

GCE

History A

Y315/01: Thematic study and historical interpretations: The changing nature of warfare 1792-1945

A Level

Mark Scheme for June 2024

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

© OCR 2024

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

PREPARATION FOR MARKING RM ASSESSOR

- Make sure that you have accessed and completed the relevant training packages for on-screen marking: RM Assessor Assessor Online Training;
 OCR Essential Guide to Marking.
- 2. Make sure that you have read and understood the mark scheme and the question paper for this unit. These are posted on the RM Cambridge Assessment Support Portal http://www.rm.com/support/ca
- 3. Log-in to RM Assessor and mark the **required number** of practice responses ("scripts") and the **number of required** standardisation responses.

YOU MUST MARK 5 PRACTICE AND 6 STANDARDISATION RESPONSES BEFORE YOU CAN BE APPROVED TO MARK LIVE SCRIPTS.

MARKING

- 1. Mark strictly to the mark scheme.
- 2. Marks awarded must relate directly to the marking criteria.
- 3. The schedule of dates is very important. It is essential that you meet the RM Assessor 50% and 100% (traditional 40% Batch 1 and 100% Batch 2) deadlines. If you experience problems, you must contact your Team Leader (Supervisor) without delay.
- 4. If you are in any doubt about applying the mark scheme, consult your Team Leader by telephone or the RM Assessor messaging system, or by email.

5. Crossed Out Responses

Where a candidate has crossed out a response and provided a clear alternative then the crossed out response is not marked. Where no alternative response has been provided, examiners may give candidates the benefit of the doubt and mark the crossed out response where legible.

Rubric Error Responses - Optional Questions

Where candidates have a choice of question across a whole paper or a whole section and have provided more answers than required, then all responses are marked and the highest mark allowable within the rubric is given. Enter a mark for each question answered into RM assessor, which will select the highest mark from those awarded. (The underlying assumption is that the candidate has penalised themselves by attempting more questions than necessary in the time allowed.)

Multiple Choice Question Responses

When a multiple choice question has only a single, correct response and a candidate provides two responses (even if one of these responses is correct), then no mark should be awarded (as it is not possible to determine which was the first response selected by the candidate).

When a question requires candidates to select more than one option/multiple options, then local marking arrangements need to ensure consistency of approach.

Contradictory Responses

When a candidate provides contradictory responses, then no mark should be awarded, even if one of the answers is correct.

Short Answer Questions (requiring only a list by way of a response, usually worth only one mark per response)

Where candidates are required to provide a set number of short answer responses then only the set number of responses should be marked. The response space should be marked from left to right on each line and then line by line until the required number of responses have been considered. The remaining responses should not then be marked. Examiners will have to apply judgement as to whether a 'second response' on a line is a development of the 'first response', rather than a separate, discrete response. (The underlying assumption is that the candidate is attempting to hedge their bets and therefore getting undue benefit rather than engaging with the question and giving the most relevant/correct responses.)

Short Answer Questions (requiring a more developed response, worth **two or more marks**)

If the candidates are required to provide a description of, say, three items or factors and four items or factors are provided, then mark on a similar basis – that is downwards (as it is unlikely in this situation that a candidate will provide more than one response in each section of the response space.)

Longer Answer Questions (requiring a developed response)

Where candidates have provided two (or more) responses to a medium or high tariff question which only required a single (developed) response and not crossed out the first response, then only the first response should be marked. Examiners will need to apply professional judgement as to whether the second (or a subsequent) response is a 'new start' or simply a poorly expressed continuation of the first response.

6. Always check the pages (and additional objects if present) at the end of the response in case any answers have been continued there. If the candidate has continued an answer there, then add a tick to confirm that the work has been seen.

- 7. Award No Response (NR) if:
 - there is nothing written in the answer space

Award Zero '0' if:

anything is written in the answer space and is not worthy of credit (this includes text and symbols).

Team Leaders must confirm the correct use of the NR button with their markers before live marking commences and should check this when reviewing scripts.

- 8. The RM Assessor **comments box** is used by your team leader to explain the marking of the practice responses. Please refer to these comments when checking your practice responses. **Do not use the comments box for any other reason.**If you have any questions or comments for your team leader, use the phone, the RM Assessor messaging system, or e-mail.
- 9. Assistant Examiners will send a brief report on the performance of candidates to their Team Leader (Supervisor) via email by the end of the marking period. The report should contain notes on particular strengths displayed as well as common errors or weaknesses. Constructive criticism of the question paper/mark scheme is also appreciated.
- 10. For answers marked by levels of response:
 - a. To determine the level start at the highest level and work down until you reach the level that matches the answer
 - b. To determine the mark within the level, consider the following

Descriptor	Award mark
On the borderline of this level and the one below	At bottom of level
Just enough achievement on balance for this level	Above bottom and either below middle or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
Meets the criteria but with some slight	Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks
inconsistency	available)
Consistently meets the criteria for this level	At top of level

11. Annotations

Annotation	Meaning		
BP	Blank Page		
	Highlight		
Off-page comment			
A	Assertion		
AN	Analysis		
EVAL	Evaluation		
EXP	Explanation		
F	Factor		
ILL	Illustrates/Describes		
IRRL	Irrelevant, a significant amount of material that does not answer the question		
J	Judgement		
KU	Knowledge and understanding		
SC	Simple comment		
3	Unclear		
V	View		

Annotation	Meaning	
5	Synthesis	
Е	Continuity/Change	

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
1 Question	Evaluate the Interpretations in both of the two passages. Explain which you think is more convincing as an explanation of Confederate generalship during the American Civil War. In locating the Interpretations within the wider historical debate, answers might suggest that Interpretation A argues that Confederate generalship was weak • In evaluating Interpretation A, answers might argue that the overall Western commander, Albert Johnston, allowed Federal forces to break through the Tennessee and Cumberland river defences • Answers might argue that Beauregard's plans were not based on reality • Answers might argue that Bragg quarrelled with everyone • Answers might argue that Joe Johnston refused to take chances • Answers might argue that Hood was responsible for a large number of defeats in 1864 • Answers might argue that Confederate commanders were too offensive-minded when they simply needed to defend the South	Mark 30	The indicative content lists features of the period studied that relate to the question set. Neither significance nor relative importance are attributed to the features listed. The indicative content is intended to reflect the knowledge and understanding a candidate is likely to analyse and evaluate in order to arrive at a judgement in line with the question set. No set answer is expected. At Level 5 and above answers will evaluate both interpretations, locating them within the wider historical debate about the issue and using their own knowledge, and reach a balanced judgement as to which they consider the most convincing about the issue in the question. To be valid, judgements must be supported by relevant and accurate material. Knowledge must not be credited in isolation, it should only be credited where it is used to analyse and evaluate the interpretations, in line with descriptions in the levels mark scheme.
	 Answers might consider that President Jefferson Davis contributed to this weakness by 		

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
	failing to manage the inter-relationships of his generals		
	In locating the Interpretations within the wider historical debate, answers might suggest that Interpretation B argues Confederate generalship, particularly Lee, was strong		
	 In evaluating Interpretation B, answers might argue that Lee was outnumbered in every battle and campaign, but still won victories Answers might argue that without Lee the South would have been defeated earlier Answers might argue that Lee prevented the Union taking Richmond Answers might argue that Lee showed skill at the Second Battle of Bull Run Answers might argue that attacks into Northern territory in 1863 distracted the North from its attacks Answers might argue that Lee showed considerable skill around Chancellorsville in 1863 Answers might consider the leadership of 'Stonewall' Jackson, J. E. B. Stuart or Nathan Forrest 		

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
2*	'The concept of Total War can only be used to describe twentieth century wars.' How far do you agree with this view of the period from 1792 to 1945? Answers might consider some of the following themes: manpower, economic resources, civilian involvement, mass production, use of the press	25	The indicative content lists features of the period studied that relate to the question set. Both features that support the hypothesis and features that challenge the hypothesis are detailed. Neither significance nor relative importance are attributed to the features listed. The indicative content is intended to reflect the knowledge and understanding a candidate is likely to analyse and evaluate in order to arrive at a judgement in line with the question set.
	 In supporting the hypothesis in the question: It might be argued that conscription in two World Wars showed the mobilisation of the state It might be argued that legislation such as DORA was passed It might be argued that civilians became targets, particularly through bombing It might be argued that economies were mobilised for war It might be argued that there was rationing to ensure supplies for the front It might be argued that women were used to produce armaments and actually fought in the Great Patriotic War It might be argued that film was used to foster morale In challenging the hypothesis in the question: It might be argued that scorched earth policies were pursued in the nineteenth century 		 No set answer is expected. At higher levels answers might establish criteria against which to judge the validity of the interpretation. To be valid, judgements must be supported by relevant and accurate material. Knowledge must not be credited in isolation. It should only be credited where it is used as the basis for analysis and evaluation, in line with descriptions in the levels mark scheme.

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
	 It might be argued that France mobilised its economy in the Revolutionary Wars It might be argued that the levée en masse showed how manpower could be mobilised It might be argued that guerrilla warfare in the nineteenth century resulted in civilians being killed It might be argued that in France, during the revolutionary wars, the state controlled prices It might be argued that armies, such as the French, lived off the land 		

	Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
3*		'Throughout the period from 1792 to 1945 military plans had little impact on the outcome of wars.' How far do you agree? Answers might consider some of the following themes: Use of railways, size of armies, use of telegraph, integration of new technology, war by timetable	25	The indicative content lists features of the period studied that relate to the question set. Both features that support the hypothesis and features that challenge the hypothesis are detailed. Neither significance nor relative importance are attributed to the features listed. The indicative content is intended to reflect the knowledge and understanding a candidate is likely to analyse and evaluate in order to arrive at a judgement in line with the question set.
		 In supporting the hypothesis: It might be argued that in the first half of the period there were few plans and that it was only with industrial and technological developments that they became needed 		 No set answer is expected. At higher levels answers might establish criteria against which to judge the validity of the interpretation To be valid, judgements must be supported by relevant and accurate material. Knowledge must not be credited in isolation. It should only be credited where it is used as the

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
	 It might be argued Napoleon's attack on Moscow was a failure and led to his ultimate defeat It might be argued that the Schlieffen Plan failed It might be argued that Blitzkrieg failed where large states were attacked, as with Russia It might be argued that the French Plan B17 failed It might be argued the Russian Plan 19 failed It might be argued that the Japanese Plan to defeat the USA with the attack on Pearl Harbor failed 		basis for analysis and evaluation, in line with descriptions in the levels mark scheme.
	 In challenging the hypothesis It might be argued that armies drew up plans based on railways, as happened in the Wars of Unification and the American Civil War It might be argued that plans allowed rapid mobilisation It might be argued that the Anaconda Plan was a success It might be argued that Blitzkrieg was an initial success It might be argued that Island Hopping in the Pacific was a success It might be argued that D-Day was a success 		

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
4*	'The mobilisation of the economy for war was more important in the period from 1866 to 1945 than in the period from 1792 to 1866.' How far do you agree? Answers might consider some of the following themes: weaponry and technology, transport, finance, size of the armies	25	The indicative content lists features of the period studied that relate to the question set. Both features that support the hypothesis and features that challenge the hypothesis are detailed. Neither significance nor relative importance are attributed to the features listed. The indicative content is intended to reflect the knowledge and understanding a candidate is likely to analyse and evaluate in order to arrive at a judgement in line with the question set.
	 In supporting the hypothesis: Answers might consider that early wars saw little technological development of weapons Answers might consider states that could produce greater changes in weaponry and transport were at an advantage after 1840 Answers might consider the importance of the Prussian and German economic development during the Wars of Unification Answers might consider that the success of Garibaldi in the south did not depend on economic development Answers might consider the development of British and German navies in the period before 1914 Answers might consider the importance of economic mobilisation in wars of attrition Answers might consider the co-ordination of the economy through Ministers Answers might consider the importance of mobilising the US economy for two World Wars 		 No set answer is expected At higher levels answers might establish criteria against which to judge the validity of the interpretation. To be valid, judgements must be supported by relevant and accurate material. Knowledge must not be credited in isolation. It should only be credited where it is used as the basis for analysis and evaluation, in line with descriptions in the levels mark scheme.

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
	 In challenging the hypothesis Answers might consider Napoleon waged economic warfare Answers might consider how Britain used its economy to fund the Napoleonic Wars Answers might consider that the success of the North in the American Civil War was heavily dependent on its economic superiority Answers might consider the success of British forces in the Crimean War against an undeveloped Russian economy Answers might consider that economic activity allowed states to maintain larger armies in the earlier period 		

APPENDIX 1 - this contains a generic mark scheme grid

	AO3: Analyse and evaluate, in relation to the historical context, different ways in which aspects of the past have been interpreted.
	Generic mark scheme for Section A, Question 1: Interpretation [30]
Level 6 26–30 marks	The answer has a very good focus on the question throughout. It has thorough and sustained evaluation of the interpretations, using detailed and accurate knowledge of the historical context and the wider historical debate around the issue, in order to produce a convincing and supported analysis of them in relation to the question.
Level 5 21–25 marks	The answer has a good focus on the question throughout. It has good evaluation of the interpretations, using relevant knowledge of the historical context and the wider historical debate around the issue, in order to produce a supported analysis of them in relation to the question.
Level 4 16–20 marks	The answer is mostly focused on the question. It has evaluation of the interpretations based on generally relevant knowledge of the historical context and the wider historical debate around the issue, in order to produce an analysis of them in relation to the question.
Level 3 11–15 marks	The answer is partially focused on the question. It has partial evaluation of the interpretations based on some knowledge of the historical context and the wider historical debate around the issue. There may be some use of information from one of the two interpretations to support the evaluation of the other, but the evaluation will not rely on this. There is a limited analysis of the interpretations in relation to the question.
Level 2 6-10 marks	The answer has a limited focus on the question. Parts of the answer are just description of the interpretations, with evaluation in relation to historical context and the wider historical debate around the issue being weak, and evaluation relying heavily on information drawn from the other interpretation. There is a very limited analysis of the interpretations in relation to the question.
Level 1 1–5 marks	The answer has some relevance to the topic, but not the specific question. The answer consists mostly of description of the interpretations with very limited evaluation based on very generalised knowledge of historical context and minimal or no reference to the wider historical debate. Analysis of the interpretations in relation to the question is either in the form of assertion or lacking.
0 marks	No evidence of understanding and no demonstration of any relevant knowledge.

	AO1: Demonstrate, organise and communicate knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the key features related to the periods studied, making substantiated judgements and exploring concepts, as relevant, of cause, consequence, change, continuity, similarity, difference and significance.
	Generic mark scheme for Section B, Questions 2, 3 and 4: Essay [25]
Level 6 21–25 marks	The answer has a very good focus on the question. Detailed and accurate knowledge and understanding is used to analyse and evaluate key features of the period studied in order to reach a fully developed synthesis supporting a convincing and substantiated judgement. There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent and logically structured. The information presented is entirely relevant and substantiated.
Level 5 17–20 marks	The answer has a good focus on the question. Generally accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding is used to analyse and evaluate key features of the period studied in order to reach a developed synthesis supporting a substantiated judgement. There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and in the most part substantiated.
Level 4 13–16 marks	The answer is mostly focused on the question. Relevant knowledge and understanding is used to analyse and evaluate key features of the period studied in order to reach a synthesis supporting a reasonable judgement. There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.
Level 3 9–12 marks	The answer has a partial focus on the question. Some relevant knowledge and understanding is used to analyse and explain key features of the period studied in order to attempt an undeveloped synthesis, which is linked to a judgement, though the supporting explanation may lack detail and clarity. The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence.
Level 2 5–8 marks	The answer has only a limited focus on the question. Limited relevant knowledge and understanding is used to give a limited explanation and analysis of key features of the period studied. There is a judgement but this may not be clearly linked with the supporting explanation. The information has some relevance, but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.
Level 1 1–4 marks	The answer has a limited focus on the topic, but not the specific question. The answer is largely descriptive, with only very generalised knowledge of the period studied being used to attempt basic explanation and very limited analysis. Judgements are unsupported and are not linked to analysis. Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.
0 marks	The answer contains no relevant information.

Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on

01223 553998

Alternatively, you can email us on

support@ocr.org.uk

For more information visit

ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder

ocr.org.uk

Twitter/ocrexams

/ocrexams

/company/ocr

/ocrexams



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2024 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA.

Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up-to-date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please contact us.

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our <u>Expression of Interest form</u>.

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.