offer candidates ready-made directorial ideas, but help them work towards finding, exploring and presenting their own and practice presenting these alongside focused exam questions. How staging underpins a performance was generally well understood. Whether to use a proscenium arch, thrust, traverse or inthe-round layout was crucial to realising the vision.

For Question 2 many successful responses had clearly considered an in depth and relevant concept. Highly successful responses discussed how the staging of particular moments in the play can convey meaning to the audience as well as demonstrating a deep understanding of the playwright's intentions and the social, cultural and historical context of the original performance. Some successful responses referenced the relevance of staging choices and semiotics for today's modern audience in order to highlight how the themes and context of the play can be accessed. Some successful responses referenced a range of theatre companies and their core beliefs and practices and how they were relevant for their own interpretation and vision. Some highly successful responses referred to a variety of plays and productions that had inspired their own staging ideas. Some successful responses used diagrams to highlight their ideas, others used prose just as successfully.

Successful candidates will:

- have a creative theatrical concept that they can relate to the focus of the question
- have an in depth understanding of the work and methodologies of a range of practitioners.

The Crucible needed to be deconstructed, analysed, dismantled, exposed, explored, experimented with and interpreted before being shaped into a credible performance. This requirement led to some exciting, innovative and unusual ideas for production, locations and genres. While it is not expected that each one of the four strands in the level descriptors grid will be in evidence in equal measure in both responses, there were marks given for responses that recognised directing is a layered and usually collaborative process.

The specification makes clear that production process and theatrical practices should be part of the learning. For Question 1 many successful responses detailed the practitioner techniques they would use to work with actors, explaining what and why in specific moments. For example, Frantic Assembly, Stanislavski, Katie Mitchell, Brecht, Lecoq and Berkoff. Some successful responses described how using specific techniques would help the director and actors achieve the desired semiotics for an audience to engage with the extract.

For Question 2, some successful responses referred to productions they had seen and therefore inspired their own vision and choices, for example, Katie Mitchell's naturalistic style to deal with feminist themes and expressionistic design concepts from practitioners such as Edward Gordon Craig.

The handwriting of a small minority of candidates presented a challenge for examiners and it was noted that several opted to type their work, although most of the annotations needed in Question 1 were handwritten. Centres may wish to give thought in future sessions to advising candidates on handwriting or word processing.

Some candidates considered a contemporary audience and chose a different setting from the playwright. That is perfectly acceptable provided it is justified and examples from the text support proposed ideas. Many candidates referenced current social issues, for example, misogyny, patriarchy, the 'me too' movement and abuse of women and girls in the wider world.

This is not a play to be taken at face value as it is rich in layers of psychological complexity. The central theme of the supposed possession by the devil of young girls and the subsequent horrors that befell a community was understood by most candidates.

Candidates who did well on this paper generally:

- considered that the directorial purpose is to convey meaning to an audience
- frequently used examples from the play to support their discussion and ideas
- demonstrated learning from directorial and practitioner practices and processes, methods, techniques and applied them accurately
- commanded the language of drama and theatre knowledgeably and with understanding.

Candidates who did less well on this paper generally:

- wrote generalised comments such as 'I would use V effect' without justifying how and why
- gave a general commentary on directorial choices and did not focus the response for Question 1 on how they would direct the actors to show the character dynamics and explore emotions
- discussed text as a piece of literature rather than an explorative tool for performance
- demonstrated little knowledge and understanding of theatre practitioners.

Assessment for learning



- Try out a variety of staging options for a particular scene. Evaluate and discuss the differing actor and audience relationships and how intentions and meaning are changed in each option.
- Use techniques and ideas from a wide range of practitioners such as Brecht, Stanislavski, Boal, Artaud, Grotowski, Berkoff, Katie Mitchell and Punchdrunk to interpret a particular section. Evaluate the effect the different techniques have on communicating meaning.
- Direct your peers in particular sections and try them out in front of an audience. Evaluate the success of the techniques used.

OCR support



The OCR resources for this component and for The Crucible in particular are invaluable and can be found here on $\underline{\text{Teach Cambridge}}$

Resources



The Guardian article on The Crucible: 'the perfect play for our post-truth times'

Question 1

1 As a director, describe and justify your vision for directing the actors in this extract to create character dynamics and explore emotions. Annotate the script to show how you would do this.

[30]

This question is not in two distinct parts, the explanation and the annotations are marked holistically. Annotations should generally support and expand on the account of the vision described in the opening. The question asks for a description and a justification of the directorial vision. It requires specific focus on exploring emotions and character dynamics. The annotations should demonstrate how the vision would be brought to life on stage, from page to stage. If examiners felt as they read the response that they could visualise the extract on stage, that it worked, that it achieved the directorial vision and demonstrated the requisite emotions and character dynamics and that it was a series of credible ideas, then they gave marks for responses accordingly. How directors' creative and artistic choices influence how meaning is communicated should be demonstrated in this response. Some candidates drew lines across page from text to note. Some used annotations to mention influences from practitioners they'd studied. The explanation needed a justification of the vision, using evidence from the text to support their argument for their interpretation of character dynamics and ways of exploring emotions. The annotations should support and may add to their concept.

Exemplar 1

As a director, describe and justify your vision for directing the actors in this extract to create character dynamics and explore emotions. Annotate the script to show how you would do this.

my directorial vision for this extract is to show how institutionalised power imbalancer lead to injustice. The key themer that are addressed in this scene are religion, power, established, and misogyny as these are shown to be the prime reasons as to why corruption and The false accusations of innocent people have taken place in salem. This scene is important as it marks a point where some of those for people in positions of power, Danform and Hale, weather begin to realise that witchcraft may have not existed in salem and so are planning appear to canceal this idea and neep order. It is clear that character dynamics have shifted between coeffing the people of the court as strained emotions and fear are conveyed whilst the dynamic between these people and has present in Elizabeth has also changed, with their pare being determined by her ability to persuade Proctor to confess, highlighling a shift in power balances. In order to convey the tension and fear mat the court holds of losing power, I would direct me acrors to use earthors are tense body language and sport fast-paced sentences whereas Elizabeth would remain more relaxed due to her lower status, having already expect been annicipating her

1	α	sh	han	aina		WOL	11d	also	use	Viol	a s	poliv)
	St	aws	· tec	chni	ghe	. aiv	vina	diff	eren	r len	0/5	OF SI	romi
	+0	0	ich i	Chai	00.1	er l	ia	and	ev i	n Oxl	der	m	v.cs.g
••••••	es	nla	re f	111	cho	ìnai	ha	skat	W.	n Ora betwe ach	en.	Me	
••••••	Cl	w	cheis	h	roui	ahoi	ink #	tu i	ex bro	ack.	r. 9		
		XXXXX.	M.C			y.+	4.48		A. S. J. J	A.Y		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

3

Item removed due to third party copyright restrictions

Rarris should speak ar a fast pace with little pausing in order to convey his desperation to shift the blance onto others. The word 'Ablgail' should be emphasised with a louder volume to show that although Abigail has left salem, she is shill an easy person to lay the blance on due to her lower status as a woman, showing that she is shill being marginalised due to misogyny.

Danform parkays his fear of the court being over thrown differently by using a loud voice and pausing between each word to create emphasis. This will parkay his the rising tension between characters and his Denial in admitting that the court could be in my trouble.

Parris should turn to Danform an when speaking of God as to try to provoke guilt in Danform by using religion in order to convince him that the court is at rish. This shows the power that religion held in the Durihan solem community and how by using religion, injustices could be made.

Hathorne should use a nigh pitched voice and quich pace with frantic gesture in order to show his should be said at a lower volume, showing that he knows he will not turn over

Whilst proposing this plan, Parris should become lighter in body language, estage a remibiliting passionate an league resident tension. This would show a rising sense of hope that he wan't be found as Corrupt and hence that he only cares about himself and not for the many innocent people who will suffer as a tesult of this.

During this passage, I would argulate cream slowly elemen the hearing proxemics between the two characters as Danforth approaches Parris. This would create tension for the audience, showing the breakdown of their relationship as Danforth uses his power as head of others that decision

This like should show a staru contrast between Parris' open and confident body language from before as he uses closed body language and a lot of pausing within these lines to show that he is upser...

no larger rely on his high religious position as the Reverand that he used to as an advantage in order to allow the arrests of innocent people, showing a collapse of his power mar he relied on and hence his terror that he will meer the same

Fate as Those in lower power.

5

This like should be said with an anguished take and a loud volume to portray his anger that they no longer each have as much power over the people of salem that they previously did.

By using the stanislavsui rehears at technique of unik and objectives, the actor playing Danforth would see hire that his objective is no gain commol of the dwindling power in the court to be able to maintain control over the people of Salcon, as he knows he can due to their lesser stratus The words 'men' and 'heaven' should be emphasised, with panform pro gesturing with a firm point upwards towards the ship and also the to the other characters. This exposes the expectations that comphasises to the audience the idea that because they are male and are nighty religious, they have a lot Turn over .

A pause should be created after Herrich leaves with Danform and Hale avoiding eye contact with each other whilst fidgeling. This will convey to the audience the tension in their relationship that was previously strong as they worked together at the beginning of the play — Hale should show his exasperation at Danform in her, using big gesture and raising his volume throughout the line.

This like should be said with a high pirch with lots of movement in his body language. This would show the audience how ridiculous Hale finds banforth's questions to be in this month prediag. Simulvaneously, banforth should roll his eyes and then away, consering the communicating the idea that he doesn't believe Hale is traking the very serious situation of puer corruption being revealed seriously.

Elizabeth should state this like quicily after Danform has finished with a confident tone as to portray the idea that she is constantly in fear that they will that show he rivius nice again as they did when they falsely accused her, due to her lower power as a woman.

Hale should speak slowly and clearly, gynn pausing to gulp in between lines to pormay his nervous ness that Elizabeth will not do as he wants and hence his fear that she having lover stabil, will be able to over care him over

7

Hale should raise his voice at Elizabeth and approach her with open and strong body language in order to show his night power and dominance over her, wing this to his advantage. These lines should be said rushed in order to show Danform's desperation to convince Elizabeth. He should say the word woman with aisdain in order to emphasise the fact that she is shill of a much lower status that him and hence has to obey him

Elizabeth should hold a closed off body language with a lots of fension as she fears the power of these men and his a woman of lower status who has been convicted of being involved with the devil, she knows that she must ac as she is told as so this like should be said with a soft and quier tone of acceptance.

The introduction in Exemplar 1 outlines the directorial vision and the main themes the candidate wants to highlight through the character dynamics and emotions. The context of this point in the play is made relevant to the interpretation. Viola Spolin's status technique highlights how the director would work with actors to achieve the dynamics and emotions in relation to status in the scene. The annotations describe and justify the choices made by the director with reference to the emotions and dynamics between the characters. Stanislavski's rehearsal techniques and Lecoq's levels of tension help the candidate to explain their rehearsal process and the description of techniques are followed by justifications linking to the emotions and character dynamics he director aims to communicate to the audience. The question is discussed with knowledge and understanding of how creative choices convey meaning and how characters' dynamics are crucial in the extract. The annotations add a confident level of detail on the direction of the extract and show a sense of how the play can be interpreted. Level 4, 24 marks.

Question 2

As a director, explain and justify how you could stage a performance of *The Crucible* to highlight the historical, social and cultural context of the play. [30]

This asked for an explanation and a justification for demonstrating the historical, social and cultural context in staging a performance of The Crucible. Some candidates discussed the original performance context, some discussed the relevance of the themes and issues of the play for a modern day audience, some approached the question as a mixture of past and present. All of these approaches were absolutely acceptable. A wide range of staging styles were presented with a wide range of focus from set to costume to rehearsal techniques used with actors to stage specific moments. Some of the key issues and themes highlighted were religion, female oppression, power and abuse. If they were discussed through their staging ideas, then learning and cross fertilisation of ideas was well placed and could be given marks. In many cases candidates had been taught to focus on three or four scenes, which may include the given extract, to represent the whole play to answer Question 2. This is entirely acceptable. For success we needed an explanation of a vision to direct the whole play, a justification for the vision using text evidence to support their argument, how the whole play is to be staged and a justified view on historical, social, cultural and political context.

Exemplar 2

specifically use Key moments in display the e audience be import

the girls are doing is prohibited and mount to be Viewed as I were Tu Carlining poters of he rest of the c ranacters in The Play Mak atts to by other cost into the ai having her lited è andièrre, as "luly are in direct offosition

Through this I would show the girls own as rebellions and on opposing face to the stable nature of Salenis society, showing the audience that disrupting forces can easily emerge and twist themselves into being the norm - as can be seen in the Red Scare in the 1950s, at the time Miller work the Crueble

I would ensure that I use key manents from At throat eartest of the time and threen the troo opposing sides at Abigail grabbing her hair and dragging to Talore shouting the see an ver gitts scream and run ! the court can to Pu rough This mament, of emotion and the finel he manished need by 1 auger for Abigai shen Kroctor States I have known

21

© OCR 2024

would have him speak in a much softer and more Showing tractor as a broke instage went is oping on. d have it increase

until they are wrenched away from their line, Aspers, in a amona the those in the court, some falling to the ground and all of them screaming and crying and through this, I would aim to display the disturbing pature of hyderia, as it takes over and causes what would homally be considered ridiculous actions, to be taken as proof

In Act 4, I would aim to show the importance and Significance of reputation through the character of Troctor. To show the autience 1 name and reputation. I s my name! ! . It's have Proctor contre stage, with the others standing in a Circle around him, cousing as increase in oppress tension as all the character s' energy is d Ne, Slowly losing energy as he continues after nave aber you my Soz easity, Maintaining eye contact with I knowing his jour to show his strength of a ining through Purthermore, 1 Won 'namo! stressing ! e importance of Maintaing his reputation important in the Yurtan society in which

addition, after Etizabeth runs to John, I would have
him speak to her in a tender, yet firm tone, showing
his strength of character and peshence!
would Wen have him lift her over his head before
bringing her in fer a Kiss. Bu doing this, I would aim to show the audience that froctor (who I would have
stumble as he first enters the stage finds strength
in his reputation and in Etzabeth's praireness. Through
This, I would aim to show the ancherice how strength
is found in Knowing that your regulation is sofe and
in finding accourses within warself. While this is clearly applicable to the Solum witch trials in 1697,
I would also display it as relevant to the McCarthy
mids during the ked Scare in 1950s, as
reputation and be uson or lost in the court.
arerall, I would use a preshow, Act 3 and Act 4
to disolar the dangers of lanaticism and the
importance of reputation both in 1692 and in 1950s
to display the dangers of fanaticism and the importance of reputation both in 1692 and in 1950s. America I would whise tone of voice, positions on stage and reheared techniques to show the audience the
and reheared techniques to show the audience the
manipulation of the court system and of public opinion can and did tead to destruction and third
prince can and did lead to alstruction and hind

The strong introduction in Exemplar 2 outlines links to three historical contexts, the time the play was written, the time the play is set and modern day. Pre-show ideas directly link theatrical conventions of sound, space, movement, atmosphere and lighting to place the play in its historical setting as well as highlighting themes and intended messages to the audience. The Practitioner refence to Laban techniques is then justified by linking the theme of oppression and its relevance to the historical context of the Red Scare.

Proxemics and movement of actors on stage is discussed with reference to the cultural context focussing on the intended semiotics for the audience in specific moments. The directorial vision is explained further highlighting knowledge and understanding of the practitioner Artaud and the effectiveness of breathing techniques to communicate messages to the audience. A very detailed description of how the actor playing John Proctor would use a wide range of acting skills to highlight the theme of reputation in the historical context of the setting and the time in which the play was written.

The question is addressed with a justified production idea that demonstrates excellent understanding of contextual influences, how the play is structured and may be interpreted with the use of several theatrical practices. Level 5, 26 marks.

Supporting you

Teach Cambridge

Make sure you visit our secure website <u>Teach Cambridge</u> to find the full range of resources and support for the subjects you teach. This includes secure materials such as set assignments and exemplars, online and on-demand training.

Don't have access? If your school or college teaches any OCR qualifications, please contact your exams officer. You can <u>forward them this link</u> to help get you started.

Reviews of marking

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our post-results services. For full information about the options available visit the OCR website.

Access to Scripts

We've made it easier for Exams Officers to download copies of your candidates' completed papers or 'scripts'. Your centre can use these scripts to decide whether to request a review of marking and to support teaching and learning.

Our free, on-demand service, Access to Scripts is available via our single sign-on service, My Cambridge. Step-by-step instructions are on our website.

Keep up-to-date

We send a monthly bulletin to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, sign up here.

OCR Professional Development

Attend one of our popular professional development courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. Most of our courses are delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.

Please find details for all our courses for your subject on **Teach Cambridge**. You'll also find links to our online courses on NEA marking and support.

Signed up for ExamBuilder?

ExamBuilder is a free test-building platform, providing unlimited users exclusively for staff at OCR centres with an **Interchange** account.

Choose from a large bank of questions to build personalised tests and custom mark schemes, with the option to add custom cover pages to simulate real examinations. You can also edit and download complete past papers.

Find out more.

Active Results

Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. It is available for all GCSEs, AS and A Levels and Cambridge Nationals (examined units only).

Find out more.

You will need an Interchange account to access our digital products. If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.

Online courses

Enhance your skills and confidence in internal assessment

What are our online courses?

Our online courses are self-paced eLearning courses designed to help you deliver, mark and administer internal assessment for our qualifications. They are suitable for both new and experienced teachers who want to refresh their knowledge and practice.

Why should you use our online courses?

With these online courses you will:

- learn about the key principles and processes of internal assessment and standardisation
- gain a deeper understanding of the marking criteria and how to apply them consistently and accurately
- see examples of student work with commentary and feedback from OCR moderators
- have the opportunity to practise marking and compare your judgements with those of OCR moderators
- receive instant feedback and guidance on your marking and standardisation skills
- be able to track your progress and achievements through the courses.

How can you access our online courses?

Access courses from <u>Teach Cambridge</u>. Teach Cambridge is our secure teacher website, where you'll find all teacher support for your subject.

If you already have a Teach Cambridge account, you'll find available courses for your subject under Assessment - NEA/Coursework - Online courses. Click on the blue arrow to start the course.

If you don't have a Teach Cambridge account yet, ask your exams officer to set you up – just send them this <u>link</u> and ask them to add you as a Teacher.

Access the courses **anytime**, **anywhere and at your own pace**. You can also revisit the courses as many times as you need.

Which courses are available?

There are **two types** of online course: an **introductory module** and **subject-specific** courses.

The introductory module, Building your Confidence in Internal Assessment, is designed for all teachers who are involved in internal assessment for our qualifications. It covers the following topics:

- · the purpose and benefits of internal assessment
- the roles and responsibilities of teachers, assessors, internal verifiers and moderators
- the principles and methods of standardisation
- the best practices for collecting, storing and submitting evidence
- the common issues and challenges in internal assessment and how to avoid them.

The subject-specific courses are tailored for each qualification that has non-exam assessment (NEA) units, except for AS Level and Entry Level. They cover the following topics:

- the structure and content of the NEA units
- the assessment objectives and marking criteria for the NEA units
- examples of student work with commentary and feedback for the NEA units
- interactive marking practice and feedback for the NEA units.

We are also developing courses for some of the examined units, which will be available soon.

How can you get support and feedback?

If you have any queries, please contact our Customer Support Centre on 01223 553998 or email support@ocr.org.uk.

We welcome your feedback and suggestions on how to improve the online courses and make them more useful and relevant for you. You can share your views by completing the evaluation form at the end of each course.

Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on

01223 553998

Alternatively, you can email us on **support@ocr.org.uk**

For more information visit

- □ ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder
- ocr.org.uk
- facebook.com/ocrexams
- **y** twitter.com/ocrexams
- instagram.com/ocrexaminations
- inkedin.com/company/ocr
- youtube.com/ocrexams

We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.





Please note – web links are correct at date of publication but other websites may change over time. If you have any problems with a link you may want to navigate to that organisation's website for a direct search.



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2024 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please contact us.

You can copy and distribute this resource in your centre, in line with any specific restrictions detailed in the resource. Resources intended for teacher use should not be shared with students. Resources should not be published on social media platforms or other websites.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A $\,$

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our Expression of Interest form.

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.