

**ENTRY LEVEL**

Moderators' report

**SCIENCE**

**R483**

For first teaching in 2016

**R483/01/02 Summer 2024 series**

# Contents

Introduction .....3

    Online courses.....3

General overview .....4

    Most common causes of centres not passing.....6

    Common misconceptions.....6

    Avoiding potential malpractice.....6

    Helpful resources.....6

    Additional comments.....7

    Moderation procedure.....8

    Administration.....8

    Common errors.....8

    End-of-Item Tests .....9

    Can-do Tasks .....9

    Practical Tasks .....10

## Introduction

Our moderators' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report.

## Online courses

We have created online courses to build your confidence in delivering, marking and administering internal assessment for our qualifications. Courses are available for Cambridge Nationals, GCSE, A Level and Cambridge Technicals (2016).

### Cambridge Nationals

All teachers delivering our redeveloped Cambridge Nationals suite from September 2022 are asked to complete the Essentials for the NEA course, which describes how to guide and support your students. You'll receive a certificate which you should retain.

Following this you can also complete a subject-specific Focus on Internal Assessment course for your individual Cambridge Nationals qualification, covering marking and delivery.

### GCSE, A Level and Cambridge Technicals (2016)

We recommend all teachers complete the introductory module Building your Confidence in Internal Assessment, which covers key internal assessment and standardisation principles.

Following this you will find a subject-specific course for your individual qualification, covering marking criteria with examples and commentary, along with interactive marking practice.

### Accessing our online courses

You can access all our online courses from our teacher support website [Teach Cambridge](#).

You will find links relevant to your subject under Assessment, NEA/Coursework and then Online Courses from the left hand menu on your Subject page.

If you have any queries, please contact our Customer Support Centre on 01223 553998 or email [support@ocr.org.uk](mailto:support@ocr.org.uk).

### Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on **File > Export to** and select **Microsoft Word**

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as . . .** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

## General overview

Entry Level Science R483 is a course designed to provide candidates with realistic targets encouraging them to develop science skills. This will help some candidates to progress to GCSE Sciences.

It is assessed in three elements; Element 1: End-of-Item Tests, Element 2: Can-do Tasks and Element 3: Practical Task.

The End-of-Item Tests consist of 36 short tests each being marked out of 15, with the marks being converted to points. Each test carries a maximum of two points and so the maximum total is 72 points.

The Can-do Tasks are 16 tasks included in the specification. Each task is worth  $\frac{1}{2}$  point so the maximum is eight points for this element.

The Practical Task may be one suggested by the teacher, one suggest by OCR or candidates can devise their own. This task should help the candidates to suggest a response to a scientific query relevant to the specification. The task is marked with relation to five performance descriptors, each carrying a maximum of four marks. The maximum total for this element is 20 points. The most important feature is choosing a task that allows all the aspects to be assessed. For example, Aspect C is finding a trend or pattern, and this is possible if five or more readings are taken for a task in which dependent and independent variables are continuous.

### Overall summary on the Can-do Tasks

Each Can-do Task should have a date added to the Candidate Record Card to indicate when it was achieved. A blanket date is not appropriate.

### Overall summary on the End-of-Item Tests

Centres should be aware that there are multiple versions of each End-of-Item Test. Centres should now only use versions three or four, or a mixture of these two versions. These can be downloaded from Interchange. Earlier versions will not be considered for certification in Summer 2025.

When tests are marked by the teacher in the centre, they should follow the published mark scheme. However, if the writing is not clear, the teacher can ask the candidate to explain what they have written, and then the teacher can act as scribe. In the marking of the tests, the teacher should indicate that this is what occurred. If a response is deemed correct but is different from that on the mark scheme, the teacher can mark this correct, record this on the mark scheme used by the centre and bring to the attention of the moderator that this is what has occurred.

### Overall summary on the Practical Tasks

The Practical Task should be one that enables the candidate to be given marks on each of five aspects. The task can be suggested by the teacher, by OCR, or devised by the candidate themselves, as appropriate to the specification. The important fact is that it should not be a discrete variable that is chosen. It is not a good idea just to test liquids for their pH, or to collect eye colours.

Many centres used a practical centred around the effect of adding different masses to springs and recording the extension, which allowed the candidates to clearly discuss a well-established trend. However, simple candidate statements that 'adding more mass leads to a greater length' were not sufficient to fully identify the trend. Candidates should be encouraged to include and discuss the full range of their results and include the use of multiple masses.

Another common task was related to crater size. If different size meteors (balls of plasticine) were dropped on to trays of sand, then a trend could be found (if a minimum of five different sizes were chosen) to be able to state that 'the larger the meteor the bigger the crater'. This was also amended to relate the height at which a meteor falls to the size of the crater and this is fine if a suitable range of heights is used.

Some centres used practicals that investigated the effect of temperature on different rates of reaction, including the effect of acid on metals and the dissolving of indigestion tablets. Rate of reaction practicals lend themselves very well to the specification requirements, as controlling and varying temperature can often be carried out using relatively simple pieces of equipment. It also lends itself to discussions of other control variables when evaluating the trends seen. As before, multiple temperatures should be included.

Repeating a practical a number of times is always best practice but is not always necessary when trying to identify trends and patterns. General advice in this area would be to include at least five different measurements, for example, five different temperatures, five different heights and five different masses.

#### Overall summary

The grade thresholds set for this series is as follows:

Grade Threshold	Reasons
75	They would have about 15 End-of-Item Tests (EiTs) each with two points, at least six points from the Can-do Tasks and at least three 4s from their Practical Task. Approximately 6, 15 (4,4,4,2,1), 54 (15x2.0 + 6x1.5 + 15x1)
50	These candidates would be expected to gain 1.5 points for each of the EiTs and some with two points. They might score 4 marks on their Can-do Tasks. They would also have carried out a Practical Task and gained over half marks. 4, 12 (4,3,3,2,0), 34 (12 x 2.0 + 4 x 1.5 + 4 x 1)
25	These candidates rarely score well on their Practical Task with their planning aspect unsuccessful. In their EiTs they may not attempt a lot of them and only then reach one point level. They may only get 2 marks from their Can-do Tasks. These are usually candidates who do not complete all components. 2, 7 (2,3,2,0,0), 16 (4 x 1.5, 8 x 1.0 + 4 x 0.5)

## Most common causes of centres not passing

All three elements need to be completed.

The EiTs carry the most weight of 72%. Centres cannot enter candidates for a test more than once.

The Can-do Tasks only carry 8% but sometimes these are ignored, or they have not been dated and recorded accurately.

The Practical Task must be appropriate so that all the aspects can be given their relevant marks according to the descriptors and only one practical can be included within the candidate's portfolio.

## Common misconceptions

The EiTs have a rigid mark scheme. If a teacher finds a correct response that is not included in the mark scheme, then they should mark it correctly and add it to their mark scheme and mark the other candidates accordingly. When submitting the tests, they should include a note for the moderators explaining their action.

If the responses written by the candidates are indecipherable, it is perfectly acceptable to ask the candidates to explain what they have written. In these circumstances, the teacher can write it in their words and mark it with BOD (benefit of doubt).

A single date against the Can-do Tasks covering all the tasks is not satisfactory. Each Can-do Task should be dated and recorded. Can-do Tasks can be marked off whilst candidates carry out the Practical task, where appropriate.

Practical tasks such as measuring the pH of solutions, or collecting eye colour, or dissolving an indigestion tablet in hot or cold water are not suitable for allowing candidates to be given marks in all aspects. Two or three measurements of a discrete variable are not appropriate.

## Avoiding potential malpractice

EiTs are only available on Teach Cambridge in two versions; versions one and two have been removed. A combination of different versions can be submitted but these should now be limited to version three and four. Candidates should not be allowed to complete different versions of the same test, for example version three and four of Biology End-of-Init test one. In the Practical Task it is possible for candidates to collect and collate results, but they should produce their own conclusions. Any scaffolding supplied for the Practical Task should support the candidate in achieving a high mark, for example, blank graph axes for them to annotate individually but pre-printed method steps that the candidate is asked to sort into the correct order would not allow the student to demonstrate their planning skills appropriately.

## Helpful resources

This report offers feedback on different aspects of your submission for R483 and can be found on the [Teach Cambridge webpage](#) each September following the publication of results.

Teaching packs for each unit, which include worksheets and suggested teaching activities, can be found on [Teach Cambridge](#), under Biology, Chemistry and Physics teaching activities.

[Suggested Practical tasks](#) and support worksheets to use with candidates can be found under NEA on Teach Cambridge.

## Additional comments

A list of what is required in 2024 from a centre is:

1. a photocopy of the Candidate Record Card (CRC) for each of the candidates in the sample selected, correctly totalled for all the three components and with the final overall points total rounded down and transferred to the cover sheet. This must be checked carefully and the use of the electronic interactive Candidate Record Card is recommended where possible to avoid any possible errors.
2. ALL marked EITs for the requested candidates arranged in test order.
3. one Practical Task representing each requested candidate's best total mark with the completed cover sheet attached to the front.
4. the final mark should be rounded down and transferred to OCR Interchange.

It would be useful to the moderator if the following pieces of information were also supplied:

1. a headed piece of paper with the name of the teacher responsible and preferably including an email address as well as a telephone number and centre address.
2. a letter stating how standardisation was carried out in the centre and this may be included on the headed paper. This is especially useful if there is more than one teacher involved or more than one set of candidates.
3. it is best if the work of a single candidate is held together by a single treasury tag or in a folder.

After moderation, all the work will be returned to the centre except for the work of candidates that is retained for use by OCR in Awarding, Archiving or Training.

When the results are sent to centres in August, they will also receive:

1. a copy of the Moderator's Report and
2. any adjustments made to the points totals.

The Entry Level Science course continues to be very successful. This must be due to the popularity of the course with both teachers and candidates. The possibility of using the course as a pre-requisite to other OCR GCSE Sciences has also not escaped the notice of centres that double enter candidates for Entry Level Science and a GCSE Science.

## Moderation procedure

Internal moderation should take place in centres before submission to the moderator. A piece of headed note paper should contain information to say how this internal moderation has occurred even if it states that there is only one teacher and the marks are checked by the Head of Department and preferably the email address of the teacher responsible. Any change to the mark scheme should be recorded.

## Administration

When EiTs are downloaded from the OCR Interchange some centres do not print double-sided. When candidates can take 36 EiTs and each one is single sided this results in a very large package and a waste of paper and increases the cost of postage. Part of the internal moderation within a centre should be checking that marks have been correctly added and transferred to the candidate record cards. These should be used as working documents throughout the course. Filling these in at the last minute can lead to errors. Many of these errors and omissions remain similar to those noted over previous years but annotation has become more important and much more useful for moderators.

## Common errors

- The centres must send all the EiTs as well as the Practical Task.
- Not enclosing a covering letter with the sample giving the name of the contact teacher or not saying in the covering letter how internal standardisation was carried out (if the course is taught by one teacher, then the letter should simply say this).
- Not putting candidate names or candidate numbers on tests or assessed work which causes serious problems over identification of work.
- Submitting EiTs for moderation that have not been entered on a Candidate's Record Card or have not been marked.
- The electronic version of the Candidate Record Card has a box on the front cover in which the number of EiTs is included.
- Incorrect totalling of points for EiTs on page 4 of the record card.
- Rounding-up the Final Total of End-of-Item Test marks and/or Final Total of Can-do Tasks to whole numbers rather than to one decimal place.
- Not submitting practical tasks.
- Not rounding-down the final mark.
- Not putting forward the Practical Task as a question or using discrete variables. It is difficult to award marks under Aspect C if there is not an identifiable trend or pattern.
- The EiTs must be marked in red.
- **Allowing a candidate to take a test more than once. Only the original mark from the first undertaking of the test will be counted.**

## End-of-Item Tests

### Subject Update – EITs

Versions 1 and 2 of the EITs can no longer be used for the June 2025 series onwards. Please see our [subject update](#) for more information.

#### **It is most useful if the EITs are in numerical order.**

Tests cannot be taken more than once by a candidate.

Moderators select and remark a sample of seven EITs per candidate chosen from the whole range of tests attempted by the centre, so that a balanced overview of the centre's marking is obtained.

Most centres had marked the EITs following the published mark schemes and had marked in accordance with the instructions on the front cover of the schemes. Centres are to be thanked for the care that they put into this part of the assessment.

The '1 tick-equals-1-mark' point still has to be made as quite a few teachers continue to circle the mark allocation. In a question that has a True/False answer then if the candidate writes something different, but it is clear what was meant then the mark can be given. An example is in the test that required a missing word from a list above, the candidate drew a line from the circled word to the sentence. The mark can be given, and BOD written alongside in annotation.

#### Errors that did occur with the assessment of the EITs included:

- marking the tests in colours other than red (especially green which the moderators use)
- marking 'sequence' type questions incorrectly
- circling totals at the end of each question (use the one tick – one mark method)
- incorrect transfer of points to the Candidate Record Card (CRC)
- failing to record the End-of-Item Test on the CRC
- recording a mark for an End-of-Item Test that is not sent as part of the portfolio.

If a teacher thinks a response that a candidate has given is correct but is not covered by the mark scheme, the teacher should annotate the copy of the mark scheme and apply it to all the candidates from their centre.

## Can-do Tasks

Some centres had candidates completing several EITs and Practical Activities but ticked very few Can-do Tasks; even though the Practical Activity carried out must have involved the candidate demonstrating some Can-do Tasks successfully. Can-do Tasks can be achieved within a Practical Activity.

In the specification R483 there are 16 Can-do Tasks and the total number completed is added together and divided by two giving a maximum of eight points. The result should be to one decimal point. The low level tasks can be used for training and allowing candidates to show their progress, but opportunities need to be given to allow candidates to perform some of the higher level tasks. Can-do Tasks cannot be given part marks and each task must be individually dated and recorded.

## Practical Tasks

**It is advisable that centres use a continuous variable so that a trend can be identified.**

Many centres used writing frames, and these can be useful to guide candidates. However, if too much guidance is given then Aspect A, **Planning to collect data**, may not be given the maximum four marks. The guidance may include general headings such as 'What will I do?', 'The equipment I will need is' and 'How will I make it safe?'. The safety considerations made by the candidate should relate to the actual task involved. The candidates may then go on to gain marks for Aspect B, **Processing the data**, if they put their results into a table. Here again if the table is given or the graph axes are given with the labelled axes this limits the mark they should be given. Many centres have been awarding four marks for just completing a table with only three results. Headings to the tables with units are also needed for the full four marks.

Examples of suitable and popular tasks were craters using different masses. Less suitable tasks were often just comparisons of two or three discrete values such as the insulating properties of only two materials, pulse rate after walking and running or cooling beakers with different covers, collecting eye colours or taking the pH of different solutions.

Again, when using writing frames or help sheets for Aspect D, these should be used with care to make sure that they do not provide too much guidance. For example, some of the work sheets used were too specific such as getting a response for Aspect D – 'Why did the crater increase in size when the height of the meteor changed?', and this effectively gives the trend. Work sheets often tend to produce similar work from the candidates. Centres are reminded that while the practical data may be shared, the writing up process needs to be done independently. A high level response could include the use of data to support the trends of patterns identified.

The planning is best done before the activity, so that it can be collected in and marked and checked for the safety aspect.

**Aspect A:** Quite often just a list of equipment was given. A useful indicator for marking for three or four marks is 'Can I do the Practical Task based on what has been written?' and that the safety aspect is specific for the task.

**Aspect B:** Preferably at least five values of a continuous variable should be obtained. Bar charts are acceptable for four marks, but if a continuous variable has been selected, a line graph is more appropriate. Candidates should be encouraged to use a continuous variable. Plotting needs to be reasonably accurate for four marks. Tables of results can score two marks. A graph on its own, without the table of results, makes it impossible to check the plotting.

**Aspect C:** This is an easy four marks if a continuous variable was chosen, and the 'er....er' idea has been taught. Comparisons (best/worst) are only a match to two marks. Certainly, the best of two cannot get 4 marks for this aspect.

**Aspect D:** This is about explaining why it happened and there was often little evidence seen from centres that the candidate could draw links between the patterns seen in their results and the scientific principles underlying these. It would help the candidates if they were reminded of the relevant science before they carried out the Practical Task. Some centres seemed to be using trends and patterns (Aspect C) in order to claim a match. For two marks a simple explanation is needed and for three or four marks some simple science ideas. Examples are Craters: two marks for larger objects are heavier. Four marks needs a link to larger masses have more force (or acceleration). Solubility at different temperatures: two marks for heat makes the water move more. For four marks more heat means more particle collisions.

There are a number of investigational experiments of the type 'Does the temperature of the water affect the rate at which an indigestion tablet dissolves?', 'Does the rate of reaction depend on the surface area?' 'Does the mass added to an elastic band affect how much the elastic band stretches?', but in a lot of the tasks, Aspect D. **Interpreting the data**, proved challenging to assess. This should not be merely a re-statement of the trend or pattern which is Aspect C; this requires the candidate to relate the trend or pattern to the relevant science. Sensible ideas for explaining the pattern could score two marks, but for more marks some basic science ideas are needed. Common sense ideas might be able to score two marks.

**Aspect E: Reviewing the method**, must refer to the actual data collected. It requires the candidate to comment on how suitable the method used was and how it affects the quality of the data collected. Some centres were awarding high marks for stating they should repeat the Practical Task when they already have a good set of results. A high level response should be linked to the quality of the results and could be linked to a discussion around their repeatability or reproducibility.

This aspect (Aspect E) is about the method used and the data collected. For one or two marks there needs to be a relevant comment. This could be about whether the best equipment was selected, or the most suitable measuring device with the appropriate level of precision or scale values, or any comment about how well the method worked – it's often easier to state a problem here. Something simple can match one or two marks, but for three or four marks it needs to be how this affected the data. One way to do this is by looking for results that do not fit the pattern and suggesting a reason why this might have occurred.

Whatever Practical Task is chosen, the centre should check that it is appropriate for their candidates and that they have the resources for their candidates to tackle the task. If the Practical Task is a collaborative effort, then centres should annotate work so that individuals' contributions are identified.

Practical Tasks that were seen in 2024 were:

- rate of reaction – appeared the most often, particularly linked to the effect of temperature on dissolving rates
- parachutes – rate of drop linked to surface area of parachute
- electromagnets – the number of coils on magnetic strength
- craters – still very popular either for the size of the meteor or for the height from which it is dropped
- rate of dissolving – indigestion tablets added to water at different temperatures
- rate of reaction – magnesium and different concentrations of acid.

Practical tasks that have been submitted historically that were not appropriate at all included:

- collecting eye colour
- pulse rates of the class compared with teachers
- comparison of shoe size
- comparison of heat loss for two insulating materials
- testing solutions for pH.

'Can Aspect C be fully answered?', i.e. is there a trend or pattern that can be found? The variables therefore should not be discrete ones, and more than three results, preferably five, should be included to obtain the pattern.

## Candidate record card

Please note that there is an electronic version of the Candidate Record Card which automatically adds up the marks and converts them to points. In 2025 please make sure you use the most up to date R483 version. Please note that if you use the electronic version and then add marks at a later stage to the sheet the totals must be checked.

The Entry Level Science specification R483 has 36 EiTs:

- 12 Biology
- 12 Chemistry
- 12 Physics.

The Assessment Components:

- EiTs 72 points
- Can-do Tasks 8 points
- Practical Task 20 points

The EiTs are converted to points as follows:

A maximum of 36 tests can be 'counted' and they have 15 marks each. Each End-of-Item Test has a maximum of two points and the overall weighting is 72%. The marks are converted to points as follows:

Marks	Points
12-15	2.0
9-11	1.5
6-8	1.0
3-5	0.5

The Can-do Tasks have been arranged as 16 tasks and each task is worth 0.5 point. Therefore, the maximum mark is  $16 \times 0.5 = 8$  points.

The Practical Task is a question that the candidates are given to answer, and they will need to:

- plan a suitable procedure
- display data in a suitable format
- recognise patterns in data
- interpret data and relate to relevant science
- comment on the method used to collect data.

The Practical Task will be teacher devised and:

- teacher assessed
- include five defined performance descriptors
- each given from zero to four marks
- total marked out of 20
- directly converted into points.

Some digital photographs were used which were very helpful in showing how the investigation was carried out but should not include a photograph of the candidate.

There are two options for entry R483/01 and R483/02. Please note that R483/01 is the option where all the candidates' work needs to be scanned by the centre and uploaded onto the 'Submit for Assessment' portal via the OCR Interchange whereas R483/02 is the option to be selected when submitting hard paper copies of candidates' work.

---

# Supporting you

---

## Teach Cambridge

Make sure you visit our secure website [Teach Cambridge](#) to find the full range of resources and support for the subjects you teach. This includes secure materials such as set assignments and exemplars, online and on-demand training.

**Don't have access?** If your school or college teaches any OCR qualifications, please contact your exams officer. You can [forward them this link](#) to help get you started.

## Reviews of marking

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our post-results services. For full information about the options available visit the [OCR website](#).

## Keep up-to-date

We send a monthly bulletin to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, [sign up here](#).

## OCR Professional Development

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. Most of our courses are delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.

Please find details for all our courses for your subject on **Teach Cambridge**. You'll also find links to our online courses on NEA marking and support.

## Signed up for ExamBuilder?

[ExamBuilder](#) is a free test-building platform, providing unlimited users exclusively for staff at OCR centres with an [Interchange](#) account.

Choose from a large bank of questions to build personalised tests and custom mark schemes, with the option to add custom cover pages to simulate real examinations. You can also edit and download complete past papers.

[Find out more](#).

**You will need an Interchange account to access our digital products. If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.**

## Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on  
**01223 553998**

Alternatively, you can email us on  
**support@ocr.org.uk**

For more information visit

 **ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder**

 **ocr.org.uk**

 **facebook.com/ocrexams**

 **twitter.com/ocrexams**

 **instagram.com/ocrexaminations**

 **linkedin.com/company/ocr**

 **youtube.com/ocrexams**

## We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.



**I like this**



**I dislike this**

Please note – web links are correct at date of publication but other websites may change over time. If you have any problems with a link you may want to navigate to that organisation's website for a direct search.



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2024 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please [contact us](#).

You can copy and distribute this resource in your centre, in line with any specific restrictions detailed in the resource. Resources intended for teacher use should not be shared with students. Resources should not be published on social media platforms or other websites.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our [Expression of Interest form](#).

Please [get in touch](#) if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.