

**GCSE**

**Economics**

**J205/02: National and international economics**

General Certificate of Secondary Education

**Mark Scheme for June 2024**

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

© OCR 2024

**PREPARATION FOR MARKING****RM ASSESSOR**

1. Make sure that you have accessed and completed the relevant training packages for on-screen marking: *RM Assessor Online Training*; *OCR Essential Guide to Marking*.
2. Make sure that you have read and understood the mark scheme and the question paper for this unit. These are posted on the RM Cambridge Assessment Support Portal <http://www.rm.com/support/ca>
3. Log-in to RM Assessor and mark the **required number** of practice responses (“scripts”) and the **number of required** standardisation responses.

YOU MUST MARK 10 PRACTICE AND 10 STANDARDISATION RESPONSES BEFORE YOU CAN BE APPROVED TO MARK LIVE SCRIPTS.

**MARKING**

1. Mark strictly to the mark scheme.
2. Marks awarded must relate directly to the marking criteria.
3. The schedule of dates is very important. It is essential that you meet the RM Assessor 50% and 100% (traditional 40% Batch 1 and 100% Batch 2) deadlines. If you experience problems, you must contact your Team Leader (Supervisor) without delay.
4. If you are in any doubt about applying the mark scheme, consult your Team Leader by telephone or the RM Assessor messaging system, or by email.
5. **Crossed Out Responses**  
Where a candidate has crossed out a response and provided a clear alternative then the crossed out response is not marked. Where no alternative response has been provided, examiners may give candidates the benefit of the doubt and mark the crossed out response where le gible.

**Multiple Choice Question Responses**

When a multiple choice question has only a single, correct response and a candidate provides two responses (even if one of these responses is correct), then no mark should be awarded (as it is not possible to determine which was the first response selected by the candidate).

*When a question requires candidates to select more than one option/multiple options, then local marking arrangements need to ensure consistency of approach.*

**Contradictory Responses**

When a candidate provides contradictory responses, then no mark should be awarded, even if one of the answers is correct.

**Short Answer Questions** (requiring only a list by way of a response, usually worth only **one mark per response**)

Where candidates are required to provide a set number of short answer responses then only the set number of responses should be marked. The response space should be marked from left to right on each line and then line by line until the required number of responses have been considered. The remaining responses should not then be marked. Examiners will have to apply judgement as to whether a 'second response' on a line is a development of the 'first response', rather than a separate, discrete response. *(The underlying assumption is that the candidate is attempting to hedge their bets and therefore getting undue benefit rather than engaging with the question and giving the most relevant/correct responses.)*

**Short Answer Questions** (requiring a more developed response, worth **two or more marks**)

If the candidates are required to provide a description of, say, three items or factors and four items or factors are provided, then mark on a similar basis – that is downwards (as it is unlikely in this situation that a candidate will provide more than one response in each section of the response space.)

**Longer Answer Questions** (requiring a developed response)

Where candidates have provided two (or more) responses to a medium or high tariff question which only required a single (developed) response and not crossed out the first response, then only the first response should be marked. Examiners will need to apply professional judgement as to whether the second (or a subsequent) response is a 'new start' or simply a poorly expressed continuation of the first response.

6. Always check the pages (and additional objects if present) at the end of the response in case any answers have been continued there. If the candidate has continued an answer there, then add a tick to confirm that the work has been seen.
7. Award No Response (NR) if:
  - there is nothing written in the answer space

Award Zero '0' if:

- anything is written in the answer space and is not worthy of credit (this includes text and symbols).

Team Leaders must confirm the correct use of the NR button with their markers before live marking commences and should check this when reviewing scripts.

8. The RM Assessor **comments box** is used by your team leader to explain the marking of the practice responses. Please refer to these comments when checking your practice responses. **Do not use the comments box for any other reason.**  
If you have any questions or comments for your team leader, use the phone, the RM Assessor messaging system, or e-mail.
9. Assistant Examiners will send a brief report on the performance of candidates to their Team Leader (Supervisor) via email by the end of the marking period. The report should contain notes on particular strengths displayed as well as common errors or weaknesses. Constructive criticism of the question paper/mark scheme is also appreciated.
10. For answers marked by levels of response:
- To determine the level** – start at the highest level and work down until you reach the level that matches the answer
  - To determine the mark within the level**, consider the following

Descriptor	Award mark
On the borderline of this level and the one below	At bottom of level
Just enough achievement on balance for this level	Above bottom and either below middle or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
Meets the criteria but with some slight inconsistency	Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
Consistently meets the criteria for this level	At top of level

### 11. Annotations

These are the annotations, (including abbreviations), including those used in RM Assessor.  
Please annotate **every** response, even if no credit is given. All non-levels Qs, the number of ticks must match the mark awarded.  
For levels Qs the highest level must be annotated e.g. L3. For answers given L4, also annotate where L3 is within that answer.  
Use ticks on levels answers if this aids your marking. **The number of ticks must never exceed the number of marks awarded.**

Annotation	Meaning
	Tick
	Cross
	Unclear
	Benefit of the doubt
	Irrelevant
	Level one
	Level two
	Level three
	Not answered question
	Own figure rule
	Noted but no credit given (use on every question when NR is the mark)
	Too vague
	Omission mark
	Blank page (use on every blank page)

**Highlighting** is also available to highlight any particular points on the script.

## 12. Subject Specific Marking Instructions

Levels of response / Level descriptors	Knowledge and understanding	Application	Analysis	Evaluation
<b>Good</b>	<b>Good</b> knowledge and understanding of the theory stated or referred to in the question. All of the terms/theoretical concepts are explicitly or implicitly understood.	<b>Good</b> application of knowledge to a given scenario. The terms/theoretical concepts are used/adapted/changed in the context of the given scenario. There is good understanding of all the relevant elements of the scenario.	<b>Good</b> analysis of the effect of <b>xxxx</b> . There is correct analysis in the form of developed links. These links are developed through a chain of reasoning which addresses the question. Any relevant diagram(s) are predominantly correct and linked to the analysis.	<b>Good</b> evaluation with a fully supported judgement that is developed from a weighing up arguments/both sides/comparing alternatives.
<b>Reasonable</b>	<b>Reasonable</b> knowledge and understanding of the theory stated or referred to in the question. Most of the terms/theoretical concepts are explicitly or implicitly understood.	<b>Reasonable</b> application of knowledge to a given scenario. The terms/theoretical concepts are used/adapted/changed in the context of the given scenario. There is understanding of some the relevant elements of the scenario.	<b>Reasonable</b> analysis of the effect on <b>xxxx</b> . There is correct analysis largely in the form of single effects. These address the question but are not developed into a clear chain of reasoning. The relevant diagram(s), if present, may be improperly labelled or not linked to the analysis.	<b>Reasonable</b> evaluation of <b>xxx</b> considering arguments/both sides/comparing alternatives. There may be a judgement, but this will not be fully supported.
<b>Limited</b>	<b>Limited</b> knowledge and understanding of the theory stated or referred to in the question. Some of the terms/theoretical concepts are explicitly or implicitly understood.	<b>Limited</b> application of knowledge to a given scenario. There is an attempt to use/adapt/change the terms/theoretical concepts in the context.	<b>Limited</b> analysis of the effect on <b>xxxx</b> . There is an attempt at analysis which may include a single effect that has some link to the question. Diagrams (if present) are unlikely to be correct and not linked to the analysis.	<b>Limited</b> evaluation of <b>xxx</b> that may include an incomplete consideration of arguments/both sides/comparing alternatives with unsupported statements.

**Mark Scheme**  
**SECTION A**

<b>Question</b>	<b>Key</b>	<b>AO</b>	<b>Quantitative skills</b>
1	<b>D</b>	AO1a	
2	<b>A</b>	AO1a	
3	<b>B</b>	AO2	
4	<b>D</b>	AO1b	
5	<b>C</b>	AO2	Yes
6	<b>A</b>	AO1b	
7	<b>B</b>	AO1b	
8	<b>B</b>	AO2	Yes
9	<b>B</b>	AO2	
10	<b>D</b>	AO1b	
11	<b>C</b>	AO1b	
12	<b>A</b>	AO1a	
13	<b>C</b>	AO2	Yes
14	<b>A</b>	AO1b	
15	<b>C</b>	AO1b	
16	<b>B</b>	AO1b	
17	<b>D</b>	AO1b	
18	<b>D</b>	AO2	Yes
19	<b>D</b>	AO1b	
20	<b>A</b>	AO2	

Question		Answer	Mark	Guidance
21	(a)	<p><b>Using Extract 1, explain the trend in the UK unemployment rate between 2008 and 2011.</b></p> <p><b>Answers may include:</b></p> <p>Unemployment was rising [1] due to: [1]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Decline in economic growth / a recession</li> <li>• A lack of (total) demand, which lead to fewer job opportunities / vacancies</li> <li>• When unemployment rose, incomes fell, which lead to total demand contracting further and so unemployment continued to rise</li> <li>• The global financial crisis led to business and consumer confidence falling, so workers were being laid off</li> <li>• A lack of investment (in manufacturing industries and services)/decline in manufacturing</li> </ul>	<p><b>2</b></p> <p>AO2</p>	<p>1 mark for stating that unemployment was rising</p> <p>1 additional mark for one of the bullet points.</p>
21	(b)	<p><b>Using Extract 1, calculate the unemployment rate in Northern Ireland.</b></p> <p><b>Show your working.</b></p> $\frac{26}{877} \times 100 = 2.96446522$ <p><b>Answer: 2.96446522%</b></p> <p><b>OR</b></p> <p><b>2.96%</b></p>	<p><b>2</b></p> <p>AO2</p>	<p>2 marks for the correct answer (working not required)</p> <p>1 mark for correct working, but incorrect answer</p> <p>1 mark only if the % sign is omitted</p>

Question		Answer	Mark	Guidance
21	(c)	<p><b>Using the information in Extract 1, analyse how the changes in the UK unemployment rate between 2011 and 2018 might have affected the government's budget.</b></p> <p><b>Knowledge and understanding that:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The budget is calculated by the difference between government spending and tax revenue.</li> <li>Unemployment occurs when output (GDP) falls</li> <li>Unemployment rate is proportion of workforce not working (or similar definition)</li> </ul> <p><b>Reference to the extract:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unemployment peaked in 2011 at about 8%</li> <li>Unemployment fell from (2012-2018 to about 4%)</li> <li>UK economy was experiencing a boom/recovery during this period, as shown by the unemployment rate falling</li> </ul> <p><b>Development of reasoning linking lower unemployment rate to government budget:</b></p> <p>Fewer unemployed results in</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fewer people need / are eligible for unemployment benefits</li> <li>Fewer people need welfare benefits</li> </ul> <p>&gt;&gt;so government spending falls</p> <p>More people in employment results in</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tax revenue rising from direct taxes / income tax / national insurance</li> <li>Higher incomes may mean consumer spending rises so tax revenue from indirect taxes / VAT rises.</li> </ul> <p>&gt;&gt;so government revenue rises</p>	<p><b>6</b></p> <p>1 AO1a 2 AO2 3 AO3a</p>	<p><i>All level descriptors describe the TOP of the level – please read guidance at the beginning of the mark scheme regarding best fit approach.</i></p> <p><b>Level 3 (5-6 marks)</b> (AO1a – 1, AO2 – 2 marks, AO3a – 3 marks = 6 marks)</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> knowledge and understanding. All of the terms/theoretical concepts are explicitly or implicitly understood.</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> application of knowledge about the budget. The terms/theoretical concepts are used/adapted/changed in the context of the given scenario. There is understanding of some the relevant elements of the scenario.</p> <p><b>Good</b> analysis of the budget. There is correct analysis in the form of developed links. These links are developed through a chain of reasoning which addresses the question. Any relevant diagram(s) are predominantly correct and <b>linked to the analysis</b>.</p> <p><b>Level 2 (3-4 marks)</b> (AO1a – 1 mark, AO2 – 1 mark, AO3a – 2 marks = 4 marks)</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> knowledge and understanding. Most of the terms/theoretical concepts are explicitly or implicitly understood.</p> <p><b>Limited</b> application of knowledge about the budget. There is an attempt to use/adapt/change the terms/theoretical concepts in the context.</p>

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
	<p>Overall budget impact: rising tax revenue and falling government spending led to a smaller budget deficit / larger budget surplus.</p> <p><b>N.B</b></p> <p><b>1. In order to reach Level 3, there must be reference to the data in the table (AO2)</b></p> <p><b>2. If there is no analysis (AO3a), then application (AO2) cannot be rewarded.</b></p>		<p><b>Reasonable</b> analysis of the budget. There is correct analysis largely in the form of single effects. These address the question but are not developed into a clear chain of reasoning. The relevant diagram(s), if present, may be imperfectly labelled or not linked to the analysis.</p> <p><b>Level 1 (1-2 marks)</b> (AO1a – 1 mark, AO2 – 0 marks, AO3a – 1 mark = 2 marks)</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> knowledge and understanding. Some of the terms/theoretical concepts are explicitly or implicitly understood.</p> <p><b>No</b> application of knowledge about the budget. There is an attempt to use/adapt/change the terms/theoretical concepts in the context.</p> <p><b>Limited</b> analysis of the budget. There is an attempt at analysis which may include a single effect that has some link to the question. Diagrams (if present) are unlikely to be correct and not linked to the analysis.</p> <p><b>0 marks</b> No work worthy of credit.</p> <p><b>N.B.</b> Any other relevant points and/or evidence of learners' understanding of the connections between the various topics of both components to be credited.</p>

Question			Answer	Mark	Guidance
21	(d)	(i)	<p><b>Explain what is meant by structural unemployment.</b></p> <p><b>Answers may include:</b></p> <p>An industry declining [1] leading to a mismatch between a worker's skills and job vacancies [1] or vice versa</p> <p>Examples of further development:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Geographically immobile workers (cannot move to areas with more opportunities)</li> <li>• Lack of retraining opportunities</li> <li>• Long-term unemployment</li> </ul>	<p><b>2</b></p> <p>AO1b</p>	<p>1 mark for stating a mismatch (or long term) unemployment</p> <p>AND</p> <p>1 mark for stating that an industry/sector has declined</p> <p>OR</p> <p>1 additional mark for one of the bullet points.</p> <p>Not due to recession or cyclical factors, so long term</p>
21	(d)	(ii)	<p><b>State two other types of unemployment.</b></p> <p><b>Answers may include:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Frictional unemployment</li> <li>2. Cyclical unemployment</li> <li>3. Seasonal unemployment</li> <li>4. Technological unemployment</li> <li>5. Voluntary unemployment</li> <li>6. Regional</li> <li>7. Classical/real-wage</li> </ol>	<p><b>2</b></p> <p>AO1a</p>	<p>1 mark for each correct answer up to a total of 2 marks.</p> <p>The terminology may be different, but if knowledge is evident, then the mark should be awarded.</p>

Question			Answer	Mark	Guidance
21	(d)	(iii)*	<p><b>Evaluate the consequences of unemployment for producers in the North East of England.</b></p> <p><b>Use the information given in Extract 1 and your own knowledge.</b></p> <p><b>Reference to Extract 1 or context (just [1] AO2):</b> Examples include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Unemployment rate is higher in the North East than the national average (4.2% &gt; 3.6%)</li> <li>• Economically inactive rate is higher than the average (25.2% &gt; 21.2%)</li> <li>• Investment has been lacking</li> <li>• Unemployment appears to be structural and long term</li> <li>• Manufacturing industries have been declining</li> <li>• Workers cannot move easily between regions when seeking jobs</li> <li>• To the North East</li> </ul> <p><b>For producers:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The presently unemployed will need additional training since their skill set has been lost while unemployed which increases costs</li> <li>• A depressed local economy means less demand for goods and services which reduces revenue/profits</li> <li>• Economically inactive rate is higher than the average, (which shows that many have become discouraged workers outside the workforce) which decreases productivity/output</li> </ul>	<p><b>6</b></p> <p>1 AO2 2 AO3a 3 AO3b</p>	<p>All level descriptors describe the <b>TOP</b> of the level – please read guidance at the beginning of the mark scheme regarding best fit approach.</p> <p><b>Level 3 (5-6 marks)</b> (AO2 – 1, AO3a – 2 marks, AO3b – 3 marks = 6 marks)</p> <p><b>Good</b> application of knowledge about unemployment. The terms/theoretical concepts are used/adapted/changed in the context of the given scenario. There is good understanding of all the relevant elements of the scenario.</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> analysis of unemployment. There is correct analysis largely in the form of single effects. These address the question but are not developed into a clear chain of reasoning. The relevant diagram(s), if present, may be imperfectly labelled or not linked to the analysis.</p> <p><b>Good</b> evaluation of unemployment with a fully supported judgement that is developed from weighing up arguments/both sides/comparing alternatives.</p> <p><i>There is a well-developed and detailed line of reasoning which is coherent and logically structured. The information presented is entirely relevant and substantiated.</i></p> <p><b>Level 2 (3-4 marks)</b> <i>There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.</i> (AO2 – 1, AO3a – 1 mark, AO3b – 2 marks = 4 marks)</p> <p><b>Good</b> application of knowledge unemployment. The terms/theoretical concepts are used/adapted/changed in</p>

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
	<p><b>But (likely benefits)</b>            Since there is a relatively high rate of unemployment in the North East, the supply of labour is greater than its demand, so employers may benefit from lower wage rates &amp; lower costs/higher profits</p> <p>Government support (training and infrastructure) could generate new opportunities in manufacturing and services and therefore reduce costs/increase profits</p> <p>May not be that affected if due to mechanisation/exporting industry</p> <p><b>Final judgement:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As to whether the benefits are likely to outweigh the costs (depending on their significance or duration.)</li> <li>• Short, medium and long-term effects are different.</li> <li>• Final judgement on whether the benefits are greater than the costs expressed clearly.</li> </ul> <p><b>1. If there is no analysis/evaluation, then application (AO2) cannot be rewarded.</b></p> <p><b>2. In order to reach Level 3, there must be Application (AO2), Analysis (AO3a) and Evaluation (AO3b)</b></p>		<p>the context of the given scenario. There is good understanding of all the relevant elements of the scenario.</p> <p><b>Limited</b> analysis of unemployment. There is an attempt at an analysis largely in the form of a single effect. These address the question but are not developed into a clear chain of reasoning. The relevant diagram(s), if present, are unlikely to be correct and not linked to the analysis.</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> evaluation of unemployment, considering arguments/both sides/comparing alternatives. There may be a judgement but this will not be fully supported.</p> <p><i>There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.</i></p> <p><b>Level 1 (1-2 marks)</b>            (AO2 – 0 marks, AO3a – 1 mark, AO3b – 1 mark = 2 marks)</p> <p><b>No</b> application of knowledge about unemployment. There is an attempt to use/adapt/change the terms/theoretical concepts in the context.</p> <p><b>Limited</b> analysis of unemployment. There is an attempt at analysis which may include a single effect that has some link to the question. Diagrams (if present) are unlikely to be correct and not linked to the analysis.</p> <p><b>Limited</b> evaluation of unemployment that may include an incomplete consideration of arguments/both sides/comparing alternatives with unsupported statements.</p>

Question		Answer	Mark	Guidance
				<p><i>Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.</i></p> <p><b>0 marks</b> No work worthy of credit.</p> <p><b>N.B.</b> Any other relevant points and/or evidence of learners' understanding of the connections between the various topics of both components to be credited.</p>
22	(a)	<p><b>Using Extract 2, calculate the percentage of total net income in 2021 that is received by the richest 40% of the Indonesian population.</b> <b>Show your working.</b></p> <p>Top fifth 45 + fourth fifth 22 = 67</p> <p><b>Answer: 67% OR 67</b></p>	<p><b>2</b></p> <p>AO2</p>	<p>2 marks for a correct answer (working not required)</p> <p>1 mark for correct working, but incorrect answer</p> <p>No mark lost if % sign or word omitted, since the question asked for "percentage".</p>

Question		Answer	Mark	Guidance
22	(b)	<p><b>Using Extract 2, explain how the distribution of income in Indonesia has changed from 2002 to 2021.</b></p> <p><b>Answers may include:</b></p> <p>Income distribution has become more unequal/unfair [1]</p> <p>As shown by: [1]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Top fifth (20%) share has increased (from 40% to 45%)</li> <li>• Lowest fifth share has fallen (from 9% to 7%)</li> </ul>	<p><b>2</b></p> <p>AO2</p>	<p>1 mark for stating that the income distribution became more unequal, without referring to the data.</p> <p>1 mark for using data</p> <p>2 marks for a correctly explained answer</p> <p>Candidates cannot copy directly from the text in the extract</p>
22	(c)	<p><b>Using the information in Extract 2, analyse how a decrease in direct taxes can affect the Indonesian economy.</b></p> <p><b>Knowledge and understanding:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Direct taxes reduce disposable income</li> <li>• (Whereas indirect taxes are placed on spending on goods and services e.g. VAT)</li> <li>• Tax revenue is used for public investment in infrastructure as well as for transfer payments and public services</li> </ul> <p><b>Reference to the extract:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Taxes are a tool of fiscal/supply-side policy</li> <li>• Income and wealth are not distributed equally</li> <li>• (Direct) taxes are used for benefits/public services</li> <li>• Reference to Indonesia</li> </ul>	<p><b>6</b></p> <p>1 AO1a</p> <p>2 AO2</p> <p>3 AO3a</p>	<p><i>All level descriptors describe the <b>TOP</b> of the level – please read guidance at the beginning of the mark scheme regarding best fit approach.</i></p> <p><b>Level 3 (5-6 marks)</b> (AO1a – 1, AO2 – 2 marks, AO3a – 3 marks = 6 marks)</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> knowledge and understanding of taxes. All of the terms/theoretical concepts are explicitly or implicitly understood.</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> application of knowledge to taxes. The terms/theoretical concepts are used/adapted/changed in the context of the given scenario. There is understanding of some the relevant elements of the scenario.</p> <p><b>Good</b> analysis of taxes. There is correct analysis in the form of developed links. These links are developed through a chain of reasoning which addresses the question. Any relevant diagram(s) are predominantly correct and linked to the analysis.</p> <p><b>Level 2 (3-4 marks)</b></p>

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
	<p><b>Development of reasoning linking lower taxes to overall economy:</b></p> <p>When the government decreases direct taxes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Disposable (household) income rises, which leads to higher consumption and higher total demand</li> <li>• As net incomes increase/total spending increases then prices &amp; (demand-pull) inflation will too</li> <li>• Corporate profits are higher, which may lead to more (capital) investment and, therefore, productivity is higher</li> <li>• Net income distribution may become more unequal</li> <li>• Disposable (household) income rises, which leads to higher consumption and therefore higher import/deterioration of current account</li> <li>• It may encourage people to work (longer/more) and be more productive/increase output</li> </ul> <p><b>&gt;&gt;so overall economic growth may be higher and Inflation rate may rise</b></p> <p>Tax revenue is lower, so</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Budget deficit is larger, so more government borrowing, which may lead to higher interest rates</li> </ul> <p><b>Any other relevant points are to be credited.</b></p> <p><b>N.B.</b></p> <p><b>1. In order to reach Level 3 there must be reference to information in the Extract or context.</b></p> <p><b>2. If there is no analysis (AO3a), then application (AO2) cannot be rewarded.</b></p>		<p>(AO1a – 1 mark, AO2 – 1 mark, AO3a – 2 marks = 4 marks)</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> knowledge and understanding. Most of the terms/theoretical concepts are explicitly or implicitly understood.</p> <p><b>Limited</b> application of knowledge about taxes. There is an attempt to use/adapt/change the terms/theoretical concepts in the context.</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> analysis of taxes. There is correct analysis largely in the form of single effects. These address the question but are not developed into a clear chain of reasoning. The relevant diagram(s), if present, may be imperfectly labelled or not linked to the analysis.</p> <p><b>Level 1 (1-2 marks)</b> (AO1a – 1 mark, AO2 – 0 marks, AO3a – 1 mark = 2 marks)</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> knowledge and understanding. Some of the terms/theoretical concepts are explicitly or implicitly understood.</p> <p><b>Limited</b> application of knowledge to taxes. There is an attempt to use/adapt/change the terms/theoretical concepts in the context.</p> <p><b>No</b> analysis of taxes. There is an attempt at analysis which may include a single effect that has some link to the question. Diagrams (if present) are unlikely to be correct and not linked to the analysis.</p>

Question			Answer	Mark	Guidance
					<p><b>0 marks</b> No work worthy of credit.</p> <p><b>N.B.</b> Any other relevant points and/or evidence of learners' understanding of the connections between the various topics of both components to be credited.</p>
22	(d)	(i)	<p><b>Other than income tax, state two types of direct taxes.</b></p> <p><b>Answers include:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inheritance tax</li> <li>2. Corporate tax</li> <li>3. Capital gains tax</li> <li>4. National insurance contributions</li> <li>5. Council Tax</li> </ol>	<p><b>2</b></p> <p>AO1a</p>	<p>1 mark for each correct answer up to a maximum of 2 marks</p>
22	(d)	(ii)	<p><b>Explain how a progressive income tax system redistributes income.</b></p> <p><b>Answers may include:</b></p> <p>As incomes rise more tax is taken as a percentage/proportion of income <b>[1]</b></p> <p>by: <b>[1]</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Providing a tax free/personal allowance for the lowest income band</li> <li>• Setting higher (marginal) rates for each additional income band/bracket</li> <li>• A numerical example</li> </ul> <p>and: <b>[1]</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The government using tax revenue to redistribute income by increasing benefits</li> </ul>	<p><b>2</b></p> <p>AO1b</p>	<p>Award 1 mark for the idea of a higher percentage not higher amount</p> <p>Award 1 more mark for explanation of this, which could be a numerical example</p> <p>Candidates cannot copy directly from the text in the extract</p>

Question			Answer	Mark	Guidance
22	(d)	(iii)	<p><b>Evaluate whether raising income taxes on the richest 20% of the population in Indonesia would have a purely beneficial effect for the lower paid.</b></p> <p><b>Use the information given in Extract 2 and your own knowledge.</b></p> <p><b>Reference to Extract 2 or context (AO2):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reference to Indonesia</li> <li>Income is distributed unequally (the richest 20% receive 45% of total income)</li> <li>Tax revenue can be used to improve public services/social security benefits</li> <li>Tax changes are one of the tools in fiscal policy and so affect growth and inflation</li> </ul> <p><b>1) Government revenue should increase, which will enable more to be spent on public services and social security, which will benefit the lower paid.</b></p> <p><b>But</b> there may be more tax avoidance and tax evasion/non-compliance, which might lower revenue and thus lower provision of public services and/or higher earners/more skilled workers may leave the country and/or government corruption may prevent/limit this process</p> <p><b>2) the richest may lose the incentive (face a disincentive) to seek higher paid jobs in the top band, which might mean lower productivity for the economy and lower levels of entrepreneurship, which could lower employment opportunities for the lower paid.</b></p> <p><b>But</b> richer people may have ties to the country and not move</p>	<p><b>6</b></p> <p>1 AO2</p> <p>2 AO3a</p> <p>3 AO3b</p>	<p><i>All level descriptors describe the <b>TOP</b> of the level – please read guidance at the beginning of the mark scheme regarding best fit approach.</i></p> <p><b>Level 3 (5-6 marks)</b> (AO2 – 1, AO3a – 2 marks, AO3b – 3 marks = 6 marks)</p> <p><b>Good</b> application of knowledge about redistribution. The terms/theoretical concepts are used/adapted/changed in the context of the given scenario. There is good understanding of all the relevant elements of the scenario.</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> analysis of redistribution. There is correct analysis largely in the form of single effects. These address the question but are not developed into a clear chain of reasoning. The relevant diagram(s), if present, may be imperfectly labelled or not linked to the analysis.</p> <p><b>Good</b> evaluation of redistribution with a fully supported judgement that is developed from weighing up arguments/both sides/comparing alternatives.</p> <p><i>There is a well-developed and detailed line of reasoning which is coherent and logically structured. The information presented is entirely relevant and substantiated.</i></p> <p><b>Level 2 (3-4 marks)</b> (AO2 – 1, AO3a – 1 mark, AO3b – 2 marks = 4 marks)</p> <p><b>Good</b> application of knowledge to redistribution. The terms/theoretical concepts are used/adapted/changed in the context of the given scenario. There is good understanding of all the relevant elements of the scenario.</p>

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
	<p><b>3)</b> Lower income households will benefit not only from more generous benefits, but also equality of opportunity may improve with more government spending on education and health.</p> <p><b>But</b> higher tax may prove a disincentive to entrepreneurs setting up businesses/employees seeking promotion</p> <p><b>4)</b> Foreign companies may welcome this measure as it should mean a more socially cohesive society, which provides a good environment for foreign investment. Their investment should lead to more job opportunities.</p> <p><b>But</b> foreign companies may decide not to locate their subsidiaries in Indonesia as their executives will be taxed at a higher rate. This will lead to fewer employment opportunities for the lower paid.</p> <p><b>Final judgement may contain:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Distortion of incentives to work may adversely affect the supply side of the economy, which ultimately could affect job opportunities for the lower paid</li> <li>• The effect of a rise in the top tax rate may depend on other economic variables, including inflation and growth. If there is a recession or inflation, the lower paid will still suffer.</li> <li>• Depends on the size of the tax rate rise, a small rise will have little impact</li> <li>• Depends on the longevity of the rise, if it is short-lived, the effects will be minimal</li> </ul> <p><b>Any other relevant points are to be credited</b></p>		<p><b>Limited</b> analysis of redistribution. There is an attempt at an analysis largely in the form of a single effect. These address the question but are not developed into a clear chain of reasoning. The relevant diagram(s), if present, are unlikely to be correct and not linked to the analysis.</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> evaluation of redistribution, considering arguments/both sides/comparing alternatives. There may be a judgement, but this will not be fully supported.</p> <p><i>There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.</i></p> <p><b>Level 1 (1-2 marks)</b> (AO2 – 0 marks, AO3a – 1 mark, AO3b – 1 mark = 2 marks)</p> <p><b>No</b> application of knowledge about redistribution. There is an attempt to use/adapt/change the terms/theoretical concepts in the context.</p> <p><b>Limited</b> analysis of redistribution. There is an attempt at analysis which may include a single effect that has some link to the question. Diagrams (if present) are unlikely to be correct and not linked to the analysis.</p> <p><b>Limited</b> evaluation of redistribution that may include an incomplete consideration of arguments/both sides/comparing alternatives with unsupported statements.</p>

Question		Answer	Mark	Guidance
		<p><b>N.B.</b>  <b>1. If there is no analysis/evaluation, then application (AO2) cannot be rewarded.</b></p> <p><b>2. In order to reach Level, there must be Application (AO2), Analysis (AO3a) and Evaluation (AO3b)</b></p>		<p><i>Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.</i></p> <p><b>0 marks</b>            No work worthy of credit.</p> <p><b>N.B.</b> Any other relevant points and/or evidence of learners' understanding of the connections between the various topics of both components to be credited.</p> <p><b>N.B.</b> Although the focus of the question it the degree to which lower paid benefit, the points may start with disadvantage or advantage.</p>
23	(a)	<p><b>Using Extract 3, calculate the average amount, in £ billions, spent each year on total government spending over the period shown in the table.</b></p> <p>Average amount = <math>\frac{384 + 675.5 + 952.3}{3} = 670.6</math></p>	<p><b>2</b></p> <p>AO2</p>	<p>2 marks for a correct answer (working not required)</p> <p>1 mark for correct working, but incorrect answer</p> <p><b>N.B.</b> No mark lost if £ sign or billions omitted, since the question asked: "in £ billions".</p>

Question		Answer	Mark	Guidance
23	(b)	<p><b>Explain how an increase in spending on education can lead to a rise in the rate of economic growth</b></p> <p><b>Answers may include:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improves literacy/productivity/specialisation etc leading to greater output</li> <li>• Increases innovations etc leading to increased productivity</li> <li>• Improves ability to handle new technology/adaptable to change and improve efficiency</li> <li>• Means workers can access higher paying jobs and therefore have more money to spend</li> <li>• Means total demand within the economy increases as a result higher government spending</li> </ul>	<p><b>2</b></p> <p>AO2</p>	<p>Explanation required for second mark.</p>
23	(c)	<p><b>Using the information in Extract 3, analyse how a cut in interest rates can affect UK economic growth.</b></p> <p><b>Knowledge and understanding:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interest rates are a tool of monetary policy</li> <li>• When interest rates fall, borrowing becomes cheaper</li> <li>• When interest rates fall, saving becomes less attractive</li> <li>• Investment is inversely related to the rate of interest</li> <li>• Economic growth is an increase in (real) GDP</li> <li>• Definition of interest rates</li> </ul>	<p><b>6</b></p> <p>1 AO1a 2 AO2 3 AO3a</p>	<p><i>All level descriptors describe the <b>TOP</b> of the level – please read guidance at the beginning of the mark scheme regarding best fit approach.</i></p> <p><b>Level 3 (5-6 marks)</b> (AO1a – 1 mark, AO2 – 2 marks, AO3a – 3 marks = 6 marks)</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> knowledge and understanding. All of the terms/theoretical concepts are explicitly or implicitly understood.</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> application of knowledge to understanding monetary policy. The terms/theoretical concepts are used/adapted/changed in the context of the given scenario. There is understanding of some the relevant elements of the scenario.</p> <p><b>Good</b> analysis of monetary policy. There is correct analysis in the form of developed links. These links are</p>

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
	<p><b>Reference to the extract:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• UK monetary policy changes the bank/base rate</li> <li>• Interest rates are also affected by the public (national) debt</li> <li>• Changes in interest rates also affect the exchange rate</li> <li>• Interest rates affect borrowing for consumption/investment</li> <li>• Reference to the UK</li> </ul> <p><b>Development of reasoning linking cut in interest rates to UK economic growth:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• When the bank rate is cut, borrowing costs fall, so firms borrow in order to build factories and buy machinery, which leads to a higher rate of economic growth</li> <li>• When the bank rate is cut, borrowing costs fall, so consumers borrow in order to buy durable goods, which leads to an increase in total demand and higher output.</li> <li>• When the bank rate is cut, saving is less attractive, so households consume more and save less, which leads to short-run increase in GDP</li> <li>• When the bank rate is cut, saving is less attractive, which means that there are fewer funds to lend out. As a result, interest rates may rise, which means lower long-run economic growth</li> </ul> <p><b>N.B.</b></p> <p><b>1. In order to reach Level 3, there must be reference to information in the Extract or context.</b></p> <p><b>2. If there is no analysis (AO3a), then application (AO2) cannot be rewarded.</b></p>		<p>developed through a chain of reasoning which addresses the question. Any relevant diagram(s) are predominantly correct and linked to the analysis.</p> <p><i>There is a well-developed and detailed line of reasoning which is coherent and logically structured. The information presented is entirely relevant and substantiated.</i></p> <p><b>Level 2 (3-4 marks)</b> (AO1a – 1 mark, AO2 – 1 mark, AO3a – 2 marks = 4 marks)</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> knowledge and understanding. Most of the terms/theoretical concepts are explicitly or implicitly understood.</p> <p><b>Limited</b> application of knowledge to understanding monetary policy. There is an attempt to use/adapt/change the terms/theoretical concepts in the context.</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> analysis of monetary policy. There is correct analysis largely in the form of single effects. These address the question but are not developed into a clear chain of reasoning. Any relevant diagram(s) may be imperfectly labelled or not linked to the analysis.</p> <p><b>Level 1 (1-2 marks)</b> (AO1a – 1 mark, AO2 – 0 marks, AO3a – 1 mark = 2 marks)</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> knowledge and understanding. Some of the terms/theoretical concepts are explicitly or implicitly understood.</p>

Question			Answer	Mark	Guidance
					<p><b>No</b> application of knowledge about understanding monetary policy. There is an attempt to use/adapt/change the terms/theoretical concepts in the context.</p> <p><b>Limited</b> analysis of meaning of monetary policy. There is an attempt at analysis which may include a single effect that has some link to the question. Diagrams (if present) are unlikely to be correct and not linked to the analysis.</p> <p><b>0 marks</b> No work worthy of credit.</p> <p><b>N.B.</b> Any other relevant points and/or evidence of learners' understanding of the connections between the various topics of both components to be credited.</p>
23	(d)	(i)	<p><b>Other than economic growth, state two economic objectives that monetary policy can achieve.</b></p> <p><b>Answers may include:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Price stability</li> <li>• Balance/surplus in the balance of payments</li> <li>• Low unemployment</li> </ul>	<p><b>2</b></p> <p>AO1a</p>	<p>1 mark for each objective up to a maximum of 2 marks.</p>

Question			Answer	Mark	Guidance
23	(d)	(ii)	<p><b>Explain how higher interest rates in the UK may lead to the international value of the pound increasing.</b></p> <p><b>Answers may be either one of the two following:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Demand for the pound rises (shifts right) <b>[1]</b>, because international savers/investors take advantage of higher returns in the UK (and withdraw their funds from overseas financial markets to exchange for pounds) <b>[1]</b></li> </ul> <p><b>OR</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Supply of the pound falls (shifts left) <b>[1]</b>, (because British savers/investors no longer exchange their pounds for other currencies) due to higher returns in the UK/fewer imports purchased as consumption falls <b>[1]</b></li> </ul>	<p><b>2</b></p> <p>AO1b</p>	<p>1 mark for statement without an explanation.</p> <p>2 marks for a correct explanation</p> <p><b>N.B. Only one of the bullet points is required.</b></p>
23	(d)	(iii)	<p><b>Evaluate the extent to which a rise in the foreign exchange value of the pound could affect UK consumers and producers.</b></p> <p><b>Use the information given in Extract 3 and your own knowledge.</b></p> <p><b>Reference to Extract 3 or context (AO2):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The value of the pound increases when interest rates rise in the UK</li> <li>• The increase in the exchange rate for the pound should lower inflation/adversely affect exports.</li> <li>• Reference to the UK or pound</li> </ul> <p><b>Extent of Benefits vs Costs for each group evaluated (AO3b):</b></p>	<p><b>6</b></p> <p>1 AO2 2 AO3a 3 AO3b</p>	<p><i>All level descriptors describe the <b>TOP</b> of the level – please read guidance at the beginning of the mark scheme regarding best fit approach.</i></p> <p><b>Level 3 (5-6 marks)</b> (AO2 – 1, AO3a – 2 marks, AO3b – 3 marks = 6 marks)</p> <p><b>Good</b> application of knowledge about exchange rate changes. The terms/theoretical concepts are used/adapted/changed in the context of the given scenario. There is good understanding of all the relevant elements of the scenario.</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> analysis about exchange rate changes. There is correct analysis largely in the form of single effects. These address the question but are not developed into a clear chain of reasoning. The relevant</p>

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
	<p><b>1) Consumers</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Imports are cheaper, which will lower the inflation rate and improve living standards</li> </ul> <p><b>But as</b> consumers buy cheaper imports, domestic firms lose sales, which may lead to redundancies and higher unemployment levels</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Foreign travel will cost less as a pound can be exchanged for more euros/dollars etc.</li> </ul> <p><b>But</b> those who are employed in the domestic travel sector (e.g. hotels) may be laid off as fewer foreign tourists come to the UK</p> <p><b>2) Producers</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Those who import inputs (raw materials) and capital goods will benefit from lower costs of production</li> </ul> <p><b>But output</b> from UK firms will be less competitive in overseas markets and will also face more competition from imports in the domestic market</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Producers should benefit from the lower inflation rate, which will reduce the need for higher wages and will make their output more competitive again</li> </ul> <p><b>But</b> this process will take time to work through the system and in the meantime the increased competition from overseas goods could lead to bankruptcies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The quantities sold of UK export products with relatively low-price elasticity of demand (inelastic PED) will not fall significantly and so total revenue will rise</li> </ul> <p><b>But</b> over time the PED for such products will become more elastic as buyers find substitutes, so revenue will fall</p>		<p>diagram(s), if present, may be imperfectly labelled or not linked to the analysis.</p> <p><b>Good</b> evaluation about exchange rate changes with a fully supported judgement that is developed from weighing up arguments/both sides/comparing alternatives.</p> <p><i>There is a well-developed and detailed line of reasoning which is coherent and logically structured. The information presented is entirely relevant and substantiated.</i></p> <p><b>Level 2 (3-4 marks)</b> (AO2 – 1, AO3a – 1 mark, AO3b – 2 marks = 4 marks)</p> <p><b>Good</b> application of knowledge about exchange rate changes. The terms/theoretical concepts are used/adapted/changed in the context of the given scenario. There is good understanding of all the relevant elements of the scenario.</p> <p><b>Limited</b> analysis of exchange rate changes. There is an attempt at an analysis largely in the form of a single effect. These address the question but are not developed into a clear chain of reasoning. The relevant diagram(s), if present, are unlikely to be correct and not linked to the analysis.</p> <p><b>Reasonable</b> evaluation about exchange rate changes, considering arguments/both sides/comparing alternatives. There may be a judgement, but this will not be fully supported.</p>

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
	<p><b>Final judgement on the extent expressed clearly:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A rise in the exchange rate for the pound is clearly not advantageous for economic growth, because of the impact on total demand (consumption of domestic goods and net exports)</li> <li>• However, individual consumers and producers may benefit</li> <li>• If the rise in the exchange rate is short term, the extent will be limited</li> <li>• Ultimately the rise in the exchange rate will increase the deficit on the current account (as imports rise and exports fall) with the result that the exchange rate falls back, so the negative impact on economic growth should be short term i.e. the extent of the effect should not persist in the long run</li> </ul> <p><b>N.B.</b></p> <p><b>1. If there is no analysis/evaluation, then application (AO2) cannot be rewarded.</b></p> <p><b>2. In order to reach Level 3, there must be Application (AO2), Analysis (AO3a) and Evaluation (AO3b)</b></p> <p><b>3. In order to reach Level 3, there must be reference to consumers <i>and</i> producers</b></p>		<p><i>There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.</i></p> <p><b>Level 1 (1-2 marks)</b> (AO2 – 0 marks, AO3a – 1 mark, AO3b – 1 mark = 2 marks)</p> <p><b>No</b> application of knowledge about exchange rate changes. There is an attempt to use/adapt/change the terms/theoretical concepts in the context.</p> <p><b>Limited</b> analysis about exchange rate changes. There is an attempt at analysis which may include a single effect that has some link to the question. Diagrams (if present) are unlikely to be correct and not linked to the analysis.</p> <p><b>Limited</b> evaluation about exchange rate changes that may include an incomplete consideration of arguments/both sides/comparing alternatives with unsupported statements.</p> <p><i>Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.</i></p> <p><b>0 marks</b> No work worthy of credit.</p> <p><b>N.B.</b> Any other relevant points and/or evidence of learners' understanding of the connections between the various topics of both components to be credited.</p>

**Mark Scheme**  
**ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE GRID (AO)**

Question	AO1a	AO1b	AO2	AO3a	AO3b	Total	Quantitative skills
Questions 1–20	3	10	7			20	4
Q21 Marks:	AO1a	AO1b	AO2	AO3a	AO3b	Total	Quantitative Skills
(a)			2			2	2
(b)			2			2	2
(c)	1		2	3		6	
(d) (i)		2				2	
(ii)	2					2	
(iii)			1	2	3	6	
<b>Total:</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>4</b>
Q22 Marks:	AO1a	AO1b	AO2	AO3a	AO3b	Total	Quantitative Skills
(a)			2			2	2
(b)			2			2	2
(c)	1		2	3		6	
(d) (i)	2					2	
(ii)		2				2	
(iii)			1	2	3	6	
<b>Total:</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>4</b>

Q23 Marks:	AO1a	AO1b	AO2	AO3a	AO3b	Total	Quantitative Skills
(a)			2			2	2
(b)			2			2	2
(c)	1		2	3		6	
(d) (i)	2					2	
(ii)		2				2	
(iii)			1	2	3	6	
<b>Total:</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Section B total:</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Paper Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>20</b>

## Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

### Call us on

**01223 553998**

### Alternatively, you can email us on

**support@ocr.org.uk**

### For more information visit

 [ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder](https://ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder)

 [ocr.org.uk](https://ocr.org.uk)

 [Twitter/ocrexams](https://twitter.com/ocrexams)

 [/ocrexams](https://twitter.com/ocrexams)

 [/company/ocr](https://www.linkedin.com/company/ocr)

 [/ocrexams](https://www.youtube.com/ocrexams)



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2024 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA.

Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up-to-date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please [contact us](#).

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our [Expression of Interest form](#).

Please [get in touch](#) if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.